


Studies in Education Policy



April 2015

Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2015

by Peter Cowley and Stephen Easton



Contents

Introduction / 3

Key academic indicators of school performance / 5

Other indicators of school performance / 8

Notes / 9

Detailed school reports / 10

How does your school stack up? / 61

Appendix: Calculating the *Overall rating out of 10* / 68

About the authors / 70

Publishing information / 71

Supporting the Fraser Institute / 72

Purpose, funding, & independence / 72

About the Fraser Institute / 73

Editorial Advisory Board / 74

Introduction

The *Report Card on Ontario's Secondary Schools 2015* (hereafter, *Report Card*) collects a variety of relevant, objective indicators of school performance into one, easily accessible public document so that anyone can analyze and compare the performance of individual schools. By doing so, the *Report Card* assists parents when they choose a school for their children and encourages and assists all those seeking to improve their schools.

The Report Card helps parents choose

Where parents can choose among several schools for their children, the *Report Card* provides a valuable tool for making a decision. Because it makes comparisons easy, it alerts parents to those nearby schools that appear to have more effective academic programs. Parents can also determine whether schools of interest are improving over time. By first studying the *Report Card*, parents will be better prepared to ask relevant questions when they visit schools under consideration and speak with the staff.

Of course, the choice of a school should not be made solely on the basis of a single source of information. Web sites maintained by Ontario's Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO),¹ the provincial ministry of education, and local school boards may also provide useful information.² Parents who already have a child enrolled at the school provide another point of view.

Naturally, a sound academic program should be complemented by effective programs in areas of school activity not measured by the *Report Card*. Nevertheless, the *Report Card* provides a detailed

picture of each school that is not easily available elsewhere.

The Report Card facilitates school improvement

The act of publicly rating and ranking schools attracts attention and this can provide motivation. Schools that perform well or show consistent improvement are applauded. Poorly performing schools generate concern, as do those whose performance is deteriorating. This inevitable attention provides an incentive for all those connected with a school to focus on student results.

However, the *Report Card* offers more than just incentive. It includes a variety of indicators, each of which reports results for an aspect of school performance that may be improved. School administrators who are dedicated to their students' academic success accept the *Report Card* as another source of opportunities for improvement.

Some schools do better than others

To improve a school, one must believe that improvement is achievable. This *Report Card*, like other report cards from the Fraser Institute, provides evidence about what can be accomplished. It demonstrates clearly that even when we take into account factors such as the students' family background—which some believe dictate the degree of academic success that students can enjoy in school—some schools do better than others. This finding confirms the results of research carried out in other countries.³ Indeed, it will come as no great surprise to experienced parents

and educators that the data consistently suggest that what goes on in the schools makes a difference to academic results and that some schools make a greater difference than others.

Comparisons are at the heart of the improvement process

By comparing a school's latest results with those of earlier years, we can see if the school is improving. By comparing a school's results with those of neighbouring schools or schools having similar school and student characteristics, we can identify more successful schools and learn from them. Reference to overall provincial results places an individual school's level of achievement in a broader context.

There is great benefit in identifying schools that are particularly effective. By studying the techniques used in schools where students are successful, less effective schools may find ways to improve.

Comparisons are at the heart of improvement: making comparisons among schools is made simpler and more meaningful by the *Report Card's* indicators, ratings, and rankings.

You can contribute to the development of the *Report Card*

The *Report Card* program benefits from the input of interested parties. We welcome your suggestions, comments, and criticisms. Please contact co-author Peter Cowley at peter.cowley@fraserinstitute.org.

Key academic indicators of school performance

The foundation of the *Report Card* is an overall rating of each school's academic performance. We base our *Overall rating out of 10* on the school's performance on seven indicators, all of which are derived from province-wide tests of literacy and mathematics skills that are administered by the province's Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO).⁴ They are:

- (1) the average level of achievement on the grade-9 EQAO assessment in academic mathematics;
- (2) the average level of achievement on the grade-9 EQAO assessment in applied mathematics;
- (3) the percentage of Ontario Secondary School Literacy Tests written by first-time eligible students that were successfully completed.
- (4) the percentage of Ontario Secondary School Literacy Tests written by previously eligible students that were successfully completed.
- (5) the percentage of all the completed tests written by students at the school that were assessed either as unsuccessful (OSSLT) or below the provincial standard (grade-9 math tests).
- (6) the difference between male and female students in their average levels of achievement on the most commonly written grade-9 EQAO assessment in mathematics; and,
- (7) the difference between male and female students attempting the OSSLT for the first time in their rate of successful completion of the test.

We have selected this set of indicators because they provide useful insight into a school's performance. As they are based on annually generated data, we

can assess not only each school's performance in any given year but also its improvement or deterioration over time.

Indicators of effective teaching

Average results on grade-9 mathematics tests

Fundamental to the mission of secondary schools is ensuring that students are equipped with sound skills in literacy and mathematics. Differences among students in abilities, motivation, and work habits will inevitably have an impact upon the final results. There are, however, recognizable differences from school to school within a district in the average results on both of these tests. There is also variation within schools in the average results obtained on these tests. Such differences in outcomes cannot be explained simply by the individual and family characteristics of the school's students. We believe that teaching makes a difference to student outcomes and it therefore seems reasonable to include the average levels of achievement in these critical subject areas as indicators of effective teaching.

The indicators in mathematics—in the tables, *Average level Gr 9 Math (Acad)* and *Average level Gr 9 Math (Apld)*—show the average level of proficiency achieved by the school's students on the uniform assessments by the EQAO at the grade-9 level. Generally, each grade-9 student will write only one of the two tests, depending on the mathematics program—academic or applied—in which he or she is enrolled.

The EQAO converts the raw score on each test into a level of achievement from 1 to 4. Achievement at Levels 1 and 2 suggest that the student has not yet met the provincial standard. Level 3 is considered the

provincial standard and Level 4 represents achievement well above the provincial standard. Achievement at Level 3 or 4 suggests that students are prepared for work at the next grade.

In order to calculate the average level achieved by the students at a school on each test, a numerical value was given to each level of achievement. Thus, Level 1 was given a value of 1 for purposes of determining the average; Level 2, a value of 2; Level 3, a value of 3; and Level 4, a value of 4. A value of 0 was given in those cases where a student completed the test but did not demonstrate sufficient understanding to be assigned achievement Level 1.

Percentage of OSSLTs successfully completed

In most cases, students must pass the Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test (OSSLT) in order to graduate. It is first written in grade 10. Students who do not pass the test in grade 10 may write the test again in subsequent school years. The OSSLT indicators report the success rate on the OSSLT by students who have not previously attempted the test—in the tables *OSSLT passed (%)—FTE*—and students who have unsuccessfully attempted the test in the past—in the tables *OSSLT passed (%)—PE*.

Percentage of grade-9 mathematics and OSSLT tests below standard

Presented in the tables as *Tests below standard (%)*, this indicator combines the results of all the OSSLT and grade-9 mathematics tests written by the students at the school. However, for the purposes of the calculation of the *Overall rating*, the percentage of tests below standard for these two test series are calculated separately.

For each school, this indicator reports the combined rate of failure on the grade-9 math tests and the OSSLT. It was derived by dividing the total number of all the above tests that provided enough information to enable the calculation of a score but did not meet the provincial standard by the total number of such tests written by the students at the school.

Since literacy and mathematical skills are critical

to students' further intellectual and personal development, students should, at the minimum, demonstrate that they meet the accepted standard of performance for their grade in these subject areas. Schools have the responsibility of ensuring that their students are adequately prepared to do so.

How well do the teachers take student differences into account? The Gender gap indicators

The *Gender gap* indicators—in the tables *Gender gap (level) Math* and *Gender gap (% passed)—OSSLT*—use the result in grade-9 academic mathematics and the OSSLT result for “first-time-eligible” (FTE) students to determine how successful the school has been in narrowing the achievement gap between male and female students in literacy and mathematics.⁵ These indicators are determined, for each subject area, by calculating the absolute value of the difference between male and female students in their average level of achievement (in mathematics) or success rate (in the OSSLT). The more successful sex is reported along with the difference in the detailed tables.

Undoubtedly, some personal and family characteristics, left unmitigated, can have a deleterious effect on a student's academic development. The *Report Cards* provide evidence that successful teachers overcome such impediments. By comparing the results of male and female students in two skills areas—literacy and mathematics—in which one group or the other has enjoyed a historical advantage, we are able to gauge the extent to which schools provide effective teaching to all of their students.

In general, how is the school doing, academically? The Overall rating out of 10

While each of the indicators is important, it is almost always the case that any school does better on some indicators than on others. So, just as a teacher must

make a decision about a student's overall performance, we need an overall indicator of school performance—in the tables *Overall rating out of 10*. Just as teachers combine test scores, homework, and class participation to rate a student, we have combined all the indicators to produce an overall rating. The overall rating of school performance answers the question, “In general, how is the school doing academically compared to the other schools in the Report Card?”

To derive this rating, the results for each of the seven indicators, for each school year, were first standardized. Standardization is a statistical procedure whereby sets of raw data with different characteristics are converted

into sets of values sharing certain statistical properties. Standardized values can readily be combined and compared. The standardized data were then weighted and combined to produce an overall standardized score. Finally, this score was converted into an overall rating out of 10. It is from this *Overall rating out of 10* that the school's provincial rank is determined.

For schools in which there were fewer than 10 test results for boys or for girls, no values for the *Gender gap* indicators can be provided. In these cases the *Overall rating out of 10* is derived using the remaining indicators. (See Appendix 1 for an explanation of the calculation of the *Overall rating out of 10*.)

Other indicators of school performance

The *Report Card* includes other indicators that, while they are not used to derive the *Overall rating out of 10*, add more information about the school's effectiveness.

The *Tests not written* indicator

Schools that administer the assessments provided by the Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO) are expected to ensure that all their students write the tests. Higher participation rates provide the benefit of objective assessment of learning to more students and parents. They also provide a more accurate reflection of the level of achievement at the school. A reader can have more confidence that the test results are a true reflection of the school's average achievement level if all, or almost all, of its students write the tests.

The participation rate indicator—in the tables *Gr 9 Tests not written (%)*—was determined by first summing, for both of the grade-9 math tests, the total number of students for whom no test data were submitted or who were exempt from testing. This result was then divided by the total number of these tests that could have been completed had all students fully participated.

The principal of a school at which a relatively large percentage of students did not complete the tests should be able to provide good reasons for the students' failure to do so and a well-developed plan to increase participation in future test sittings.

As the OSSLT is a compulsory component of Ontario's graduation program and must be successfully completed by all students prior to graduation, it is unnecessary to consider it in the calculation of this indicator.

The *Trend* indicator

Is the school improving academically? The *Report Card* provides five years of data for most schools. Unlike a snapshot of one year's results, this historical record provides evidence of change (or lack thereof) over time. To detect trends in the performance indicators, we developed the *Trends* indicator. This indicator uses statistical analysis to identify those dimensions of school performance in which there has likely been real change rather than a fluctuation in results caused by random occurrences. To calculate the trends, the standardized scores rather than raw data are used. Standardizing makes historical data more comparable and the trend measurement more reliable. Because calculation of trends is uncertain when only a small number of data points are available, a trend is indicated only in those circumstances where five years of data are available and where the trend is statistically significant. For this indicator, we have defined the term "statistically significant" to mean that, nine times out of 10, the trend that is noted is real; that is, it did not happen just by chance.

The student characteristics indicators

For each public school, the *Report Card* notes the percentage of its students who are enrolled in ESL programs or who have certain identified special needs. As was noted in the Introduction, it is sometimes useful to compare a school's results to those of similar schools. These two indicators, along with the average parental employment income, can be used to identify schools with similar student-body characteristics.

Notes

- 1 The Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO) is an arm's-length agency of the provincial government. It provides parents, teachers, and the public with information about student achievement. For more information, see the EQAO's web site at <http://www.eqao.com/>.
- 2 See, for instance, the EQAO's web site at <http://www.eqao.com/>, the Ministry of Education's web site at <http://www.edu.gov.on.ca>, or the web site of the Toronto Catholic District School Board at <http://www.tcdsb.org/>.
- 3 See, for instance, Michael Rutter et al., *Fifteen Thousand Hours: Secondary Schools and Their Effects on Children* (Harvard University Press, 1979) and Peter Mortimore et al., *School Matters: The Junior Years* (Open Books, 1988).
- 4 The EQAO's test results, student enrollment data, and school information used or reported in this publication were provided by the Ontario Ministry of Education. The results or views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and are not those of the Ontario Ministry of Education.
- 5 For a discussion of gender-based differentials in academic achievement, see Peter Cowley and Stephen Easton, *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*. Public Policy Sources 22 (Fraser Institute, 1999).

Detailed school reports

Use the sample table and the explanation of each line below to help you interpret the detailed results for individual schools. Families choosing a school for their children should seek to confirm the *Report Card*'s findings by visiting the school and interviewing teachers, school administrators, and other parents. More information about the schools may be found at <http://ontario.compareschoolrankings.org>. More information regarding test results at individual schools can be found on the Education Quality and Accountability Office (EQAO) web site at <http://www.eqao.com> and on the web sites of local school districts and schools. Of course, a sound academic program should be complemented by effective programs in areas of school activity not measured by the *Report Card*.

Important note

Private schools are not required to administer the grade-9 EQAO tests. Since the *Report Card* is based, in part, on results achieved on these tests, only those private schools that chose to administer the EQAO tests could be included.

IMPORTANT — In order to get the most from the *Report Card*, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future.

A – GEOGRAPHICAL AREA							
B –	School [Affiliation] Location		OSSLT count: 136				
C –	ESL (%): 0.0				Special needs (%): 25.7		
D –	Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:		2013-14	Last 5 Years	
					512/749	492/693	
	Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
E –	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.9	3.0	2.7	—
F –	Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.2	2.2	—
G –	OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.7	75.8	74.3	72.3	78.8	—
H –	OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	42.1	n/a	60.0	n/a
I –	Tests below standard (%)	30.4	33.0	28.6	29.3	32.7	—
J –	Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	—
K –	Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.0	F 16.6	F 16.0	F 8.4	F 9.1	▲
L –	Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.0	1.1	2.0	1.9	▼
M –	Overall rating out of 10	5.4	4.7	5.7	5.8	5.4	—

A — The schools are grouped into geographical areas. To find a school's results, find its location in the "List of cities and geographical areas" and note its geographical area. Find the geographical area in the "Index of geographical areas" and note the page on which its results begin. Within each geographical area, the schools are listed in

alphabetical order.

B (left) — The school name, its affiliation—public, Catholic, or private—and the city in which the school is located.

B (right) — The number of students at the school

who were eligible to write the OSSLT.

C — These statistics report the percentage of the students at the school, who are eligible to write the OSSLT, who are enrolled in ESL programs, and the percentage who have an identified special need. Use this statistic to identify schools at which the students share some personal characteristics.

D (left) — **Actual rating versus predicted based on parents' average employment income** This statistic is not available this year.

D (right) — The school's overall academic rank in the province for 2013/2014 and for the most recent five years. The rankings show how the school has done academically compared to the other schools in the report card. A high ranking over five years indicates consistently strong results at the school.

E and F — The average level achieved by the students on the grade-9 academic (Acad) and applied (Apld) mathematics tests. The EQAO assigns a level of achievement to each completed test. Achievement at Levels 1 and 2 suggest that the student has not yet met the provincial standard. Level 3 is considered the provincial standard and Level 4 represents achievement well above the provincial standard. Thus, achievement at Level 3 or 4 suggests that students are well prepared for work at the next grade. In order to calculate the average level, a numerical value was given to each level of achievement. Thus, Level 1 was given a value of 1 for purposes of determining the average; Level 2, a value of 2; Level 3, a value of 3; and Level 4, a value of 4. In those cases where a student completed the test but did not demonstrate sufficient understanding to be assigned achievement Level 1, the test was given a value of 0.

G & H — The percentage of eligible OSSLT writers who successfully completed the test, either on their first attempt (FTE) or on a subsequent attempt (PE).

I — The percentage of all the completed tests written by students at the school that were judged

to be either unsuccessful (OSSLT) or below Level 3 (grade-9 math tests). A low percentage of *Tests below standard (%)* indicates that the school is successful in ensuring that most of its students are meeting or exceeding the provincial standard of performance.

J and K — The difference (in average level of achievement) between girls and boys in the grade-9 academic mathematics test and the OSSLT (FTE students). Where the difference favours the girls, the value is preceded by an F. Where boys are favoured, the value is preceded by an M. An E means that there is no difference between the girls and the boys on this measure. Smaller differences indicate that the school is doing a good job for all its students.

L — The percentage of the grade-9 mathematics tests that could have been completed by the school's students but which were not assigned an overall score. The percentage, *Gr 9 Tests not written (%)*, takes into account the total number of students for whom no test data were submitted or who were exempt from testing.

Important note: Schools that administer these tests are expected to ensure that all their students participate. For this reason, you should take note of the *Tests not written* percentage when you consider each school's results in the *Report Card*. The principal of a school with a high *Tests not written* percentage should be able to provide good reasons for the students' failure to complete the tests.

M — The *Overall rating out of 10* takes into account the indicators described in E through K above to answer the question, "In general, how is the school doing academically compared to other schools in the report card?"

Important note: The *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its *Overall rating out of 10*, it must improve more rapidly than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

N — An upward-pointing arrow at the end of an indicator row means that the school is probably improving on that indicator. A downward-pointing arrow means that the school is probably getting worse. The researchers had to be at least 90% sure that the changes were not just random before indicating a trend. A dash indicates that there is no significant change. Where insufficient data were available, “n/a” appears in the column. Note that for the two *Gender gap* indicators and *Tests below standard* a downward trend in the data will lead to an upward-pointing arrow in the trend column. For example, a decreasing *Tests below standard (%)* indicates improvement and so an upward-pointing arrow is displayed.

Other notes

Note 1

Not all the province's secondary schools are included in the tables or the ranking. In order to be included, schools must have had, in the school year 2012/2013, at least 10 students who wrote either of the two versions of the grade-9 EQAO mathematics test and at least 10 “first-time-eligible” (FTE) or “previously eligible” (PE) writers of the Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test. Private schools and federally funded schools operated by First Nations are not required to administer the grade-9 mathematics tests. Since the results of these tests form the basis for this *Report Card*, only those schools that administer them could be included. Finally, also excluded are schools that did not generate a sufficiently large set of student data to enable the calculation of an *Overall rating out of 10*. **The exclusion of a school from the *Report Card* is not a judgement of the school's effectiveness.**

Note 2

The EQAO's test results, student enrollment data, and school information used or reported in this publication were provided by the Ontario Ministry

of Education. The results or views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and are not those of the Ontario Ministry of Education.

Note 3

In accordance with its regulations regarding the privacy of personal information, where the results in a test involved fewer than 10 students, the EQAO provided no data.

Note 4

Where there were insufficient data available with which to calculate an indicator or where a school was not in operation during a specific year, “n/a” appears in the tables.

Note 5

If you have questions about the *Report Card*, contact co-author, Peter Cowley, at peter.cowley@fraserinstitute.org.

Note 6

You can compare a school's results with these all-schools average results in the table below.

Average values for all schools 2013/2014						OSSLT count: 261	
ESL (%):	7.7					Special Needs (%):	25.3
Parents' avg income:	\$ n/a						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	▲	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.4	▲	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.3	81.5	80.6	80.2	80.4	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.6	52.5	51.5	53.4	52.4	—	
Tests below standard (%)	26.9	26.6	26.4	26.9	26.0	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math*	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	—	
Gender gap (% passed)-OSSLT*	7.9	7.7	8.6	9.0	9.6	▼	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.7	▲	
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	n/a	

* These results reflect the average size of the gender gaps in 2013/2014. The Gr 10 OSSLT gender gap favoured females at 91.4% of schools, males at 8.3% of schools, and was even at 0.3% of schools. The Gr 9 Math gender gap favoured males at 47.5% of schools, females at 20.4% of schools, and was even at 32.1% of schools.

List of cities and geographical areas

City	Geographical area	City	Geographical area
Acton	Halton Area	Deep River	Renfrew County Area
Ajax	Durham Area	Delhi	Grand Erie Area
Alexandria	Upper Canada Area	Desbarats	Algoma Area
Alliston	Simcoe County Area	Dorchester	Thames Valley Area
Almonte	Upper Canada Area	Douglas	Renfrew County Area
Amherstburg	Greater Essex Area	Dresden	Lambton Kent Area
Ancaster	Hamilton-Wentworth Area	Dryden	Kenora Area
Angus	Simcoe County Area	Dundas	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Arnprior	Renfrew County Area	Dunnville	Grand Erie Area
Arva	Thames Valley Area	Dunrobin	Ottawa
Athens	Upper Canada Area	Elgin	Upper Canada Area
Atikokan	Kenora Area	Elliot Lake	Algoma Area
Aurora	York Area	Elmira	Waterloo Area
Avonmore	Upper Canada Area	Elmvale	Simcoe County Area
Aylmer	Thames Valley Area	Embrun	Upper Canada Area
Baden	Waterloo Area	Englehart	Ontario North East Area
Bancroft	Hastings-Limestone Area	Erin	Upper Grand Area
Barrie	Barrie	Espanola	Rainbow Area
Barry's Bay	Renfrew County Area	Essex	Greater Essex Area
Beamsville	Niagara Area	Exeter	Avon Maitland Area
Belle River	Greater Essex Area	Fenelon Falls	Trillium Lakelands Area
Belleville	Hastings-Limestone Area	Fergus	Upper Grand Area
Blenheim	Lambton Kent Area	Flesherton	Bluewater Area
Blind River	Algoma Area	Fonthill	Niagara Area
Bolton	Peel Area	Forest	Lambton Kent Area
Bowmanville	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Fort Erie	Niagara Area
Bracebridge	Trillium Lakelands Area	Fort Frances	Kenora Area
Bradford	Simcoe County Area	Gananoque	Upper Canada Area
Brampton	Peel Area	Georgetown	Halton Area
Brantford	Brantford	Geraldton	Superior-Greenstone Area
Brighton	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Glencoe	Thames Valley Area
Brockville	Upper Canada Area	Gloucester	Ottawa
Burlington	Halton Area	Goderich	Avon Maitland Area
Caledon	Peel Area	Gravenhurst	Trillium Lakelands Area
Caledon East	Peel Area	Grimsby	Niagara Area
Caledonia	Grand Erie Area	Guelph	Upper Grand Area
Cambridge	Waterloo Area	Hagersville	Grand Erie Area
Campbellford	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Haliburton	Trillium Lakelands Area
Cannington	Durham Area	Hamilton	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Carleton Place	Upper Canada Area	Hammond	Upper Canada Area
Casselman	Upper Canada Area	Hanmer	Rainbow Area
Cayuga	Grand Erie Area	Hanover	Bluewater Area
Chatham	Lambton Kent Area	Harrow	Greater Essex Area
Chelmsford	Rainbow Area	Hawkesbury	Upper Canada Area
Chesley	Bluewater Area	Hearst	Ontario North East Area
Chesterville	Upper Canada Area	Hornepayne	Ontario North East Area
Clinton	Avon Maitland Area	Huntsville	Trillium Lakelands Area
Cloyne	Hastings-Limestone Area	Ignace	Kenora Area
Cobourg	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Ingersoll	Thames Valley Area
Cochrane	Ontario North East Area	Ingleside	Upper Canada Area
Collingwood	Simcoe County Area	Innisfil	Simcoe County Area
Cornwall	Upper Canada Area	Iroquois	Upper Canada Area
Courtice	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Iroquois Falls	Ontario North East Area

List of cities and geographical areas

City	Geographical area	City	Geographical area
Kanata	Ottawa	Palmerston	Upper Grand Area
Kapuskasing	Ontario North East Area	Paris	Grand Erie Area
Kemptville	Upper Canada Area	Parkhill	Thames Valley Area
Kenora	Kenora Area	Parry Sound	Near North Area
Keswick	York Area	Pembroke	Renfrew County Area
Kincardine	Bluewater Area	Penetanguishene	Simcoe County Area
King City	York Area	Perth	Upper Canada Area
Kingston	Kingston	Petawawa	Renfrew County Area
Kingsville	Greater Essex Area	Peterborough	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Kirkland Lake	Ontario North East Area	Petrolia	Lambton Kent Area
Kitchener	Kitchener	Pickering	Durham Area
Lakefield	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Picton	Hastings-Limestone Area
Langton	Grand Erie Area	Pikangikum	Kenora Area
LaSalle	Greater Essex Area	Plantagenet	Upper Canada Area
Leamington	Greater Essex Area	Port Colborne	Niagara Area
Lindsay	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Port Elgin	Bluewater Area
Lion's Head	Bluewater Area	Port Hope	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area
Listowel	Avon Maitland Area	Port Perry	Durham Area
Lively	Rainbow Area	Prescott	Upper Canada Area
London	London	Rainy River	Kenora Area
Madoc	Hastings-Limestone Area	Red Lake	Kenora Area
Manitouawadge	Superior-Greenstone Area	Red Rock	Lakehead Area
Manotick	Ottawa	Renfrew	Renfrew County Area
Maple	York Area	Richmond	Ottawa
Marathon	Superior-Greenstone Area	Richmond Hill	York Area
Markham	York Area	Ridgetown	Lambton Kent Area
Mattawa	Near North Area	Ridgeway	Niagara Area
M'Chigeeng	Rainbow Area	Rockland	Upper Canada Area
Meaford	Bluewater Area	Russell	Upper Canada Area
Metcalfe	Ottawa	Sarnia	Lambton Kent Area
Midland	Simcoe County Area	Sault Ste. Marie	Algoma Area
Milton	Halton Area	Sharbot Lake	Hastings-Limestone Area
Mississauga	Mississauga	Shelburne	Upper Grand Area
Mitchell	Avon Maitland Area	Simcoe	Grand Erie Area
Moosonee	Ontario North East Area	Sioux Lookout	Kenora Area
Mount Forest	Upper Grand Area	Smiths Falls	Upper Canada Area
Napanee	Hastings-Limestone Area	Smithville	Niagara Area
Nepean	Ottawa	South Porcupine	Ontario North East Area
New Liskeard	Ontario North East Area	South River	Near North Area
Newcastle	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	St Catharines	St Catharines
Newmarket	York Area	St Marys	Avon Maitland Area
Niagara Falls	Niagara Area	St Thomas	Thames Valley Area
North Bay	Near North Area	Stayner	Simcoe County Area
Norwood	Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	Stittsville	Ottawa
Oakville	Halton Area	Stoney Creek	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Odessa	Hastings-Limestone Area	Stouffville	York Area
Orangeville	Upper Grand Area	Stratford	Avon Maitland Area
Orillia	Simcoe County Area	Strathroy	Thames Valley Area
Orléans	Ottawa	Sturgeon Falls	Near North Area
Oshawa	Durham Area	Sudbury	Rainbow Area
Ottawa	Ottawa	Sutton West	York Area
Owen Sound	Bluewater Area	Sydenham	Hastings-Limestone Area
Pain Court	Lambton Kent Area	Tecumseh	Greater Essex Area

List of cities and geographical areas

City	Geographical area	City	Geographical area
Terrace Bay	Superior-Greenstone Area	Wallaceburg	Lambton Kent Area
Thornhill	York Area	Waterdown	Hamilton-Wentworth Area
Thorold	Niagara Area	Waterford	Grand Erie Area
Thunder Bay	Lakehead Area	Waterloo	Waterloo Area
Tilbury	Lambton Kent Area	Wawa	Algoma Area
Tillsonburg	Thames Valley Area	Welland	Niagara Area
Timmins	Ontario North East Area	West Lorne	Thames Valley Area
Toronto	Toronto	Whitby	Durham Area
Tottenham	Simcoe County Area	Warton	Bluewater Area
Trenton	Hastings-Limestone Area	Wikwemikong	Rainbow Area
Unionville	York Area	Williamstown	Upper Canada Area
Uxbridge	Durham Area	Windsor	Greater Essex Area
Val Caron	Rainbow Area	Wingham	Avon Maitland Area
Vankleek Hill	Upper Canada Area	Woodbridge	York Area
Vaughan	York Area	Woodstock	Thames Valley Area
Walkerton	Bluewater Area		

Index of geographical areas

Geographical area	Page
Algoma Area	52
Avon Maitland Area	33
Barrie	52
Bluewater Area	53
Brantford	33
Durham Area	17
Grand Erie Area	34
Greater Essex Area	34
Halton Area	18
Hamilton-Wentworth Area	36
Hastings-Limestone Area	44
Kawartha Pine Ridge Area	54
Kenora Area	55
Kingston	45
Kitchener	37
Lakehead Area	55
Lambton Kent Area	37
London	38

Geographical area	Page
Mississauga	20
Near North Area	56
Niagara Area	39
Ontario North East Area	56
Ottawa	45
Peel Area	22
Rainbow Area	58
Renfrew County Area	48
Simcoe County Area	59
St Catharines	40
Superior-Greenstone Area	60
Thames Valley Area	41
Toronto	23
Trillium Lakelands Area	60
Upper Canada Area	49
Upper Grand Area	42
Waterloo Area	43
York Area	29

Greater Toronto area

DURHAM AREA

Ajax [Public] Ajax		OSSLT count: 381				
ESL (%): 3.1		Special needs (%): 29.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	563/749	532/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.9	2.2	1.8	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.8	77.7	83.0	81.1	80.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.1	63.2	50.0	51.3	49.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	39.2	31.9	26.0	31.9	34.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.7	F 11.4	F 4.6	F 8.4	F 5.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	0.3	1.4	0.7	1.5	▼
Overall rating out of 10	4.1	4.9	6.1	5.5	5.0	—

Donald A. Wilson [Public] Whitby		OSSLT count: 450				
ESL (%): 2.4		Special needs (%): 12.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	163/749	176/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.2	88.7	88.1	86.1	88.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	73.3	57.9	65.4	71.4	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	22.0	15.7	17.0	17.5	16.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.7	F 7.9	F 3.1	F 12.1	F 4.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	7.4	7.3	7.0	7.3	—

Henry Street [Public] Whitby		OSSLT count: 271				
ESL (%): 1.8		Special needs (%): 32.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	596/749	519/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.3	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.8	85.8	76.7	82.1	79.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	47.4	56.7	53.1	45.5	34.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	28.6	30.0	34.1	27.7	33.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.4	F 5.6	F 21.3	F 15.5	F 21.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	3.1	4.2	0.5	1.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	5.4	4.5	5.9	4.8	—

All Saints [Catholic] Whitby		OSSLT count: 384				
ESL (%): 1.3		Special needs (%): 20.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	215/749	275/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.6	89.0	92.2	92.4	89.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.9	35.1	54.5	54.2	45.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	25.4	23.0	17.8	18.6	18.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	M 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.1	F 4.9	F 6.8	M 3.6	F 4.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	6.2	7.1	6.8	7.0	▲

Dunbarton [Public] Pickering		OSSLT count: 320				
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	471/749	457/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.3	84.1	84.4	85.8	82.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	46.2	n/a	62.5	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	27.6	23.0	26.3	23.3	23.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.9	F 6.0	F 5.6	F 12.5	F 17.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	1.1	2.1	0.0	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.9	5.4	6.0	5.7	—

J Clarke Richardson [Public] Ajax		OSSLT count: 415				
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	306/749	457/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	3.0	2.9	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.3	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.7	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.0	75.6	80.3	79.2	81.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	52.4	50.0	41.2	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	34.6	32.4	26.7	22.2	21.4	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.0	F 9.8	F 9.0	F 5.8	F 5.6	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	4.0	4.7	5.8	6.5	6.6	▲

Anderson [Public] Whitby		OSSLT count: 290				
ESL (%): 2.8		Special needs (%): 22.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	371/749	373/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.7	3.0	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	2.3	1.9	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.6	82.5	83.7	73.4	83.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	55.0	64.3	73.3	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	21.3	23.1	26.3	27.8	22.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	F 0.2	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.3	F 5.6	F 16.3	F 18.9	F 6.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.7	1.7	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.4	5.8	5.7	6.3	—

Eastdale [Public] Oshawa		OSSLT count: 378				
ESL (%): 1.1		Special needs (%): 31.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	637/749	505/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.5	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.0	2.0	1.8	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.8	78.9	78.9	81.9	75.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.5	41.7	55.3	38.2	33.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.6	34.6	34.9	39.4	36.4	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.3	F 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.6	F 18.0	F 2.0	F 8.7	F 16.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	1.2	3.8	1.5	3.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	5.1	5.0	4.9	4.3	▼

Maxwell Heights [Public] Oshawa		OSSLT count: 392				
ESL (%): 1.5		Special needs (%): 19.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	406/749	457/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.3	83.3	80.2	77.7	83.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	70.0	42.9	50.0	55.2	46.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	31.2	30.0	30.2	28.2	24.4	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.0	F 9.2	F 3.5	F 13.8	F 13.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.3	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.7	6.1	▲

Archbishop Denis O'Connor [Catholic] Ajax		OSSLT count: 266				
ESL (%): 5.3		Special needs (%): 21.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	547/749	473/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.8	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.6	80.1	79.1	77.9	72.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	35.5	52.3	46.2	44.7	64.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	28.8	29.0	30.5	28.6	30.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.5	F 6.9	F 5.8	F 11.2	F 22.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.5	1.4	0.6	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	5.8	5.5	5.6	5.1	—

Father Leo J Austin [Catholic] Whitby		OSSLT count: 248				
ESL (%): 1.6		Special needs (%): 20.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	215/749	238/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.1	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.1	2.2	2.2	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.3	87.2	88.4	81.3	87.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	40.7	39.1	53.1	57.1	86.7	▲
Tests below standard (%)	18.8	21.4	19.8	20.8	19.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.3	F 8.0	F 8.5	F 11.1	F 0.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.2	0.0	1.6	0.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.7	6.9	6.6	7.0	—

Monsignor John Pereyma [Catholic] Oshawa		OSSLT count: 176				
ESL (%): 1.1		Special needs (%): 31.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	502/749	547/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.8	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.2	2.5	2.9	2.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.4	68.7	75.8	76.2	73.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.0	28.2	42.9	50.0	35.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	40.3	40.4	33.1	29.0	31.1	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.8	F 22.5	F 7.1	F 9.9	F 4.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.4	1.3	0.8	0.9	2.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.9	3.9	5.3	6.0	5.5	▲

Brock [Public] Cannington		OSSLT count: 157			
---------------------------	--	------------------	--	--	--

Notre Dame [Catholic] Ajax		OSSLT count: 400				
ESL (%): 6.5		Special needs (%): 24.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	325/749	435/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	2.0	2.4	2.3	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.8	80.0	83.2	83.4	83.2	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.0	49.2	41.8	48.1	55.6	▲
Tests below standard (%)	32.8	30.5	26.0	25.4	23.0	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	F 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.5	F 13.2	F 7.6	F 5.2	F 3.7	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	5.0	6.2	6.0	6.5	▲

R.S. McLaughlin [Public] Oshawa		OSSLT count: 261				
ESL (%): 1.5		Special needs (%): 20.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	344/749	322/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.9	87.2	81.3	84.5	84.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	75.0	45.5	44.0	40.0	44.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	24.5	20.8	21.5	23.4	23.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.1	F 4.1	F 10.5	F 18.1	F 6.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.5	6.5	6.3	6.4	—

Acton [Public] Acton		OSSLT count: 156				
ESL (%): 0.6		Special needs (%): 25.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	406/749	275/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.1	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.3	2.4	2.7	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.3	82.2	80.0	83.0	81.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	66.7	90.0	52.9	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	18.3	24.5	23.2	16.9	25.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.0	F 0.7	F 13.3	F 20.9	F 32.2	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	2.6	4.8	1.6	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	6.3	6.5	7.3	6.1	—

O'Neill [Public] Oshawa		OSSLT count: 309				
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	136/749	220/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.7	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.4	83.0	86.3	86.8	87.2	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	23.4	22.4	21.9	17.0	15.1	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 4.9	F 1.1	F 8.6	F 10.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	1.0	1.6	0.7	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.5	6.7	7.4	7.5	▲

Saint-Charles-Garnier [Catholic] Whitby		OSSLT count: 100				
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	392/749	505/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.4	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	1.7	n/a	2.2	2.5	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.4	82.7	82.4	86.3	81.8	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	46.7	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	28.0	33.0	21.3	36.0	18.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.2	F 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 3.6	F 3.7	F 10.8	F 14.6	M 7.4	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	5.0	5.2	3.9	6.2	—

Aldershot [Public] Burlington		OSSLT count: 124				
ESL (%): 8.1		Special needs (%): 29.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	66/749	156/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.1	2.8	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.9	2.3	1.8	3.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.0	90.4	86.1	82.2	80.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	37.5	50.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	15.8	11.9	17.5	24.5	10.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.2	M 0.2	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.4	F 3.1	F 4.9	F 14.7	F 8.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	1.5	0.0	1.7	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	8.0	7.1	5.7	8.0	—

Oshawa Central [Public] Oshawa		OSSLT count: 76				
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	527/749	547/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.8	78.1	71.7	76.0	79.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	63.6	50.0	57.9	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	36.1	39.3	48.0	35.5	28.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 0.1	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 30.0	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	2.9	0.0	0.0	2.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	4.7	3.7	5.1	5.3	—

Sinclair [Public] Whitby		OSSLT count: 505				
ESL (%): 1.2		Special needs (%): 12.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	215/749	124/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.7	88.2	89.5	91.1	88.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	48.7	70.3	76.2	73.8	66.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	15.5	17.1	14.8	15.7	18.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.9	F 3.2	F 6.1	F 4.7	F 10.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	0.4	0.8	0.6	0.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.4	7.6	7.4	7.0	—

Assumption [Catholic] Burlington		OSSLT count: 297				
ESL (%): 5.1		Special needs (%): 19.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	136/749	176/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.9	87.1	87.0	87.8	91.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	37.5	71.0	55.6	61.5	53.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	23.4	16.6	18.5	17.7	14.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.0	F 4.9	F 1.3	F 6.8	F 1.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	7.5	7.3	7.2	7.5	—

Pickering [Public] Ajax		OSSLT count: 565				
ESL (%): 1.2		Special needs (%): 14.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	344/749	532/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	2.1	1.9	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.5	85.1	90.9	88.8	89.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.5	53.7	60.8	70.6	52.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	28.7	23.5	21.8	18.5	20.7	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.5	F 10.0	F 3.2	F 6.7	F 8.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	6.1	6.5	6.7	6.4	—

St Mary [Catholic] Pickering		OSSLT count: 376				
ESL (%): 4.0		Special needs (%): 15.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	281/749	401/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.2	2.4	2.3	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.5	84.8	86.1	83.7	86.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	47.2	58.7	63.6	58.8	40.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	24.8	30.6	24.9	21.6	22.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.0	F 2.5	F 11.5	F 11.9	F 10.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	1.4	1.6	0.9	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	4.9	6.0	6.4	6.2	—

Bishop Paul Francis Reding [Catholic] Milton		OSSLT count: 371				
ESL (%): 3.5		Special needs (%): 16.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	344/749	176/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.7	2.6	2.7	3.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.4	91.1	92.0	88.3	93.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.5	54.9	60.0	64.3	59.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.0	15.7	15.0	14.5	8.8	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	E	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.9	F 3.9	F 2.0	F 5.7	F 1.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.4	0.2	0.9	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	7.8	7.9	7.8	8.5	▲

Pine Ridge [Public] Pickering		OSSLT count: 441				
ESL (%): 4.3		Special needs (%): 23.6				
Actual rating vs predicted						

Corpus Christi [Catholic] Burlington							OSSLT count: 262				
ESL (%)	7.6		Special needs (%): 18.3								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years						
	53/749		48/693								
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.9	2.7	2.7	3.0	—					
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	96.4	93.4	89.9	90.0	95.0	—					
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	70.6	n/a	78.3	50.0	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	14.6	12.2	10.7	13.5	10.3	—					
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.6	F 5.3	F 8.6	F 5.9	F 6.7	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.4	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	8.4	8.1	7.8	8.1	—					

Holy Trinity [Catholic] Oakville							OSSLT count: 373			
ESL (%)	5.9		Special needs (%): 16.1							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
	258/749		124/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.2	3.0	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	92.0	90.5	89.3	88.5	87.1	▼				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	63.6	53.1	71.9	73.5	42.5	—				
Tests below standard (%)	15.3	13.8	13.2	15.3	18.8	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	E	M 0.1	E	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.8	M 1.1	F 13.8	F 4.6	F 7.0	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.8	0.7	0.6	2.0	▼				
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.7	7.7	7.5	6.8	—				

Nelson [Public] Burlington							OSSLT count: 286			
ESL (%)	7.7		Special needs (%): 14.3							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
	72/749		40/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.0	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.7	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	93.0	89.8	94.3	93.2	91.3	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	88.9	70.6	79.4	82.1	85.7	—				
Tests below standard (%)	12.8	10.5	7.5	9.5	10.7	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	E	E	E	M 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.7	F 8.6	F 3.0	F 7.0	F 7.8	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	1.1	1.1	0.6	0.9	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	8.0	8.7	8.3	7.9	—				

Craig Kielburger [Public] Milton							OSSLT count: 490			
ESL (%)	13.7		Special needs (%): 29.4							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
	181/749		n/a							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.1	3.1	n/a				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.4	2.6	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	76.7	83.3	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	78.9	53.8	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	20.6	18.4	n/a				
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	E	E	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 4.7	F 8.6	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	0.6	n/a				
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	6.9	7.2	n/a				

Iroquois Ridge [Public] Oakville							OSSLT count: 306			
ESL (%)	14.7		Special needs (%): 13.4							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
	22/749		17/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.2	3.3	3.2	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.4	n/a	2.5	2.5	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	95.0	94.6	92.2	95.2	95.6	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	76.8	61.5	75.9	95.2	88.5	—				
Tests below standard (%)	8.3	9.5	8.2	5.8	6.5	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	▼				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.6	F 5.6	F 5.1	F 4.5	F 2.1	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	—				
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	8.2	8.4	9.0	8.6	—				

Notre Dame [Catholic] Burlington							OSSLT count: 270			
ESL (%)	1.5		Special needs (%): 20.0							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
	86/749		83/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.1	▲				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.3	2.7	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	92.8	92.9	94.4	94.8	88.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	72.7	80.0	77.8	47.4	61.9	—				
Tests below standard (%)	18.4	15.6	13.6	13.7	13.0	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	▲				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.9	F 3.1	F 8.5	F 1.6	M 0.2	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.8	▼				
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.6	7.8	8.0	7.8	▲				

Dr. Frank J. Hayden [Public] Burlington							OSSLT count: 249			
ESL (%)	n/a		Special needs (%): n/a							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
	163/749		n/a							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.0	n/a				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.7	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	87.7	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13.1	n/a				
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	E	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 9.6	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.7	n/a				
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	7.3	n/a				

Jean Vanier [Catholic] Milton							OSSLT count: 224			
ESL (%)	4.9		Special needs (%): 15.6							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
	258/749		n/a							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.9	n/a				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.4	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	85.3	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	61.7	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	20.4	n/a				
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 0.1	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 11.9	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.0	n/a				
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6.8	n/a				

Oakville Trafalgar [Public] Oakville							OSSLT count: 291			
ESL (%)	14.1		Special needs (%): 13.1							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
	9/749		8/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.2	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.8	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	97.4	97.3	95.4	97.4	97.0	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	87.5	82.6	n/a	90.5	91.7	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	3.7	4.8	5.4	5.3	3.5	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.3	F 0.6	F 5.9	F 2.9	M 1.5	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.3	0.0	1.7	0.4	0.6	—				
Overall rating out of 10	9.1	9.1	8.9	8.7	8.9	—				

Gaëtan Gervais [Public] Oakville							OSSLT count: 12			
ESL (%)	n/a		Special needs (%): n/a							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
	659/749		n/a							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.6	n/a				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100.0	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	30.2	n/a				
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a				
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.9	n/a				

Lester B Pearson [Public] Burlington							OSSLT count: 133			
ESL (%)	6.8		Special needs (%): 14.3							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
	37/749		96/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.0	▲				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	▲				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	92.8	91.8	91.4	89.9	97.3	▲				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	43.8	n/a	57.9	70.0	68.8	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	18.0	14.4	15.2	12.1	8.6	▲				
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	E	E	F 0.3	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	F 15.2	F 5.6	M 5.3	F 5.3	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.7	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	7.3	7.8	7.7	8.4	▲				

Robert Bateman [Public] Burlington							OSSLT count: 246			
ESL (%)	6.5		Special needs (%): 41.5							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
	306/749		322/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.0	—				

Thomas A Blakelock [Public] Oakville		OSSLT count: 333				
ESL (%): 9.6		Special needs (%): 13.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 2013-14 Last 5 Years 72/749 48/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.9	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	90.7	92.6	92.7	89.6	92.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	72.2	60.0	67.6	78.6	63.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	11.5	13.0	11.3	10.2	11.6	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.9	F 0.2	F 3.1	F 12.5	F 3.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.4	0.8	0.4	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.1	8.2	7.9	7.9	—

White Oaks [Public] Oakville		OSSLT count: 577				
ESL (%): 16.6		Special needs (%): 22.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 2013-14 Last 5 Years 47/749 57/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.2	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	89.7	88.8	89.0	94.3	92.8	▲
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	64.5	59.4	60.0	55.6	65.3	▲
Tests below standard (%)	16.3	14.0	14.8	12.4	11.3	▲
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	E	E	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 7.5	F 2.2	F 1.4	F 9.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.7	8.0	8.3	8.2	▲

MISSISSAUGA

Al-Risala [Private] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 37				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 0.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 2013-14 Last 5 Years 692/749 n/a				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.3	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	79.2	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	53.8	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	41.3	n/a
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.2	n/a

Applewood Heights [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 264				
ESL (%): 15.2		Special needs (%): 10.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 2013-14 Last 5 Years 281/749 275/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.5	2.6	2.4	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	85.7	87.6	85.1	86.9	88.9	▲
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	44.4	57.5	40.4	45.7	58.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	23.4	20.4	23.4	22.6	20.3	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.3	F 13.6	F 4.1	F 4.2	F 1.0	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.0	0.4	1.3	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	7.0	6.6	6.7	6.7	—

Ascension of Our Lord [Catholic] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 244				
ESL (%): 25.0		Special needs (%): 29.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 2013-14 Last 5 Years 239/749 389/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	77.4	79.9	78.1	81.1	82.4	▲
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	60.0	70.6	72.7	39.3	50.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	31.4	29.3	28.8	25.1	20.7	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.2	M 0.2	E	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	F 11.4	M 7.3	F 5.2	F 5.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.6	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.6	5.9	6.6	6.9	▲

Cawthra Park [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 338				
ESL (%): 11.2		Special needs (%): 12.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 2013-14 Last 5 Years 37/749 32/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	95.2	95.5	93.1	95.6	96.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	70.8	77.3	61.9	56.5	73.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	12.7	9.8	11.9	8.9	8.7	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.5	F 1.9	F 5.2	F 8.4	F 4.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	0.6	0.9	0.9	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.3	8.0	8.3	8.4	—

Clarkson [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 315				
ESL (%): 32.4		Special needs (%): 12.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 2013-14 Last 5 Years 371/749 275/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	2.5	2.3	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	83.3	87.4	83.4	89.3	86.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	59.6	66.7	59.1	56.6	64.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	23.9	24.0	19.3	20.2	25.4	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.9	F 9.7	F 14.9	F 13.2	F 3.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.5	6.8	7.1	6.3	—

Erindale [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 318				
ESL (%): 17.0		Special needs (%): 19.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 2013-14 Last 5 Years 306/749 322/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	86.4	85.2	75.2	80.2	84.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	42.6	53.6	58.7	65.3	63.2	▲
Tests below standard (%)	24.0	26.9	25.8	22.8	23.1	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 3.4	F 0.1	F 18.7	F 1.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	1.1	0.9	2.2	1.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.3	6.0	6.8	6.6	—

Father Michael Goetz [Catholic] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 462				
ESL (%): 20.8		Special needs (%): 11.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 2013-14 Last 5 Years 215/749 220/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	84.9	84.7	85.9	82.4	84.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	51.2	57.8	57.5	72.1	62.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	24.4	22.0	20.0	21.6	21.2	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.5	F 7.1	F 2.3	F 7.6	F 8.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.2	0.5	0.0	0.9	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.9	7.1	6.9	7.0	—

Glenforest [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 421				
ESL (%): 20.7		Special needs (%): 4.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 2013-14 Last 5 Years 53/749 57/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.5	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	91.3	86.7	91.0	93.3	92.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	56.5	86.9	45.3	54.5	55.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	17.7	15.6	17.8	14.0	13.8	▲
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.5	F 1.4	F 4.2	M 0.6	F 7.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.9	7.7	8.4	8.1	—

Gordon Graydon Memorial [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 267				
ESL (%): 3.0		Special needs (%): 28.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 2013-14 Last 5 Years 53/749 40/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.2	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.3	2.0	1.8	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	95.2	92.7	96.1	98.1	98.9	▲
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	20.5	30.3	18.9	27.8	19.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	12.8	13.5	11.3	10.8	11.2	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.2	E	E	M 0.3	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.7	M 5.2	F 3.1	M 4.1	M 0.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	0.4	1.3	0.9	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.9	8.4	8.2	8.1	—

Iona [Catholic] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 302				
ESL (%): 11.9		Special needs (%): 15.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 2013-14 Last 5 Years 86/749 124/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.9	2.4	2.6	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	86.0	88.0	88.4	85.6	92.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	58.3	63.2	n/a	86.4	65.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	17.7	14.3	14.2	17.7	13.2	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	E	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.7	F 7.1	F 6.1	F 7.9	F 11.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	0.6	0.8	1.4	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	7.6	7.6	7.2	7.8	—

ISNA [Private] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 40				
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 2013-14 Last 5 Years 4/749 n/a				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.9	3.0	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	91.3	93.0	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	10.1	6.0	n/a
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n		

Loyola [Catholic] Mississauga							OSSLT count: 299				
ESL (%): 11.4		Special needs (%): 22.1									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years									
Rank:		198/749					176/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.5	2.7	2.9	▲					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.1	85.2	87.3	86.8	83.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	65.5	52.9	70.3	59.5	76.5	—					
Tests below standard (%)	22.3	19.8	16.0	17.2	15.6	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.2	F 8.2	F 0.6	F 4.7	F 12.5	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	1.8	1.0	0.9	0.4	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.9	7.6	7.2	7.1	—					

Rick Hansen [Public] Mississauga							OSSLT count: 596				
ESL (%): 16.8		Special needs (%): 8.9									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years									
Rank:		136/749					140/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.6	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.6	89.7	89.7	90.1	89.0	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	67.9	69.2	59.2	74.1	58.4	—					
Tests below standard (%)	17.6	16.7	20.4	17.0	15.9	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.2	M 0.4	F 3.1	F 3.2	F 3.4	▼					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.7	0.2	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.4	7.0	7.3	7.5	—					

St Martin [Catholic] Mississauga							OSSLT count: 319				
ESL (%): 20.4		Special needs (%): 16.0									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years									
Rank:		152/749					266/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	2.9	2.9	3.2	3.2	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.8	2.9	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.6	76.7	79.7	88.3	85.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	46.5	36.0	50.0	37.0	32.7	—					
Tests below standard (%)	21.8	28.7	25.5	16.9	19.1	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.3	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.1	F 10.6	F 6.3	F 9.2	F 9.5	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.5	1.9	0.5	0.8	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	5.4	6.2	7.6	7.4	—					

Meadowvale [Public] Mississauga							OSSLT count: 359				
ESL (%): 12.0		Special needs (%): 20.9									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years									
Rank:		306/749					238/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.6	86.2	81.9	83.7	81.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.2	61.5	62.9	64.8	60.7	—					
Tests below standard (%)	23.4	19.0	22.4	20.5	21.2	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.9	F 3.6	F 10.6	F 6.8	F 10.5	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.9	1.8	1.4	0.9	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	7.2	6.6	6.8	6.6	—					

Sainte-Famille [Catholic] Mississauga							OSSLT count: 170				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.0									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years									
Rank:		72/749					220/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.8	3.1	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.7	2.1	2.3	2.8	▲					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.4	86.4	94.0	91.6	91.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	45.8	80.0	79.3	86.7	83.3	—					
Tests below standard (%)	25.0	24.2	21.4	18.9	8.9	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.0	F 8.7	F 5.2	F 3.9	F 2.6	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	2.3	0.0	0.7	0.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.4	6.7	6.6	7.9	—					

St Paul [Catholic] Mississauga							OSSLT count: 161				
ESL (%): 11.8		Special needs (%): 20.5									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years									
Rank:		198/749					355/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.8	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.4	87.8	83.1	82.8	86.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	44.4	51.5	n/a	92.3	47.1	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	28.1	28.6	21.8	23.1	18.3	▲					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.8	F 5.6	F 11.0	F 4.1	F 5.1	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.5	3.3	0.7	4.5	3.1	—					
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.8	6.2	6.6	7.1	▲					

Mississauga [Public] Mississauga							OSSLT count: 508				
ESL (%): 10.4		Special needs (%): 13.0									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years									
Rank:		239/749					238/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.0	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.5	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	78.0	85.8	85.8	88.8	86.0	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.3	53.3	51.6	48.7	58.1	—					
Tests below standard (%)	27.0	21.6	18.4	19.2	19.6	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.8	F 12.1	F 2.5	F 1.2	F 9.2	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	0.2	1.2	1.0	0.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	6.8	7.4	7.3	6.9	—					

St Aloysius Gonzaga [Catholic] Mississauga							OSSLT count: 462				
ESL (%): 5.6		Special needs (%): 22.9									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years									
Rank:		41/749					24/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.1	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.5	94.2	96.3	95.0	95.0	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.5	56.5	63.4	43.2	45.9	—					
Tests below standard (%)	11.7	9.5	9.3	11.0	9.6	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	F 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.2	F 2.2	F 6.0	F 3.1	F 6.8	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.7	—					
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	8.6	8.7	8.1	8.3	—					

St. Joan of Arc [Catholic] Mississauga							OSSLT count: 339				
ESL (%): 12.1		Special needs (%): 12.4									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years									
Rank:		119/749					124/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.2	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.3	88.8	84.2	89.2	91.9	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.9	65.7	61.4	76.8	72.5	—					
Tests below standard (%)	20.0	17.0	19.2	13.9	14.4	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	F 3.2	M 7.1	F 10.1	F 6.7	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.5	7.1	7.8	7.6	—					

Our Lady of Mount Carmel [Catholic] Mississauga							OSSLT count: 515				
ESL (%): 6.6		Special needs (%): 17.3									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years									
Rank:		104/749					156/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.6	3.0	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.0	92.1	91.3	91.1	92.9	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	62.1	55.8	61.9	64.0	59.1	—					
Tests below standard (%)	17.7	15.1	15.4	14.1	13.1	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.7	F 6.1	F 6.3	M 1.2	F 8.5	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	2.1	1.0	0.7	0.8	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.7	7.6	7.7	7.7	—					

St Francis Xavier [Catholic] Mississauga							OSSLT count: 561				
ESL (%): 14.8		Special needs (%): 13.4									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years									
Rank:		86/749					68/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.1	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.0	89.2	91.7	87.6	90.5	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	42.5	53.7	58.0	57.9	56.3	—					
Tests below standard (%)	16.0	13.3	12.5	14.1	13.7	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	E	M 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.										

Thomas L Kennedy [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 191				
ESL (%): 47.1	Special needs (%): 13.1					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
	Rank:	512/749 591/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	1.9	2.3	2.0	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	71.3	64.7	65.1	72.0	81.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	36.8	35.8	51.8	67.6	58.3	▲
Tests below standard (%)	40.2	48.4	42.9	34.8	34.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.2	F 21.2	M 0.8	F 8.7	F 16.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	2.5	3.5	2.0	2.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	3.0	4.4	5.5	5.4	—

West Credit [Public] Mississauga		OSSLT count: 219				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 92.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
	Rank:	742/749 n/a				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.0	1.7	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	12.0	16.3	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	5.9	11.6	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	81.1	83.8	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	0.0	n/a

PEEL AREA

Bramalea [Public] Brampton		OSSLT count: 418				
ESL (%): 25.8	Special needs (%): 10.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
	Rank:	575/749 532/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.7	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.5	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	71.8	71.1	66.8	76.9	78.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	42.5	36.6	39.8	46.3	44.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	33.6	37.1	33.3	33.7	35.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.5	F 13.7	F 15.0	F 13.5	F 11.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	3.2	6.0	2.4	1.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.0	4.9	5.6	4.9	—

Brampton Centennial [Public] Brampton		OSSLT count: 310				
ESL (%): 23.2	Special needs (%): 13.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
	Rank:	239/749 322/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.6	2.6	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.2	75.6	76.0	84.6	85.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.2	57.8	52.2	68.7	50.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.2	31.3	27.1	18.7	21.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.7	F 11.6	F 1.1	F 11.1	F 0.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	1.5	0.4	1.7	1.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	5.5	6.3	7.3	6.9	—

Cardinal Ambrozic [Catholic] Brampton		OSSLT count: 355				
ESL (%): 5.1	Special needs (%): 15.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
	Rank:	306/749 n/a				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.8	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.5	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	85.7	90.2	86.3	84.8	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	36.7	42.9	69.2	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	17.7	16.6	18.4	20.1	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	F 4.3	F 7.3	F 0.1	F 0.9	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	0.0	0.6	0.4	1.7	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	7.2	7.4	7.3	6.6	n/a

Cardinal Leger [Catholic] Brampton		OSSLT count: 349				
ESL (%): 6.6	Special needs (%): 22.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
	Rank:	281/749 322/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.1	79.2	83.4	77.5	80.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	48.1	41.7	42.6	51.5	57.9	▲
Tests below standard (%)	26.9	25.3	23.2	23.5	21.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.2	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.7	F 2.0	F 13.6	F 15.7	F 17.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	0.7	0.7	1.5	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.2	6.5	6.3	6.7	—

Castlebrooke [Public] Brampton		OSSLT count: 601				
ESL (%): 8.2	Special needs (%): 10.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
	Rank:	437/749 n/a				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.9	2.9	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.1	1.9	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	79.3	80.3	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	54.7	53.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	24.2	27.1	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	E	E	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 12.0	F 6.1	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.4	1.8	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	6.0	5.9	n/a

Central Peel [Public] Brampton		OSSLT count: 325				
ESL (%): 42.5	Special needs (%): 11.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
	Rank:	471/749 558/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.5	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	76.1	74.4	73.9	75.1	72.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.7	44.7	39.1	42.9	46.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	42.6	41.2	41.9	41.2	33.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.3	F 0.8	M 11.4	M 1.5	M 5.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.6	2.0	2.5	3.7	2.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.6	4.7	4.6	4.6	5.7	—

Chinguacousy [Public] Brampton		OSSLT count: 313				
ESL (%): 16.9	Special needs (%): 11.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
	Rank:	281/749 505/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.9	3.0	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	78.0	79.9	73.7	74.0	84.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	49.5	50.0	43.5	61.2	52.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	38.6	32.3	35.7	26.7	20.1	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.0	F 10.6	F 9.5	M 6.0	F 1.1	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.7	2.5	0.8	3.4	2.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	4.9	4.4	6.3	6.7	▲

David Suzuki [Public] Brampton		OSSLT count: 637				
ESL (%): 23.4	Special needs (%): 14.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
	Rank:	489/749 n/a				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	2.8	2.8	2.7	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	2.2	2.2	2.1	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	73.4	81.9	80.8	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	42.2	71.2	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	31.5	28.7	28.7	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	M 0.1	E	E	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	M 0.1	F 3.7	F 9.2	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	0.4	1.0	0.2	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	5.2	5.9	5.6	n/a

Fletcher's Meadow [Public] Brampton		OSSLT count: 496				
ESL (%): 10.7	Special needs (%): 13.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
	Rank:	421/749 355/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	78.1	78.9	79.0	84.0	81.4	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.7	51.1	63.9	61.1	58.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.7	22.6	25.8	25.1	26.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.8	F 0.6	F 11.5	F 4.7	F 5.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	0.9	0.3	0.9	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	6.6	6.4	6.7	6.0	—

Harold M. Brathwaite [Public] Brampton		OSSLT count: 287				
ESL (%): 12.5	Special needs (%): 11.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
	Rank:	527/749 519/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.0	2.1	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.2	78.9	72.4	78.1	72.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	46.6	41.3	52.3	51.6	55.6	▲
Tests below standard (%)	32.2	34.0	34.0	33.0	31.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.9	F 7.9	M 2.1	F 7.7	F 23.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.4	2.3	0.8	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	5.2	5.1	5.2	5.3	—

Heart Lake [Public] Brampton		OSSLT count: 458				
ESL (%): 4.6	Special needs (%): 16.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
	Rank:	455/749 473/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.7	2.1	2.1	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.0	79.5	81.5	80.3	81.8	

North Park [Public] Brampton **OSSLT count: 422**

ESL (%): 0.5
Special needs (%): 11.1
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Rank: 239/749 238/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	2.3	2.3	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.8	85.4	83.9	88.2	88.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.0	52.7	54.5	70.8	58.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.8	25.4	18.7	17.4	19.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.4	F 4.4	F 10.6	F 4.8	M 0.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.8	1.3	0.6	1.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	6.1	7.0	7.2	6.9	—

Notre Dame [Catholic] Brampton **OSSLT count: 505**

ESL (%): 9.7
Special needs (%): 14.7
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Rank: 281/749 338/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.5	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.9	83.6	82.9	85.9	85.9	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	46.8	55.9	50.0	64.4	58.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	31.5	25.8	26.5	21.8	22.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.1	F 5.9	F 3.8	F 9.0	F 8.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	1.6	0.6	0.3	0.6	▲
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	6.2	6.1	6.7	6.7	▲

Robert F Hall [Catholic] Caledon East **OSSLT count: 232**

ESL (%): 4.3
Special needs (%): 19.4
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Rank: 239/749 301/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.3	84.9	81.4	88.2	88.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.2	63.2	n/a	n/a	62.5	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	26.1	25.8	21.7	19.4	17.2	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	F 0.2	E	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.9	F 7.8	F 7.8	F 2.0	F 5.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	1.0	1.4	0.5	0.6	▲
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.1	6.2	7.0	6.9	▲

Sandalwood Heights [Public] Brampton **OSSLT count: 433**

ESL (%): 29.6
Special needs (%): 15.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Rank: 325/749 355/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.1	78.7	77.6	79.5	82.8	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.4	45.4	43.6	47.8	45.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.6	26.0	28.0	22.7	24.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.1	F 4.3	F 6.4	F 5.0	F 1.2	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.8	2.6	1.3	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	6.2	6.0	6.7	6.5	▲

St Edmund Campion [Catholic] Brampton **OSSLT count: 524**

ESL (%): 6.3
Special needs (%): 21.2
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Rank: 119/749 124/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.6	3.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.0	80.5	82.4	84.4	83.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.9	58.7	50.0	51.4	52.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.2	18.6	17.3	18.6	16.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.5	F 5.5	F 3.8	F 4.4	F 11.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.5	7.6	7.4	7.6	—

St Augustine [Catholic] Brampton **OSSLT count: 353**

ESL (%): 9.9
Special needs (%): 22.4
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Rank: 306/749 355/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.2	2.7	2.7	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.7	79.6	80.7	82.3	81.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.5	41.9	34.5	32.4	48.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	32.9	27.4	23.9	26.0	23.3	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.7	M 2.9	F 11.6	F 0.7	F 7.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.8	1.0	0.8	1.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	6.1	6.4	6.6	6.6	▲

St Marguerite d'Youville [Catholic] Brampton **OSSLT count: 478**

ESL (%): 7.9
Special needs (%): 13.6
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Rank: 455/749 355/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.3	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	76.9	83.4	82.2	84.5	80.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.4	44.3	45.8	51.6	56.4	▲
Tests below standard (%)	27.0	25.0	21.8	25.3	26.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.9	F 10.3	F 8.8	F 5.1	F 14.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	6.6	6.6	6.5	5.8	—

St Thomas Aquinas [Catholic] Brampton **OSSLT count: 576**

ESL (%): 4.2
Special needs (%): 14.8
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Rank: 344/749 373/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	2.6	2.3	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.2	80.5	83.2	83.0	83.8	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.2	51.2	45.5	55.8	71.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	28.5	29.8	24.4	24.3	22.4	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.3	F 11.4	F 14.1	F 11.0	F 10.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	1.1	0.4	1.5	0.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	5.3	6.5	6.4	6.4	—

St. Michael [Catholic] Bolton **OSSLT count: 337**

ESL (%): 0.6
Special needs (%): 21.4
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Rank: 152/749 n/a

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	2.8	3.1	2.9	2.9	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	2.1	2.6	2.7	3.0	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	74.7	81.2	81.6	84.9	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	83.3	90.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	29.0	17.6	19.5	13.6	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	F 20.3	F 11.3	F 9.8	F 7.6	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	0.3	1.1	0.0	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	5.0	7.0	6.8	7.4	n/a

St. Roch [Catholic] Brampton **OSSLT count: 414**

ESL (%): 9.9
Special needs (%): 16.9
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Rank: 281/749 n/a

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	2.9	2.8	3.0	2.8	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	2.4	2.2	2.7	2.8	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	80.0	83.7	86.9	84.6	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	50.0	62.0	61.9	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	25.3	26.2	17.6	22.7	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	F 4.4	F 5.6	F 4.1	F 8.2	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	0.6	0.9	0.3	0.9	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	6.3	6.1	7.5	6.7	n/a

The Humberview [Public] Bolton **OSSLT count: 355**

ESL (%): 2.5
Special needs (%): 18.6
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Rank: 239/749 220/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.1	2.7	2.5	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.5	91.1	86.9	83.3	86.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	79.4	50.0	30.4	54.2	75.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.0	20.2	16.8	18.7	19.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.8	F 10.0	F 2.6	F 5.8	F 0.3	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.8	7.4	6.9	6.9	—

Turner Fenton [Public] Brampton **OSSLT count: 685**

ESL (%): 1.0
Special needs (%): 25.1
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Rank: 371/749 266/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.7	86.3	82.6	82.6	78.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	37.0	33.3	28.6	37.5	41.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.9	19.8	20.0	19.0	22.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.2	F 11.3	F 14.8	F 13.3	F 14.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.2	0.9	1.5	0.8	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.9	6.7	7.0	6.3	—

TORONTO

Agincourt [Public] Toronto **OSSLT count: 440**

ESL (%): 17.7
Special needs (%): 16.1
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Rank: 119/749 68/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.1	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.9	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.1	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.2	88.4	89.3	93.5	89.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	42.7	42.3	50.7	61.5	63.6	▲
Tests below standard (%)	13.7	18.8	15.7	11.9	15.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.6	M 1.0	M 1.3	F 2.6	F 2.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	2.3	0.3	0.3	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	7.4	7.9	8.3	7.6	—

Albert Campbell [Public] Toronto **OSSLT count: 515**

ESL (%): 37.9
Special needs (%): 13.8
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Rank: 325/749 322/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.9	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.2	2.6	2.4	1.9	—

Bishop Marrocco/Thomas Merton [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 371
ESL (%): 19.7		Special needs (%): 28.3		2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 679/749		657/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.6	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	68.0	72.7	65.8	62.6	65.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.5	37.1	40.6	50.0	41.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	50.5	48.4	44.7	52.9	47.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.6	F 13.3	F 9.9	M 4.8	F 19.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.1	10.4	4.5	2.3	1.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.1	3.1	3.5	3.1	3.5	—

Blessed Mother Teresa [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 236
ESL (%): 12.3		Special needs (%): 23.3		2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 646/749		611/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.5	2.5	2.6	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	1.8	1.9	1.7	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	68.5	75.6	68.0	64.3	73.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.3	43.7	43.8	24.2	38.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	34.2	37.9	43.6	49.4	43.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 29.6	F 13.9	M 1.5	F 4.9	F 2.5	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	▲
Overall rating out of 10	4.8	5.2	3.8	3.2	4.1	—

Bloor [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 150
ESL (%): 7.3		Special needs (%): 22.7		2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 41/749		176/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.4	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.4	2.1	2.2	2.6	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.2	83.3	87.1	88.5	88.8	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.9	41.9	50.0	57.7	45.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.0	25.1	21.4	19.5	12.0	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.5	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.7	M 4.9	F 6.0	M 12.6	M 5.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.6	0.9	0.0	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	6.6	7.4	7.5	8.3	▲

Brebeuf [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 329
ESL (%): 21.6		Special needs (%): 22.8		2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 325/749		417/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	2.6	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.7	82.9	78.0	75.5	80.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	34.6	46.3	36.1	35.0	53.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.3	23.1	31.4	25.7	23.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	2.2	3.4	0.4	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	6.5	4.9	5.8	6.5	—

CW Jefferys [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 145
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 697/749		674/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.0	1.5	2.1	1.8	1.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	68.6	58.6	63.4	62.3	71.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	35.5	31.2	30.6	35.9	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	51.0	53.4	43.7	41.5	42.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.0	M 6.7	F 5.4	F 15.4	F 13.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.0	6.0	2.5	0.0	2.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	2.1	2.1	3.0	3.7	3.1	▲

Cardinal Carter Academy for the Arts [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 178
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 9/749		6/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.2	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	2.8	n/a	2.6	3.5	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	98.9	98.3	99.4	98.9	99.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	4.0	6.0	1.6	3.9	2.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	F 0.1	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.6	F 3.1	M 0.9	F 1.5	F 2.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.8	8.7	9.5	8.9	8.9	—

Cardinal Newman [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 417
ESL (%): 16.5		Special needs (%): 21.3		2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 306/749		401/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.8	2.5	2.4	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.1	83.8	83.7	78.9	82.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	65.0	43.6	66.7	53.2	71.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	30.2	30.0	22.3	30.3	21.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 8.8	F 5.9	F 15.4	F 6.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.0	2.3	0.0	1.0	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	5.4	6.7	5.4	6.6	—

Cedarbrae [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 517
ESL (%): 9.1		Special needs (%): 23.2		2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 667/749		618/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.3	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	76.9	74.3	76.9	64.5	67.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	38.0	39.2	36.2	39.6	40.5	▲
Tests below standard (%)	44.3	38.7	37.7	45.1	43.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.2	F 5.0	F 7.6	F 13.6	F 21.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.5	4.4	4.3	4.6	3.3	▲
Overall rating out of 10	3.6	4.5	4.8	3.6	3.8	—

Central [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 687
ESL (%): 25.8		Special needs (%): 38.3		2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 713/749		670/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.4	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.9	1.7	1.8	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	62.6	58.8	55.7	65.0	53.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	39.9	42.8	34.6	38.7	36.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	54.1	51.7	57.9	53.7	56.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.3	M 0.1	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 19.2	M 5.8	F 17.8	F 17.1	F 15.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	13.6	13.1	10.0	10.8	5.9	▲
Overall rating out of 10	3.4	3.6	2.2	3.4	2.6	—

Central Commerce [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 171
ESL (%): 55.6		Special needs (%): 17.5		2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 692/749		654/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	n/a	2.8	2.4	2.0	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.2	n/a	2.3	1.6	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	44.2	54.2	42.1	75.0	55.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	30.6	44.3	52.2	42.3	54.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	64.5	52.2	43.5	47.3	54.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	n/a	n/a	F 0.8	F 0.1	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	M 15.1	n/a	n/a	F 20.3	F 14.9	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	17.4	0.0	0.0	2.3	10.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	1.9	3.9	4.5	3.7	3.2	—

Chaminade [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 293
ESL (%): 4.1		Special needs (%): 17.4		2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 215/749		373/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.9	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.8	2.2	2.2	2.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	78.5	88.8	83.7	81.5	87.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	42.9	60.7	55.0	60.6	40.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.2	28.4	23.5	22.6	19.6	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.9	2.2	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	5.3	6.4	6.6	7.0	▲

Collège français [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 52
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 563/749		519/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	1.9	2.3	2.6	2.3	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.1	92.4	91.8	92.3	96.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	62.5	n/a	62.5	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	32.1	39.1	20.4	21.5	20.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.6	M 0.3	F 0.3	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.9	F 7.7	F 5.7	M 2.4	F 6.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	4.0	5.4	5.9	5.0	—

Danforth [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 355
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 54.1		2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 654/749		618/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.0	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	74.2	69.0	66.			

Downsview [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 152			
ESL (%): 10.5		Special needs (%): 33.6				2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 722/749				686/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.8	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.3	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.4	2.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	50.0	45.4	48.6	44.0	46.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	26.9	53.7	50.9	43.4	36.1	—			
Tests below standard (%)	64.4	60.9	57.9	62.4	55.8	▲			
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	E	F 0.4	M 0.2	F 0.3	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	M 10.3	F 2.7	F 13.3	M 0.9	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	14.7	8.1	7.6	11.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10	0.4	1.3	1.6	1.4	2.2	▲			

Etobicoke [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 284				
ESL (%): 10.9		Special needs (%): 23.6				2013-14		Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 119/749				156/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.2	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.9	2.6	2.8	2.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.9	84.7	84.6	84.6	86.1	▲				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	46.8	51.9	49.2	42.9	56.8	—				
Tests below standard (%)	24.7	22.3	19.1	17.6	17.6	▲				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	F 0.1	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.4	M 4.3	F 1.0	M 1.5	M 0.4	▲				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.5	5.4	5.1	15.6	0.5	1.9	▲			
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.8	7.4	7.7	7.6	▲				

George Harvey [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 295			
ESL (%): 26.1		Special needs (%): 21.0				2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 692/749				643/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.6	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.9	1.9	1.7	1.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	70.3	65.1	73.0	67.5	56.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.2	58.8	41.6	37.5	40.5	▼			
Tests below standard (%)	52.6	48.6	47.1	50.9	53.9	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.5	M 0.2	M 0.3	E	E	▲			
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.6	F 4.9	F 5.3	M 8.3	F 3.2	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.1	0.0	1.7	4.6	7.8	—			
Overall rating out of 10	3.0	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.2	—			

Dr Norman Bethune [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 361			
ESL (%): 33.5		Special needs (%): 8.6				2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 86/749				68/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.7	2.9	2.8	3.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.3	92.1	90.5	90.2	90.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	27.8	42.2	31.5	46.5	50.0	▲			
Tests below standard (%)	21.5	12.5	14.4	12.7	15.5	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.5	M 3.1	F 8.7	M 4.7	F 6.0	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.5	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	8.3	7.9	8.1	7.8	—			

Etobicoke School of the Arts [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 263			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 11.8				2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 47/749				21/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.5	3.0	2.7	2.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	99.6	98.4	95.8	97.3	97.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	78.6	91.7	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	7.3	7.0	5.7	6.6	7.0	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.6	F 1.4	F 2.7	F 5.5	F 3.0	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.8	0.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	8.6	8.7	8.4	8.2	—			

George S Henry [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 296			
ESL (%): 38.9		Special needs (%): 20.9				2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 606/749				623/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.1	1.4	1.7	1.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.2	67.2	61.2	48.4	83.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.0	60.5	37.8	36.3	36.7	—			
Tests below standard (%)	35.4	38.0	54.2	56.3	39.9	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.4	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.4	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.3	F 16.2	M 0.5	F 0.7	F 0.4	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	10.1	9.7	15.7	5.1	5.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	4.3	2.9	2.9	4.7	—			

Earl Haig [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 683			
ESL (%): 33.5		Special needs (%): 8.2				2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 29/749				32/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.2	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.6	3.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.5	94.8	90.0	93.1	95.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	48.9	67.4	62.1	68.1	71.9	—			
Tests below standard (%)	15.7	11.1	14.5	10.9	9.7	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.4	F 0.6	F 8.7	F 2.2	F 4.5	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.1	1.3	0.2	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	8.6	7.9	8.6	8.5	—			

Father Henry Carr [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 414			
ESL (%): 44.2		Special needs (%): 10.6				2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 697/749				648/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.3	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.1	1.9	2.0	1.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	65.0	67.2	80.0	81.5	80.4	▲			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	20.4	34.9	23.3	20.9	18.1	—			
Tests below standard (%)	56.0	45.9	47.8	47.4	51.6	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.6	F 5.8	F 7.1	F 2.1	F 13.9	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	3.7	1.5	1.3	—			
Overall rating out of 10	2.3	3.8	4.0	4.3	3.1	—			

Harbord [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 334			
ESL (%): 6.6		Special needs (%): 20.1				2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 136/749				156/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.2	91.4	88.7	91.1	91.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	39.3	59.5	62.2	58.3	75.0	▲			
Tests below standard (%)	21.5	14.6	17.0	14.7	14.0	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.1	F 0.2	F 9.3	M 0.4	M 5.6	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	3.0	1.3	0.3	1.1	▲			
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	7.6	7.1	7.6	7.5	—			

East York [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 407			
ESL (%): 14.7		Special needs (%): 23.6				2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 575/749				654/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.3	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.3	2.1	2.0	2.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	70.1	69.7	70.4	69.6	71.3	▲			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	32.7	38.6	45.7	39.4	56.2	▲			
Tests below standard (%)	42.7	39.3	37.2	44.6	36.5	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	M 0.2	▼			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 12.2	F 8.7	F 7.8	F 6.8	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.1	5.7	4.8	4.2	5.1	—			
Overall rating out of 10	3.8	4.3	4.7	3.8	4.9	—			

Father John Redmond [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 343			
ESL (%): 14.3		Special needs (%): 20.4				2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 72/749				48/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.6	2.2	2.5	2.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.7	91.9	94.2	97.0	96.4	▲			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	72.0	n/a	n/a	61.1	62.0	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	17.7	10.6	14.8	10.9	12.4	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.5	F 8.5	F 5.2	F 2.4	F 2.6	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.2	▼			
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	8.0	7.7	8.2	7.9	—			

Havergal [Private] Toronto						OSSLT count: 115			
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a				2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 2/749				n/a			

James Cardinal McGuigan [Catholic] Toronto							OSSLT count: 366		
ESL (%):	36.3			Special needs (%):			20.5		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a				Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years	
				Rank:		626/749		611/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	3.0	2.9	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.2	1.9	2.2	2.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	66.9	59.8	59.8	56.6	61.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	45.5	40.9	37.3	39.0	36.0	▼			
Tests below standard (%)	38.9	44.1	48.7	50.5	44.5	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.3	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	F 3.6	F 13.3	F 9.1	F 4.2	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	3.8	0.9	1.1	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	4.8	3.8	3.8	4.0	4.5	—			

Jarvis [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 253		
ESL (%):	12.3			Special needs (%):			13.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a				Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years	
				Rank:		619/749		558/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.5	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.9	1.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.4	78.2	77.8	76.4	81.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.1	46.5	46.8	59.6	63.2	—			
Tests below standard (%)	35.0	35.9	36.5	31.1	35.3	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.2	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.6	M 0.3	F 9.2	F 3.3	F 10.4	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.0	1.5	—			
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	4.4	4.7	5.5	4.6	—			

Jean Vanier [Catholic] Toronto							OSSLT count: 375		
ESL (%):	37.1			Special needs (%):			18.1		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a				Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years	
				Rank:		406/749		322/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.2	3.0	2.9	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.1	▼			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.4	76.9	81.4	75.6	78.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	68.3	62.8	45.8	46.6	52.1	—			
Tests below standard (%)	19.6	30.4	29.6	33.5	32.1	▼			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.1	F 3.5	F 6.4	F 4.1	F 2.3	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	0.0	2.2	3.2	1.7	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	6.4	6.4	5.6	6.1	▼			

John Polanyi [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 323		
ESL (%):	39.3			Special needs (%):			18.9		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a				Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years	
				Rank:		512/749		n/a	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	2.6	2.5	2.6	n/a			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	1.8	1.9	2.5	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	48.4	67.1	93.3	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	40.5	62.5	59.2	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	54.2	40.9	32.8	n/a			
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.7	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 1.3	F 2.7	F 26.9	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	9.8	17.2	15.2	n/a			
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	2.5	4.3	5.4	n/a			

Kipling [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 244		
ESL (%):	29.5			Special needs (%):			13.9		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a				Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years	
				Rank:		728/749		670/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.4	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.3	▼			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	71.8	70.9	66.7	63.6	45.1	▼			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.0	38.8	26.4	34.8	38.1	—			
Tests below standard (%)	40.9	49.5	55.3	56.9	62.0	▼			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 1.0	F 3.4	E	F 8.0	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.4	10.3	3.9	5.8	7.9	—			
Overall rating out of 10	4.4	3.3	2.4	3.0	1.7	▼			

Lakeshore [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 289		
ESL (%):	13.5			Special needs (%):			37.7		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a				Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years	
				Rank:		669/749		648/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.4	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.7	1.9	1.6	1.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.4	68.8	64.3	69.5	68.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	42.9	49.2	37.9	37.7	51.5	—			
Tests below standard (%)	45.1	47.6	49.9	48.2	48.3	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.3	F 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.6	F 3.8	F 13.0	F 5.3	M 3.8	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	5.3	5.9	3.5	9.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	3.8	3.6	3.0	3.5	3.7	—			

L'Amoreaux [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 334		
ESL (%):	42.8			Special needs (%):			18.9		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a				Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years	
				Rank:		344/749		355/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.5	▲			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.5	75.0	83.9	80.0	83.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	38.7	49.4	42.2	51.0	42.6	—			
Tests below standard (%)	33.9	32.4	29.6	28.5	31.4	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.3	F 23.7	M 3.1	F 14.8	F 9.5	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.8	5.2	2.7	5.7	3.2	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.8	6.6	6.6	6.4	—			

Lawrence Park [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 368		
ESL (%):	1.4			Special needs (%):			10.9		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a				Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years	
				Rank:		29/749		27/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	▲			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.8	2.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	98.4	95.3	96.8	97.5	97.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	100.0	87.5	75.0	55.6	58.3	▼			
Tests below standard (%)	10.3	10.7	8.2	7.2	6.5	▲			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.8	F 4.7	F 2.2	E	M 0.9	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	0.3	0.0	1.5	0.6	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.1	8.4	8.5	8.5	▲			

Leaside [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 282		
ESL (%):	1.1			Special needs (%):			8.5		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a				Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years	
				Rank:		86/749		48/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	▼			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.5	2.8	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	95.5	96.7	95.4	94.6	94.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	84.2	94.1	85.7	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	7.9	8.0	8.0	11.2	10.2	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.4	F 2.8	F 4.4	F 5.7	F 5.3	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	2.2	0.4	0.0	0.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	8.2	8.3	7.7	7.8	—			

Lester B Pearson [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 486		
ESL (%):	6.2			Special needs (%):			20.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a				Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years	
				Rank:		437/749		389/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.8	▼			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.2	2.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.6	82.1	77.8	75.0	81.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	35.5	44.7	44.7	46.3	48.9	▲			
Tests below standard (%)	30.7	27.9	27.7	29.4	27.8	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.3	F 12.8	F 4.0	F 6.9	F 3.7	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	1.2	1.2	3.1	3.8	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	6.1	6.1	5.9	5.9	—			

Loretto [Catholic] Toronto							OSSLT count: 199		
ESL (%):	15.1			Special needs (%):			18.6		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a				Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years	
				Rank:		547/749		611/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.7	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.7	2.0	2.2	2.3	▲			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.6	75.0	67.5	70.7	75.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.1	52.9	n/a	24.0	58.8	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	38.3	42.5	41.2	38.7	33.3	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	0.9	0.0	2.0	3.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10	4.4	3.3	3.5	4.5	5.1	—			

Michael Power/St Joseph [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 652	
ESL (%): 10.7	Special needs (%): 15.2					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 258/749					156/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	▼	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.1	1.9	1.7	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.5	91.3	88.5	88.9	87.9	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.5	45.6	41.8	49.4	53.1	—	
Tests below standard (%)	13.4	17.6	20.4	20.3	20.7	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	E	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.1	F 1.0	F 7.8	F 3.7	F 1.8	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	0.4	0.8	0.8	1.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.4	6.9	6.9	6.8	▼	

Monarch Park [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 318	
ESL (%): 24.5	Special needs (%): 19.5					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 421/749					373/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.1	84.4	85.1	81.9	83.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	25.0	38.9	39.6	40.9	47.6	▲	
Tests below standard (%)	34.6	25.8	30.8	27.8	26.3	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.3	E	F 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.6	F 4.1	F 2.8	F 3.7	F 7.9	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.6	5.0	2.6	5.0	4.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	6.7	5.9	6.3	6.0	—	

Monseigneur-de-Charbonnel [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 102	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 22.5					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 215/749					200/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.9	3.0	3.1	▲	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	2.3	2.4	2.6	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.9	87.9	82.1	88.9	89.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	83.3	78.6	62.5	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	19.4	17.1	19.9	15.2	16.3	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.2	▼	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 3.8	F 1.5	F 8.7	F 3.4	F 8.6	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	5.0	4.1	2.8	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	6.8	6.7	7.1	7.0	—	

Monsignor Percy Johnson [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 356	
ESL (%): 9.3	Special needs (%): 26.7					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 534/749					568/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.0	2.2	2.3	2.2	▲	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.0	79.1	73.4	74.1	77.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	40.0	40.3	43.6	25.6	36.4	—	
Tests below standard (%)	42.9	38.0	34.3	39.4	37.1	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.2	F 10.2	F 13.1	F 8.5	F 4.6	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	3.9	4.5	5.1	4.6	5.2	▲	

Neil McNeil [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 290	
ESL (%): 23.8	Special needs (%): 17.2					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 104/749					40/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.2	3.0	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	3.2	3.4	3.6	3.4	2.9	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.5	92.6	81.6	81.2	87.9	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	75.9	78.6	40.7	56.5	56.8	—	
Tests below standard (%)	11.3	9.9	14.0	18.0	15.1	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	8.9	8.1	7.4	7.7	—	

Newtonbrook [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 416	
ESL (%): 39.2	Special needs (%): 7.5					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 596/749					492/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.6	▼	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.9	2.2	1.9	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.5	79.8	74.5	75.5	69.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.8	48.5	52.1	39.5	45.6	—	
Tests below standard (%)	32.7	30.4	30.7	36.7	37.5	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	M 0.2	E	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.2	F 5.6	F 10.5	F 12.1	F 12.4	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.5	2.9	3.0	3.1	2.3	▲	
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	6.0	5.6	5.2	4.8	—	

North Albion [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 436	
ESL (%): 15.8	Special needs (%): 31.0					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 654/749					648/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.9	2.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	64.5	69.3	65.2	61.7	61.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	31.7	31.0	25.2	36.5	36.4	—	
Tests below standard (%)	46.2	48.5	47.4	44.9	45.3	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.4	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.2	F 5.6	F 15.6	F 16.4	F 7.1	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.7	5.5	8.0	7.7	4.8	—	
Overall rating out of 10	3.5	3.2	3.1	3.8	4.0	—	

North Toronto [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 281	
ESL (%): 1.8	Special needs (%): 8.5					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 136/749					17/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	1.6	2.2	2.5	2.4	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	98.1	97.8	97.4	97.0	97.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	80.0	93.3	77.3	88.9	84.2	—	
Tests below standard (%)	6.2	4.6	6.8	7.1	10.0	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	E	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.1	M 0.7	F 1.1	F 1.7	F 0.2	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.9	1.8	1.2	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	8.6	9.1	8.8	8.6	8.1	—	

Northern [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 528	
ESL (%): 2.1	Special needs (%): 30.9					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 136/749					140/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.1	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.4	▲	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.2	89.0	92.4	88.4	90.9	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.9	51.2	63.2	54.5	42.6	—	
Tests below standard (%)	19.7	18.9	17.3	15.8	15.6	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.9	F 6.9	F 4.2	F 3.5	F 6.3	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	0.7	2.1	1.1	1.1	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	7.0	7.5	7.4	7.5	▲	

Northview Heights [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 536	
ESL (%): 38.4	Special needs (%): 18.3					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 181/749					275/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.1	▲	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.6	2.2	2.1	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.2	83.9	83.1	74.5	85.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	49.5	59.7	49.6	48.1	57.1	—	
Tests below standard (%)	25.2	21.0	25.5	29.4	22.1	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	F 2.0	M 2.3	F 12.2	F 2.9	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	0.4	0.4	1.9	0.5	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	7.1	6.6	5.8	7.2	—	

Notre Dame [Catholic] Toronto						OSSLT count: 220	
ESL (%): 22.3	Special needs (%): 16.4					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 86/749					68/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.8	2.0	2.1	2.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	97.3	93.8	94.2	89.3	89.0	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	45.0	n/a	61.1	56.3	66.7	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	13.0	11.1	19.6	20.1	13.1	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	1.8	0.0	1.1	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	8.4	7.4	6.9	7.8	—	

Oakwood [Public] Toronto						OSSLT count: 215	
ESL (%): 2.8	Special needs (%): 31.6					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 637/749					630/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.4	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	0.8	1.9	1.6	1.8	2.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.6	77.3	82.4	67.8	75.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.7	52.8	47.1	61.8	39.4	—	
Tests below standard (%)	41.0	40.3	36.7	44.9	39.7	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.3	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.6	F 9.9	F 9.4	F 12.9	F 19.4	▼	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.4	3.4	2.4	2.1	2.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10	3.3	3.9					

Runnymede [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 279	
ESL (%): 5.4		Special needs (%): 19.7					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 654/749					643/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.1	1.5	2.1	1.3	1.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	68.7	68.1	69.5	71.7	65.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	44.7	48.1	44.4	34.3	52.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	44.9	46.9	37.6	44.1	39.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.2	F 11.4	F 17.7	M 2.9	F 13.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.8	2.9	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	3.4	3.0	4.1	3.6	4.0	—		

Sir Oliver Mowat [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 315	
ESL (%): 1.3		Special needs (%): 21.0					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 306/749					220/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.2	1.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.4	91.3	88.1	87.9	89.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.7	64.1	65.5	56.3	55.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	19.5	16.5	20.1	19.3	22.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.5	F 4.5	F 14.4	F 9.7	M 1.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.6	2.1	1.4	1.5	1.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.3	6.8	7.0	6.6	—		

St Mary's [Catholic] Toronto							OSSLT count: 292	
ESL (%): 46.2		Special needs (%): 22.6					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 406/749					492/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.9	3.2	3.3	3.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	67.1	71.6	59.2	72.5	75.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	27.6	43.8	26.8	30.3	30.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	49.9	27.6	36.1	34.4	31.6	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.6	F 10.1	M 2.3	F 27.7	F 18.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	11.7	4.0	2.7	3.8	4.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	3.3	6.4	5.1	6.0	6.1	—		

Saint-Frère-André [Catholic] Toronto							OSSLT count: 20	
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 163/749					n/a	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.8	n/a		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.7	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100.0	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	13.7	n/a		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a		
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	7.3	n/a		

Sir Robert L. Borden [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 225	
ESL (%): 6.7		Special needs (%): 80.0					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 742/749					690/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	0.9	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	27.0	20.8	16.3	18.8	11.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	24.0	11.9	15.0	10.3	7.2	—		
Tests below standard (%)	78.3	84.0	81.3	85.3	87.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 0.2	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 4.5	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	20.8	22.2	25.0	15.0	19.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		

St Michael's Choir (Sr) [Catholic] Toronto							OSSLT count: 20	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 0.0					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 17/749					1/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.3	3.1	3.0	3.4	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	2.0	0.0	5.4	0.0	2.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	9.8	9.6	8.7	9.9	8.8	—		

Scarlett Heights Entrepreneurial [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 108	
ESL (%): 3.7		Special needs (%): 21.3					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 733/749					676/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.7	2.5	1.9	1.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	70.8	63.0	76.1	62.1	49.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.2	31.4	40.4	51.5	50.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	46.7	45.3	42.9	47.6	57.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.6	E	E	F 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.3	F 14.8	F 11.7	F 29.8	F 6.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.6	6.4	12.5	0.0	2.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	2.9	2.9	4.1	2.5	1.3	—		

Sir Wilfrid Laurier [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 506	
ESL (%): 9.3		Special needs (%): 20.0					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 646/749					542/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.8	83.0	77.6	76.7	72.3	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	38.5	39.3	40.4	40.8	31.4	—		
Tests below standard (%)	31.2	33.5	32.8	34.3	39.5	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.1	F 6.0	F 9.4	F 7.8	F 11.7	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.4	3.2	5.1	2.8	3.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	5.1	5.3	5.1	4.1	▼		

St Patrick's [Catholic] Toronto							OSSLT count: 231	
ESL (%): 42.9		Special needs (%): 28.6					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 344/749					492/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.3	2.8	2.7	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	2.7	2.7	2.7	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	59.2	86.7	68.7	85.1	82.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	41.5	59.4	59.7	41.9	44.7	—		
Tests below standard (%)	51.5	39.4	33.6	30.7	28.5	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 25.9	F 10.2	F 8.7	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	10.0	2.9	0.0	1.9	1.3	—		
Overall rating out of 10	2.9	5.4	6.1	6.2	6.4	▲		

Senator O'Connor [Catholic] Toronto							OSSLT count: 372	
ESL (%): 15.1		Special needs (%): 15.3					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 258/749					275/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.1	2.4	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.1	81.1	86.3	84.4	88.9	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	65.4	64.6	71.4	62.3	50.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	25.8	24.0	20.9	21.9	21.0	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	E	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.1	F 18.9	M 0.5	F 5.4	F 10.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.9	2.7	3.1	3.4	0.7	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.0	7.0	6.8	6.8	—		

St Basil The Great [Catholic] Toronto							OSSLT count: 420	
ESL (%): 7.6		Special needs (%): 28.6					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 534/749					492/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.2	2.9	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	1.9	1.9	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.2	74.7	75.6	72.1	75.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	33.9	51.9	50.8	36.5	59.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	30.9	28.4	34.2	36.0	36.1	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.3	F 13.0	F 4.1	F 10.6	F 11.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	2.1	1.7	2.1	1.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.9	5.3	5.0	5.2	▼		

Stephen Leacock [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 336	
ESL (%): 40.8		Special needs (%): 17.0					2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 489/749					457/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	2.4	2.0	2.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	68.5	72.5	77.6	74.3	66.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	33.3	71.4	64.4	52.9	63.8	—		
Tests below standard (%)	42.6	27.9	26.5					

Ursula Franklin [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 115				
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
		534/749		17/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	99.2	100.0	98.6	97.7	99.1	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a					
Tests below standard (%)	4.6	5.6	5.0	4.8	3.9	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.7	E	F 0.1	F 5.7	F 1.6	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	1.4	2.8	0.9	0.9	—					
Overall rating out of 10	8.7	8.5	8.7	8.6	8.6	—					

Western [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 349				
ESL (%): 16.3		Special needs (%): 33.8									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
		502/749		635/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.8	2.9	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.7	1.9	1.9	2.3	▲					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	72.6	60.0	66.2	68.1	74.1	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	38.2	36.3	31.6	29.8	43.2	—					
Tests below standard (%)	46.1	52.6	47.5	40.3	35.0	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	▲					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	F 4.7	F 16.4	F 9.9	F 6.2	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	15.9	6.6	5.7	6.1	3.0	▲					
Overall rating out of 10	3.8	2.3	3.3	4.3	5.5	—					

Woburn [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 354				
ESL (%): 7.9		Special needs (%): 14.4									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
		258/749		322/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.9	2.1	2.4	2.1	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.6	82.4	82.2	84.1	84.4	▲					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	41.1	50.7	58.1	45.9	60.7	—					
Tests below standard (%)	28.5	24.5	21.5	21.9	21.3	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.8	F 3.9	M 3.0	M 6.4	F 3.7	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	1.9	1.4	0.7	0.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	6.4	6.7	6.7	6.8	▲					

Vaughan Road [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 206				
ESL (%): 22.8		Special needs (%): 9.7									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
		534/749		582/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.7	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.9	80.0	82.7	78.3	79.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	48.6	44.4	47.3	36.1	45.2	—					
Tests below standard (%)	35.4	37.3	38.9	39.1	35.4	▲					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.3	F 0.1	F 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.4	E	F 3.2	F 16.8	M 4.2	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	14.4	8.2	16.5	17.0	6.6	—					
Overall rating out of 10	4.6	4.1	4.5	4.4	5.2	—					

Weston [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 388				
ESL (%): 9.8		Special needs (%): 23.5									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
		641/749		635/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.6	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.7	1.2	1.4	1.6	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	71.3	73.9	71.9	72.7	74.8	▲					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	41.3	38.8	24.7	35.8	36.2	—					
Tests below standard (%)	39.1	39.5	45.8	42.0	38.3	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.6	F 10.0	F 9.8	F 14.1	F 9.9	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.7	4.0	3.9	6.0	4.2	▼					
Overall rating out of 10	3.9	4.0	2.8	3.9	4.2	—					

York Memorial [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 274				
ESL (%): 2.6		Special needs (%): 12.0									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
		619/749		605/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.6	▲					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.8	86.6	84.0	79.0	81.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	48.3	48.9	30.8	58.5	40.4	—					
Tests below standard (%)	39.3	31.8	33.1	34.6	33.1	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.2	F 1.9	F 9.2	F 2.7	M 6.0	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.7	3.9	2.0	3.1	2.0	▲					
Overall rating out of 10	3.4	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.6	—					

Victoria Park [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 473				
ESL (%): 15.9		Special needs (%): 18.6									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
		163/749		140/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.2	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.0	2.3	2.0	2.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.3	86.2	88.7	86.9	85.5	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.6	43.1	40.8	48.4	56.0	—					
Tests below standard (%)	21.8	21.6	16.5	21.2	18.7	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.9	F 1.1	F 4.7	F 10.3	F 8.7	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	0.8	1.3	2.0	1.9	—					
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	7.1	7.9	7.2	7.3	—					

Westview Centennial [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 553				
ESL (%): 16.6		Special needs (%): 34.5									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
		707/749		681/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.7	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.4	1.8	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	48.9	56.4	61.0	50.7	58.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	38.5	29.6	31.7	21.7	26.7	—					
Tests below standard (%)	55.8	53.5	53.3	61.7	53.8	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.4	F 0.2	M 0.4	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.2	F 12.4	F 0.4	F 20.8	F 3.5	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	17.4	23.6	10.9	15.9	12.7	—					
Overall rating out of 10	2.6	2.1	2.5	1.4	2.8	—					

York Memorial [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 274				
ESL (%): 2.6		Special needs (%): 12.0									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
		619/749		605/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.6	▲					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.8	86.6	84.0	79.0	81.4	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	48.3	48.9	30.8	58.5	40.4	—					
Tests below standard (%)	39.3	31.8	33.1	34.6	33.1	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.2	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.2	F 1.9	F 9.2	F 2.7	M 6.0	—					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.7	3.9	2.0	3.1	2.0	▲					
Overall rating out of 10	3.4	4.6	4.3	4.5	4.6	—					

YORK AREA

W A Porter [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 339				
ESL (%): 3.5		Special needs (%): 11.5									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
		181/749		110/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	1.9	2.6	2.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.0	89.0	87.7	87.9	86.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.4	55.6	54.8	73.3	54.5	—					
Tests below standard (%)	15.0	15.0	17.6	12.6	17.5	—					
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—					
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.2	F 0.8	M 1.6	F 2.6	F 2.4	▼					
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.0	—					
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.7	7.3	7.9	7.2	—					

Wexford Collegiate School for the Arts [Public] Toronto							OSSLT count: 392				
ESL (%): 4.3		Special needs (%): 20.2									
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
		455/749		389/693							
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.8	—					
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.6	2.2	2.3	2.3	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.0	87.0	86.7	81.1	84.7	—					
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	49.3	50.0	51.7	44.4	40.3	—					

Bur Oak [Public] Markham							OSSLT count: 532					
ESL (%): 27.6		Special needs (%): 9.2										
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14		Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 9/749		12/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	—						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.9	3.0	2.8	3.3	3.0	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.0	91.3	91.8	91.6	95.0	▲						
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	62.5	64.3	71.0	61.3	60.0	—						
Tests below standard (%)	11.1	9.8	10.0	9.8	9.0	—						
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	F 0.1	E	E	—						
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.4	F 2.2	F 6.5	M 1.7	F 3.6	—						
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.2	—						
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	8.8	8.6	8.9	8.9	▲						

Father Michael McGivney [Catholic] Markham							OSSLT count: 325					
ESL (%): 12.0		Special needs (%): 14.2										
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14		Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 198/749		156/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	2.9	—						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.3	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.2	85.8	89.5	92.2	89.2	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.0	52.2	53.6	62.4	56.8	—						
Tests below standard (%)	19.1	18.2	16.1	14.3	16.4	—						
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—						
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.6	F 4.5	M 1.3	F 11.0	F 3.1	—						
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	—						
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.1	7.5	7.7	7.1	—						

King City [Public] King City							OSSLT count: 327					
ESL (%): 19.6		Special needs (%): 22.0										
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14		Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 198/749		124/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	—						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.4	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.0	87.5	89.7	88.4	87.6	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	74.1	67.6	68.9	82.9	60.0	—						
Tests below standard (%)	15.3	16.6	16.7	18.1	19.1	—						
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	F 0.2	—						
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.3	F 3.7	F 5.6	F 6.2	F 5.9	—						
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	2.0	1.0	1.2	0.5	—						
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.4	7.8	7.3	7.1	—						

Cardinal Carter [Catholic] Aurora							OSSLT count: 317					
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 18.0										
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14		Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 258/749		110/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.9	—						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.7	1.9	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.3	94.7	91.5	92.0	91.4	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	70.3	64.0	68.8	71.4	77.8	—						
Tests below standard (%)	17.4	12.0	14.4	14.4	18.3	—						
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	—						
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.9	F 1.8	F 2.5	F 6.0	F 12.9	—						
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	1.2	0.8	1.0	0.3	—						
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	8.1	7.8	7.4	6.8	—						

Hill Academy [Private] Vaughan							OSSLT count: 24					
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a										
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14		Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 641/749		n/a			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.5	n/a						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a						
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	77.3	n/a						
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a						
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	29.7	n/a						
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a						
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a						
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6.3	n/a						
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.2	n/a						

Langstaff [Public] Richmond Hill							OSSLT count: 295					
ESL (%): 28.5		Special needs (%): 10.5										
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14		Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 152/749		57/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.0	—						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.3	n/a	2.7	2.3	n/a						
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	95.2	94.2	94.9	92.7	91.6	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	53.6	58.3	n/a	79.5	66.7	n/a						
Tests below standard (%)	11.3	10.2	8.7	10.1	16.6	—						
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	F 0.2	E	—						
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.0	F 2.8	F 4.9	F 2.9	F 8.4	—						
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	0.8	0.0	0.5	0.4	—						
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.3	8.0	8.1	7.4	—						

Dr G W Williams [Public] Aurora							OSSLT count: 302					
ESL (%): 16.9		Special needs (%): 24.5										
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14		Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 198/749		301/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.7	3.0	3.0	—						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.3	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.2	87.2	83.3	86.7	89.7	▲						
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.9	59.0	50.9	62.9	46.7	—						
Tests below standard (%)	24.4	23.1	28.9	18.4	19.9	—						
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—						
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.8	F 7.9	F 14.3	F 2.2	F 3.9	—						
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	3.2	2.2	0.9	3.3	—						
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.5	5.6	7.4	7.1	—						

Holy Cross [Catholic] Woodbridge							OSSLT count: 279					
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 19.7										
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14		Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 421/749		373/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.8	—						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	1.8	2.3	2.2	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.3	87.0	80.8	85.5	83.9	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	47.6	51.5	78.3	62.5	n/a						
Tests below standard (%)	26.2	23.1	24.6	21.5	24.2	—						
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.3	M 0.2	—						
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.0	F 5.6	F 8.7	F 8.8	F 10.7	—						
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	0.4	0.4	1.3	1.8	▼						
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	6.3	6.0	6.5	6.0	—						

Maple [Public] Maple							OSSLT count: 397					
ESL (%): 12.8		Special needs (%): 18.1										
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14		Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 325/749		338/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.9	—						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.1	2.3	2.4	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.3	83.6	81.2	84.6	85.6	▲						
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	39.3	48.0	41.2	51.4	51.2	▲						
Tests below standard (%)	24.8	26.0	26.2	25.2	24.0	—						
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—						
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.7	F 5.1	F 6.2	F 8.5	F 4.8	—						
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	2.1	1.1	2.3	1.6	—						
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.2	6.0	6.6	6.5	—						

Dr John M Denison [Public] Newmarket							OSSLT count: 291					
ESL (%): 13.1		Special needs (%): 26.5										
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14		Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 406/749		338/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	—						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.5	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.7	82.5	84.5	83.1	78.6	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.4	47.1	56.5	58.8	60.0	—						
Tests below standard (%)	23.7	25.7	25.6	23.1	26.0	—						
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	—						
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.3	F 13.5	F 9.7	F 2.1	F 9.4	—						
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	1.3	0.5	0.0	0.5	▲						
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.0	6.3	6.6	6.1	—						

Huron Heights [Public] Newmarket							OSSLT count: 446					
ESL (%): 5.4		Special needs (%): 39.5										
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14		Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 421/749		457/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.7	—						
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	n/a	2.3	2.2	n/a	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.6	83.2	80.8	81.1	78.8	—						
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.6	47.2	40.4	48.7	47.6	—						
Tests below standard (%)	27.9	23.5	27.2	33.1	31.1	—						
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.2	F 0.1	—						
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.0	F 7.8	F 13.3	F 12.9	F 21.3	—						
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	0.0	2.8	2.6	3.4	▼						

Milliken Mills [Public] Unionville OSSLT count: 406

ESL (%): 33.3 Special needs (%): 16.7
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2013-14 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 136/749 124/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	2.8	2.9	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.7	87.6	88.8	93.4	86.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	26.7	53.5	37.7	40.0	46.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.0	19.3	20.5	19.4	18.4	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.2	F 5.9	F 4.8	F 2.7	F 15.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	1.2	0.4	0.4	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	7.5	7.5	7.8	7.5	—

Newmarket [Public] Newmarket OSSLT count: 359

ESL (%): 3.6 Special needs (%): 16.7
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2013-14 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 72/749 68/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.4	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.0	93.3	90.1	94.7	94.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	68.0	48.1	65.6	65.6	61.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	13.8	13.5	11.9	10.0	11.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.1	F 5.8	F 9.8	F 4.1	F 1.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.6	0.3	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.7	7.8	8.2	7.9	—

Norval-Morrisseau [Public] Richmond Hill OSSLT count: 10

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 0.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2013-14 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 406/749 n/a

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.9	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	90.0	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	18.2	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6.1	n/a

Our Lady of the Lake [Catholic] Keswick OSSLT count: 129

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 30.2
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2013-14 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 596/749 435/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.7	2.7	2.7	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.6	2.2	1.7	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.7	79.1	84.9	84.5	80.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.9	60.0	54.2	84.6	63.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.2	23.0	28.5	26.1	33.0	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	M 0.5	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.0	F 9.9	F 1.0	F 21.7	F 22.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.2	5.7	5.7	4.8	▼

Pierre Elliott Trudeau [Public] Markham OSSLT count: 527

ESL (%): 24.9 Special needs (%): 11.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2013-14 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 9/749 176/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.8	2.8	2.5	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	97.1	95.8	94.1	95.4	95.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	72.1	81.7	75.8	75.9	84.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	6.5	6.2	7.3	6.5	6.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	E	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.7	F 3.7	F 4.9	F 1.7	F 2.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	9.2	9.3	9.0	9.1	8.9	▼

Renaissance [Catholic] Aurora OSSLT count: 95

ESL (%): n/a Special needs (%): n/a
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2013-14 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 104/749 176/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.8	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.5	90.1	89.2	95.8	95.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	23.8	17.2	12.2	10.3	8.8	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.7	F 13.8	F 17.1	F 1.6	F 8.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.7	6.9	7.6	7.7	▲

Richmond Green [Public] Richmond Hill OSSLT count: 310

ESL (%): 32.6 Special needs (%): 15.5
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2013-14 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 41/749 40/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.5	2.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.1	93.0	88.1	93.1	94.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	78.9	72.1	64.4	69.5	67.6	▼
Tests below standard (%)	10.8	13.2	16.9	13.2	10.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 7.2	F 9.2	M 2.4	F 4.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.3	0.0	1.4	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	8.1	7.5	8.2	8.3	—

Richmond Hill [Public] Richmond Hill OSSLT count: 497

ESL (%): 22.1 Special needs (%): 9.9
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2013-14 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 9/749 12/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.7	2.4	2.2	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.6	94.0	96.7	94.2	95.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	71.1	74.5	73.3	92.6	76.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	13.5	8.5	7.5	8.0	7.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.2	M 1.0	M 0.4	F 5.4	F 1.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.2	0.2	0.3	0.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	8.9	9.1	8.6	8.9	—

Sacred Heart [Catholic] Newmarket OSSLT count: 300

ESL (%): 4.0 Special needs (%): 22.3
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2013-14 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 163/749 57/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.6	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.0	87.8	89.0	90.6	86.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	71.4	72.3	64.4	84.4	62.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	13.1	12.4	12.7	12.6	16.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.6	F 4.4	F 10.9	F 6.1	F 7.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.3	0.8	0.9	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.1	8.2	8.1	7.3	—

Sir William Mulock [Public] Newmarket OSSLT count: 337

ESL (%): 8.6 Special needs (%): 25.2
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2013-14 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 163/749 156/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.9	2.4	2.7	3.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.3	84.6	90.9	81.8	85.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	73.5	67.2	58.7	68.8	56.1	▼
Tests below standard (%)	19.4	18.1	19.1	19.6	15.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.7	F 2.3	F 3.2	F 12.5	F 6.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.3	7.4	6.8	7.3	—

St Augustine [Catholic] Markham OSSLT count: 318

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 17.6
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2013-14 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 22/749 17/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.9	2.7	2.6	3.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.0	95.1	94.1	90.1	93.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.2	64.0	75.0	84.0	63.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	9.4	6.3	7.5	8.6	7.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.2	F 2.1	F 0.4	F 3.4	M 1.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	9.0	8.7	8.4	8.6	—

St Elizabeth [Catholic] Thornhill OSSLT count: 483

ESL (%): 7.2 Special needs (%): 15.3
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2013-14 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 66/749 83/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.6	3.0	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.7	87.6	88.1	89.6	92.4	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.4	56.9	66.7	65.2	57.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	16.9	17.5	14.1	13.3	11.2	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.7	F 13.6	F 14.3	F 6.6	F 3.9	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	2.1	0.2	0.5	1.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.3	7.7	8.0	8.0	▲

St Jean de Brebeuf [Catholic] Woodbridge OSSLT count: 447

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 16.6
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2013-14 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 489/749 355/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	2.5	2.0	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.2	83.2	83.7	83.6	79.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	40.0	63.0	64.6	41.8	50.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.3	26.0	22.5	25.8	28.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.1	F 0.4	F 2.6	F 14.0	F 0.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.3	0.8	1.0	0.3	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	6.1	6.4	6.0	5.6	▼

St Joan of Arc [Catholic] Maple OSSLT count: 511

ESL (%): 8.8 Special needs (%): 22.3
 Actual rating vs predicted based 2013-14 Last 5 Years
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 512/749 435/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.9	2.2	2.0	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.8	78.8	80.9	81.3	76.9	—

Stephen Lewis [Public] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 414				
ESL (%): 18.1		Special needs (%): 22.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
Rank:		119/749		96/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.2	3.0	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.4	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.3	88.2	86.5	92.4	89.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.3	62.2	63.2	58.9	56.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	15.0	13.8	17.6	15.9	14.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.8	F 6.6	F 9.5	F 4.0	F 4.4	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	0.3	1.8	1.1	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.9	7.2	7.7	7.6	—

Thornhill [Public] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 346				
ESL (%): 32.1		Special needs (%): 15.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
Rank:		119/749		40/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.1	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.5	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.9	94.5	85.7	93.6	89.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.0	77.8	58.3	66.2	69.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	11.2	10.9	14.6	13.3	15.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.2	F 4.5	M 2.1	F 2.8	F 7.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	2.4	1.6	0.5	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	8.6	7.9	8.3	7.6	—

Vaughan [Public] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 352				
ESL (%): 22.4		Special needs (%): 16.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
Rank:		72/749		140/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.7	1.8	2.1	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.7	88.3	90.1	87.9	91.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.1	52.9	34.1	54.1	53.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.1	19.3	19.4	18.2	13.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.3	F 4.4	F 7.5	F 6.4	F 4.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	0.3	1.2	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.2	7.0	7.3	7.9	—

Stouffville District [Public] Stouffville		OSSLT count: 412				
ESL (%): 13.1		Special needs (%): 24.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
Rank:		163/749		238/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.0	2.2	2.6	2.9	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.9	87.0	83.2	83.4	87.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	60.9	63.3	55.3	52.8	58.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.6	19.6	21.4	20.2	14.8	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.4	F 4.3	F 12.1	F 7.4	F 9.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	0.0	0.4	1.4	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	7.0	6.4	7.0	7.3	—

Thornlea [Public] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 243				
ESL (%): 44.4		Special needs (%): 16.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
Rank:		119/749		140/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.3	2.3	2.2	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.4	87.0	90.6	94.4	86.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.0	63.5	46.5	65.2	67.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	15.2	19.8	22.7	18.0	18.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.4	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.8	F 9.7	F 1.9	F 7.2	M 2.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.8	2.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	6.9	6.9	7.3	7.6	—

Westmount [Public] Thornhill		OSSLT count: 326				
ESL (%): 9.5		Special needs (%): 23.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
Rank:		22/749		32/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.8	2.5	2.5	2.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.1	90.2	93.7	89.5	94.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	80.6	77.1	75.7	68.4	83.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	10.0	11.1	11.6	12.3	7.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.1	F 6.8	F 4.8	F 5.2	F 0.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.3	0.7	1.0	0.0	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	8.2	8.3	7.8	8.6	—

Sutton [Public] Sutton West		OSSLT count: 208				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 37.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
Rank:		676/749		657/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	1.9	2.4	2.3	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	62.7	68.9	67.1	61.5	68.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	45.2	28.0	51.5	48.1	55.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	45.3	42.8	47.4	48.5	40.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.5	M 0.5	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 27.3	M 1.5	F 15.4	F 4.2	F 14.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	2.1	2.6	3.1	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.1	4.1	2.6	2.9	3.6	—

Unionville [Public] Unionville		OSSLT count: 508				
ESL (%): 36.4		Special needs (%): 6.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
Rank:		9/749		6/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	3.0	3.1	2.8	3.0	3.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.7	95.5	94.6	96.2	97.9	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.9	75.6	61.6	88.1	62.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	8.7	6.8	8.8	5.2	8.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.7	F 4.6	F 0.4	M 1.5	F 1.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	0.5	0.9	0.9	0.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.8	9.0	8.8	9.5	8.9	—

Woodbridge [Public] Woodbridge		OSSLT count: 291				
ESL (%): 13.7		Special needs (%): 25.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
Rank:		215/749		322/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	3.0	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.9	2.5	2.4	2.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.8	86.4	80.3	87.2	86.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	37.8	45.7	57.1	64.6	51.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.1	29.4	23.1	19.0	19.2	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.0	M 0.8	F 2.8	F 4.1	F 6.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.9	1.4	0.9	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	5.7	6.6	7.2	7.0	▲

Southwestern Ontario

AVON MAITLAND AREA

Central Huron [Public] Clinton		OSSLT count: 140				
ESL (%):	n/a	Special needs (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 512/749	389/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.3	86.6	82.9	72.3	74.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.1	57.7	62.5	44.4	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	26.2	25.4	21.8	33.1	26.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.2	F 14.4	F 1.9	F 3.2	F 26.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	6.3	6.9	5.5	5.4	—

South Huron [Public] Exeter		OSSLT count: 167				
ESL (%):	0.0	Special needs (%): 27.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 181/749	200/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.8	2.6	2.4	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.8	85.7	87.2	80.6	88.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.9	46.2	38.9	38.5	28.0	▼
Tests below standard (%)	23.1	18.6	18.0	23.8	21.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	E	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.1	F 13.3	F 6.2	F 17.3	F 7.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	0.0	0.8	0.9	4.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	7.2	7.6	6.3	7.2	—

Stratford Northwestern [Public] Stratford		OSSLT count: 302				
ESL (%):	0.0	Special needs (%): 25.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 406/749	401/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.7	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.1	77.3	68.4	75.2	73.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.5	51.9	63.2	69.6	40.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.1	29.3	32.9	28.6	25.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.8	F 11.7	F 7.4	F 11.5	F 10.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.5	0.6	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	5.8	4.9	5.6	6.1	—

F E Madill [Public] Wingham		OSSLT count: 236				
ESL (%):	0.0	Special needs (%): 26.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 371/749	435/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.5	77.3	77.1	71.1	75.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.0	48.0	66.7	48.6	44.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.1	27.6	32.1	36.0	24.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 15.6	F 10.0	F 16.6	F 20.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.1	0.6	1.4	1.4	2.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	5.8	5.4	4.8	6.3	—

St Anne's [Catholic] Clinton		OSSLT count: 142				
ESL (%):	n/a	Special needs (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 437/749	238/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	3.1	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.0	87.3	86.1	84.6	78.9	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	55.0	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	14.5	17.1	18.7	19.3	23.3	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.4	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.0	F 3.7	M 5.5	F 8.2	F 12.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.3	6.6	7.1	5.9	—

Assumption [Catholic] Brantford		OSSLT count: 397				
ESL (%):	0.0	Special needs (%): 24.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 306/749	301/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.4	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.1	79.9	77.8	86.1	77.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.1	52.5	48.1	48.9	60.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.3	27.9	24.1	23.8	22.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.9	F 11.2	M 4.2	F 1.1	F 2.3	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	0.6	1.6	1.3	0.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	5.6	6.6	6.8	6.6	—

Goderich District [Public] Goderich		OSSLT count: 178				
ESL (%):	0.0	Special needs (%): 28.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 281/749	238/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.9	2.2	2.6	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.5	74.6	72.7	78.2	85.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	46.2	66.7	60.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	25.7	35.9	38.7	25.9	20.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.6	F 9.0	F 9.0	F 27.5	F 4.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	3.9	1.9	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	4.3	4.1	5.8	6.7	—

St Marys [Public] St Marys		OSSLT count: 100				
ESL (%):	n/a	Special needs (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 344/749	238/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	3.1	3.1	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	2.8	3.0	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.6	88.3	78.8	82.8	78.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	37.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	21.3	25.6	16.4	13.5	22.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.5	F 1.4	F 11.4	F 5.4	F 21.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	1.7	1.1	1.1	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.6	6.8	7.7	6.0	—

Brantford [Public] Brantford		OSSLT count: 410				
ESL (%):	0.0	Special needs (%): 14.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 502/749	473/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	2.4	2.3	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.9	80.8	80.5	77.6	78.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.6	44.0	45.5	49.2	42.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	32.2	31.5	28.8	30.6	30.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.5	F 6.4	F 11.1	F 11.6	F 3.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.1	5.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	5.3	5.8	5.5	5.5	—

Listowel [Public] Listowel		OSSLT count: 289				
ESL (%):	0.0	Special needs (%): 23.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 325/749	322/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.6	2.4	2.6	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.0	83.9	79.8	88.2	88.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	46.4	44.0	66.7	41.7	52.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.7	21.6	26.3	22.6	23.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.7	F 4.6	F 20.5	F 5.5	F 8.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	2.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.0	6.2	7.1	6.8	—

St Michael [Catholic] Stratford		OSSLT count: 234				
ESL (%):	0.4	Special needs (%): 22.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 297/749	277/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.6	2.4	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.9	89.6	79.3	89.7	83.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	70.8	61.8	58.3	76.5	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	15.9	17.0	22.1	17.3	20.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.2	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.1	F 4.6	F 21.1	F 5.8	F 16.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.5	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.4	6.3	7.1	6.4	—

North Park [Public] Brantford		OSSLT count: 358				
ESL (%):	0.6	Special needs (%): 21.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14	Last 5 Years			
		Rank: 455/749	275/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.6	80.4	80.1	77.0	72.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.3	39.7	51.7	44.2	42.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.8	24.8	22.5	25.5	29.0	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.8	M 3.1	F 3.4	F 0.7	F 11.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	0.8	1.2	0.8	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.5	6.9	6.6 </		

St John's [Catholic] Brantford							OSSLT count: 312						
ESL (%)	1.9			Special needs (%)			20.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14			Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:			258/749 176/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8							▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.8	2.7	2.6	2.6	—							—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	85.2	87.5	86.3	88.9	86.2	—							—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	57.1	68.3	63.3	58.2	59.0	—							—
Tests below standard (%)	23.9	16.0	16.4	20.0	21.1	—							—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	—							—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.6	F 4.7	F 9.8	M 4.3	F 9.9	—							—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.7	1.9	1.2	0.0	—							—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	7.5	7.4	7.2	6.8	—							—

GRAND ERIE AREA

Cayuga [Public] Cayuga							OSSLT count: 204						
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)			30.4						
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14			Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:			606/749 582/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.7	—							—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.8	2.3	1.8	1.7	—							—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	80.9	77.2	75.2	70.8	74.8	—							—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	72.4	51.9	38.1	63.6	71.4	—							—
Tests below standard (%)	35.7	36.3	36.6	38.3	35.4	—							—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.2	—							—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.0	F 16.6	F 6.9	F 16.5	F 23.1	—							—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.7	—							▼
Overall rating out of 10	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.4	4.7	—							—

Delhi [Public] Delhi							OSSLT count: 197						
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)			15.2						
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14			Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:			547/749 473/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.8	—							—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.3	—							—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	75.0	76.6	75.5	66.7	73.6	—							—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	33.3	43.2	n/a	54.5	39.3	n/a							—
Tests below standard (%)	31.0	31.0	26.6	26.7	33.6	—							—
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	M 0.4	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—							—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.1	F 16.4	F 19.1	F 30.6	F 20.3	—							—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	0.9	3.3	0.8	0.9	—							—
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.2	6.1	5.5	5.1	—							—

Dunnville [Public] Dunnville							OSSLT count: 197						
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)			34.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14			Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:			719/749 648/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.7	2.3	—							—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	1.8	2.0	2.0	—							—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	65.0	75.8	79.6	68.1	59.6	—							—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	67.9	66.7	38.2	42.9	38.9	—							▼
Tests below standard (%)	47.7	35.7	42.8	43.2	49.1	—							—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.6	—							—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 22.7	F 14.5	F 14.3	F 29.3	F 27.3	—							—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.0	2.6	0.0	3.7	2.4	—							—
Overall rating out of 10	2.8	4.5	4.1	4.0	2.3	—							—

Hagersville [Public] Hagersville							OSSLT count: 158						
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)			57.6						
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14			Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:			606/749 611/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.0	▲							▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.5	2.1	2.0	2.1	—							—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	67.7	55.7	72.5	65.9	65.6	—							—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	33.3	28.2	40.0	53.3	31.8	—							—
Tests below standard (%)	46.1	44.4	37.2	37.4	41.4	—							—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	M 0.2	n/a	M 0.1	M 0.1	n/a							—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 28.1	F 11.2	n/a	F 17.0	M 3.9	n/a							—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	7.0	0.0	1.7	14.3	—							—
Overall rating out of 10	3.7	3.3	4.8	4.7	4.7	—							—

Holy Trinity [Catholic] Simcoe							OSSLT count: 213						
ESL (%)	n/a			Special needs (%)			n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14			Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:			104/749 176/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.1	—							—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.7	▲							▲
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	88.2	86.8	84.6	86.9	85.9	—							—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	63.3	69.6	62.5	76.9	n/a	n/a							—
Tests below standard (%)	21.0	20.9	21.9	17.4	15.2	—							—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—							—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.5	F 9.6	F 13.6	F 5.3	F 10.8	—							—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.9	1.0	—							—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.9	6.7	7.3	7.7	—							—

McKinnon Park [Public] Caledonia							OSSLT count: 260						
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)			23.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14			Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:			575/749 568/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.7	—							—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.3	—							—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	79.4	71.3	70.9	73.4	74.4	—							—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	46.4	60.4	51.4	32.3	46.2	—							—
Tests below standard (%)	32.2	38.1	35.3	40.9	34.0	—							—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	▼							▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.8	F 13.9	F 14.5	F 25.8	F 19.6	—							—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	2.5	0.5	0.6	0.0	▲							▲
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	4.4	4.7	4.1	4.9	—							—

Paris [Public] Paris							OSSLT count: 230						
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)			20.0						
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14			Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:			344/749 417/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.9	—							—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	1.9	2.7	2.7	—							—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	84.0	75.3	77.5	75.3	81.1	—							—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	54.0	53.6	50.0	44.1	44.8	▼							▼
Tests below standard (%)	28.4	30.5	30.7	26.3	22.8	—							—
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	—							—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.5	F 17.1	F 13.4	F 2.0	M 7.6	—							—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.9	1.3	3.8	1.6	1.7	—							—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	5.4	5.4	6.2	6.4	—							—

Simcoe [Public] Simcoe							OSSLT count: 242					
ESL (%)	0.0			Special needs (%)			19.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based							2013-14			Last 5 Years		
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:			325/749 401/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011										

General Amherst [Public] Amherstburg OSSLT count: 178
ESL (%): n/a
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

Leamington [Public] Leamington OSSLT count: 386
ESL (%): 3.4
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

St Thomas of Villanova [Catholic] LaSalle OSSLT count: 352
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

Harrow [Public] Harrow OSSLT count: 62
ESL (%): n/a
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

L'Esson [Catholic] Tecumseh OSSLT count: 176
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

Ste Cécile International [Private] Windsor OSSLT count: 67
ESL (%): 76.1
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

Holy Names [Catholic] Windsor OSSLT count: 411
ESL (%): 1.2
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

Riverside [Public] Windsor OSSLT count: 289
ESL (%): 2.4
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

Tecumseh Vista [Public] Tecumseh OSSLT count: 171
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

Hon W C Kennedy [Public] Windsor OSSLT count: 271
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

Sandwich [Public] LaSalle OSSLT count: 270
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

Vincent Massey [Public] Windsor OSSLT count: 499
ESL (%): 8.2
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

John L Forster [Public] Windsor OSSLT count: 198
ESL (%): 54.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

St Anne [Catholic] Tecumseh OSSLT count: 363
ESL (%): 0.3
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

W F Herman [Public] Windsor OSSLT count: 220
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

Kingsville [Public] Kingsville OSSLT count: 193
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

St Joseph's [Catholic] Windsor OSSLT count: 294
ESL (%): 4.1
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

Walkerville [Public] Windsor OSSLT count: 268
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend

Western [Public] Amherstburg		OSSLT count: 141				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 100.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	742/749	688/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.3	2.5	1.9	1.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	20.0	18.4	50.0	16.2	17.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	34.2	16.7	n/a	15.9	6.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	68.1	79.8	48.4	80.3	84.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	10.5	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	—

HAMILTON-WENTWORTH AREA

Ancaster [Public] Ancaster		OSSLT count: 341				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 15.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	198/749	200/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.7	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.5	89.4	87.7	90.9	86.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	41.2	n/a	82.4	52.9	50.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	18.8	18.4	19.6	18.2	17.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.5	F 4.0	F 4.5	F 4.4	F 6.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.3	1.9	0.3	2.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.8	6.7	7.2	7.1	—

Barton [Public] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 229				
ESL (%): 14.0	Special needs (%): 24.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	687/749	643/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.9	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.4	2.2	2.5	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	65.5	68.1	67.7	69.7	66.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	47.6	40.6	36.5	29.6	28.3	▼
Tests below standard (%)	51.3	38.9	44.3	42.0	46.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.3	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.6	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.4	F 19.1	F 14.4	F 3.6	F 24.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.6	8.1	7.2	7.1	7.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	2.3	4.3	3.9	4.3	3.4	—

Bishop Ryan [Catholic] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 411				
ESL (%): 4.1	Special needs (%): 25.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	455/749	322/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.0	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.5	82.7	79.7	84.1	83.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	39.5	35.9	31.3	50.6	45.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.8	26.2	27.2	25.0	27.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.1	F 9.3	F 5.0	F 6.1	F 12.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	1.8	1.8	1.4	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.2	6.0	6.7	5.8	—

Bishop Tonnos [Catholic] Ancaster		OSSLT count: 393				
ESL (%): 2.5	Special needs (%): 24.9					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	215/749	301/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.2	2.1	2.5	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.3	85.0	80.7	82.9	84.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.6	31.4	47.1	51.5	43.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	17.0	22.0	25.7	23.0	20.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.3	F 10.6	F 16.8	F 15.9	F 4.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.0	0.0	1.6	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.5	5.7	6.3	7.0	—

Cardinal Newman [Catholic] Stoney Creek		OSSLT count: 458				
ESL (%): 5.9	Special needs (%): 19.9					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	163/749	176/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.5	84.9	84.5	84.1	89.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	46.2	50.0	51.9	54.8	59.4	▲
Tests below standard (%)	15.3	19.9	20.1	21.0	18.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.1	F 6.8	F 12.5	F 4.1	F 4.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.0	7.0	6.7	7.3	—

Cathedral [Catholic] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 488				
ESL (%): 15.8	Special needs (%): 24.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	669/749	591/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	3.0	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.1	2.0	1.9	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	76.2	65.3	68.8	60.8	65.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.9	41.3	38.0	24.2	27.2	▼
Tests below standard (%)	27.9	40.9	44.8	52.3	47.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	E	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	F 13.6	F 12.7	F 8.2	F 22.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	2.9	6.0	4.3	4.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	4.3	4.3	3.6	3.7	▼

Delta [Public] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 267				
ESL (%): 0.4	Special needs (%): 28.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	715/749	673/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.1	1.8	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	63.2	53.7	54.4	56.1	58.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.9	36.3	33.9	23.0	32.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	45.0	51.1	49.3	55.3	54.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.4	M 0.4	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.5	F 13.4	F 17.3	F 1.9	F 3.8	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.6	3.5	8.0	2.6	3.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.5	3.0	2.9	2.7	2.4	▼

Georges-P-Vanier [Public] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 32				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	344/749	n/a		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	2.3	2.7	2.4	3.0	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	66.7	88.0	88.9	84.4	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	42.9	18.6	34.1	11.8	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	1.8	6.0	3.2	6.4	n/a

Glendale [Public] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 384				
ESL (%): 28.9	Special needs (%): 15.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	669/749	648/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.4	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	1.9	1.5	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	65.1	66.3	70.8	68.5	63.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	30.4	29.7	35.5	30.1	36.7	▲
Tests below standard (%)	41.2	43.0	41.8	49.7	43.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.7	F 10.7	F 9.0	F 23.9	F 26.3	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	5.2	3.2	1.0	3.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.9	3.2	3.9	2.9	3.7	—

Highland [Public] Dundas		OSSLT count: 214				
ESL (%): 1.4	Special needs (%): 26.6					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	215/749	200/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.5	92.6	86.3	78.9	88.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	64.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	13.8	15.8	16.7	21.1	17.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.3	F 4.7	F 8.8	F 14.3	M 1.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	2.4	1.1	1.8	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.5	6.8	6.2	7.0	—

Hill Park [Public] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 228				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 39.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
		Rank:	703/749	630/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.6	63.5	49.2	63.1	54.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.0	56.8	34.3	60.0	27.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	35.1	44.4	45.6	39.7	52.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	F 0.2	F 0.2	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.5	F 6.5	F 20.4	F 19.7	F 7.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)						

Sir John A Macdonald [Public] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 548				
ESL (%): 31.8		Special needs (%): 22.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 722/749 679/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.6	1.3	1.5	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	71.6	57.4	64.3	55.1	52.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	44.5	38.8	31.1	20.2	27.2	▼
Tests below standard (%)	52.1	58.4	59.4	60.4	57.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.3	M 0.3	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.3	M 6.6	F 18.5	F 8.4	F 20.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	11.1	13.1	13.7	10.8	7.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.4	2.6	1.9	2.3	2.2	—

Sir Winston Churchill [Public] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 362				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 26.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 707/749 668/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.2	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	2.2	1.9	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	61.7	70.9	60.2	60.9	59.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	42.9	42.4	22.4	30.3	37.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	45.6	43.8	48.3	56.3	50.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.2	F 9.6	F 22.3	F 12.5	F 17.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.0	0.9	5.0	7.3	5.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.5	3.9	2.9	2.5	2.8	—

St Jean de Brebeuf [Catholic] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 501				
ESL (%): 8.6		Special needs (%): 18.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 437/749 338/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.8	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.7	84.9	81.8	82.4	81.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.0	38.7	36.0	40.2	31.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.0	29.0	28.1	25.4	28.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.5	F 5.7	F 1.7	F 8.2	F 8.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	2.5	0.5	1.1	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.1	6.3	6.4	5.9	—

St Mary's [Catholic] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 306				
ESL (%): 4.6		Special needs (%): 25.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 281/749 238/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.2	88.1	88.8	87.3	87.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	53.8	56.0	41.7	54.3	44.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	18.8	21.5	21.3	20.1	20.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.1	F 8.2	F 2.7	F 7.6	F 10.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	1.2	0.4	2.2	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.6	7.0	6.9	6.7	—

St Thomas More [Catholic] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 600				
ESL (%): 12.0		Special needs (%): 19.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 437/749 401/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.6	81.7	81.1	76.6	82.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	47.7	41.8	46.9	43.5	37.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	25.9	27.8	29.0	32.9	27.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.6	F 0.4	F 9.9	F 11.9	F 10.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	2.2	0.7	0.9	1.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.2	6.1	5.3	5.9	—

Waterdown [Public] Waterdown		OSSLT count: 332				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 25.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 215/749 124/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.7	2.5	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.7	89.6	85.1	87.3	82.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.5	55.6	47.4	75.8	45.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	15.8	13.8	17.7	14.4	18.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.9	F 9.5	F 10.5	F 9.7	F 12.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	1.2	2.3	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.6	7.3	7.6	7.0	—

Westdale [Public] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 434				
ESL (%): 2.1		Special needs (%): 15.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 215/749 176/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.3	1.8	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.7	85.5	89.7	89.7	86.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	79.5	50.0	65.8	60.9	65.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	13.9	18.5	18.6	16.5	16.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	F 9.4	F 7.4	F 8.6	F 9.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	2.5	1.8	2.5	1.1	▲
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	6.8	6.8	7.1	7.0	—

Westmount [Public] Hamilton		OSSLT count: 402				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 12.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 163/749 110/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.1	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.7	92.9	94.6	90.1	91.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.6	73.9	64.3	70.8	59.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	14.4	12.1	13.0	15.3	14.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.6	F 4.3	F 8.7	F 7.6	F 1.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	1.9	0.8	0.0	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.8	7.8	7.2	7.3	—

KITCHENER

Cameron Heights [Public] Kitchener		OSSLT count: 579				
ESL (%): 3.8		Special needs (%): 16.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 258/749 238/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	2.3	2.1	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.3	89.9	87.8	86.8	84.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	65.5	69.2	67.3	75.7	71.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.8	18.3	20.3	22.2	19.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.6	F 1.2	F 6.4	F 4.3	F 10.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	1.9	2.8	2.7	0.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	7.2	6.9	6.5	6.8	—

Eastwood [Public] Kitchener		OSSLT count: 489				
ESL (%): 23.9		Special needs (%): 20.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 563/749 558/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.9	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.3	82.3	74.5	75.0	78.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	45.6	39.7	23.0	39.4	51.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	35.4	35.6	39.6	36.7	36.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.2	F 13.5	F 17.8	F 18.0	F 3.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.3	3.9	6.3	7.8	3.5	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.8	5.1	4.1	4.8	5.0	—

Forest Heights [Public] Kitchener		OSSLT count: 523				
ESL (%): 30.2		Special needs (%): 17.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 471/749 519/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.6	1.7	2.2	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.3	81.4	78.6	79.0	85.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	38.5	47.9	39.4	47.5	48.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	35.9	34.0	34.2	28.2	30.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.3	F 15.1	F 13.7	F 2.0	F 7.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.6	2.6	3.7	2.6	4.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	5.0	4.7	6.1	5.7	—

Grand River [Public] Kitchener		OSSLT count: 460				
ESL (%): 4.6		Special needs (%): 29.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 421/749 373/693				
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	3.0	3.1	3.0	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.0	2.4	2.6	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.0	77.8	78.2	78.2	81.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	39.7	53.2	39.0	60.6	45.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	28.6	25.1	24.3	21.1	24.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.4	F 16.0	F 23.7	F 11.4	F 12.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.1	5.6	1.0	1.9	5.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	6.0	6.1	6.7	6.0	—

Huron Heights [Public] Kitchener		OSSLT count: 397			
ESL (%): 2.3		Special needs (%): 21.9			
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years			
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 306/749 275/693			
Academic Performance</					

Chatham-Kent [Public] Chatham					OSSLT count: 316		
ESL (%): 3.2		Special needs (%): 22.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				Rank: 215/749	275/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	3.1	3.0	3.1	▲	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.5	84.3	81.8	86.1	83.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.3	57.5	62.9	44.7	46.9	—	
Tests below standard (%)	26.2	25.1	20.4	22.8	20.7	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 5.4	F 7.7	F 3.6	F 4.9	M 1.0	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	2.3	1.3	0.8	0.4	▲	
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	6.2	7.0	6.9	7.0	▲	

Pain Court [Catholic] Pain Court					OSSLT count: 57		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 70.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				Rank: 455/749	238/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	3.1	3.0	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	2.5	n/a	n/a	2.3	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	78.3	87.5	92.1	86.0	97.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	54.5	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	16.7	21.7	6.8	9.1	30.9	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	M 0.5	E	F 0.2	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	M 15.0	F 5.1	F 5.9	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.0	7.7	6.5	5.8	—	

Tilbury [Public] Tilbury					OSSLT count: 99		
ESL (%): 6.1		Special needs (%): 28.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				Rank: 547/749	457/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.4	2.7	2.4	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.6	2.3	2.2	2.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.7	84.1	88.3	82.3	82.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.5	n/a	n/a	46.7	50.0	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	29.8	25.2	28.5	32.9	35.7	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	E	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	F 5.8	F 23.3	F 0.2	F 15.3	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.6	3.1	0.0	1.6	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	6.1	5.4	5.5	5.1	▼	

John McGregor [Public] Chatham					OSSLT count: 274		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 46.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				Rank: 692/749	623/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.7	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	65.3	68.4	69.9	64.0	60.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	39.5	24.3	36.2	37.5	31.3	—	
Tests below standard (%)	43.3	44.5	41.2	38.5	45.8	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.2	F 0.2	M 0.6	▼	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.1	F 5.5	F 18.7	F 7.9	F 18.4	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	4.4	2.2	2.9	3.2	—	
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	3.9	4.1	4.8	3.2	—	

Ridgetown [Public] Ridgetown					OSSLT count: 76		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 30.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				Rank: 619/749	532/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.9	2.7	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.3	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.0	76.7	75.4	75.4	72.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	53.8	15.4	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	25.8	26.0	35.8	30.2	35.5	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	F 0.2	n/a	E	M 0.1	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	F 14.9	n/a	F 20.2	F 5.8	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	4.7	1.7	1.7	2.1	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	5.5	4.2	5.7	4.6	—	

Ursuline (The Pines) [Catholic] Chatham					OSSLT count: 383		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 31.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				Rank: 325/749	338/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.1	78.4	83.5	83.6	87.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	79.5	57.6	67.5	48.8	71.4	—	
Tests below standard (%)	25.3	28.9	22.8	22.7	21.7	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.8	M 0.2	E	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.7	F 8.9	F 6.1	F 3.1	F 12.7	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.3	0.3	0.3	0.6	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	5.7	6.4	6.6	6.5	—	

Lambton Central [Public] Petrolia					OSSLT count: 270		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				Rank: 534/749	275/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.8	▼	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.7	2.5	2.7	2.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.7	91.9	83.3	81.4	71.4	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	69.8	61.5	57.6	71.9	44.9	—	
Tests below standard (%)	22.5	17.3	23.3	21.1	30.5	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 2.9	F 10.1	F 18.8	F 13.5	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	3.7	0.9	1.5	0.9	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	7.7	6.5	6.8	5.2	—	

Saint-Francois-Xavier [Catholic] Sarnia					OSSLT count: 20		
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				Rank: 344/749	568/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.9	2.6	2.7	2.9	3.0	▲	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.4	2.6	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.2	73.9	77.8	84.6	84.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	42.9	28.9	22.2	26.5	19.2	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	3.2	4.2	4.7	5.1	6.4	▲	

Wallaceburg [Public] Wallaceburg					OSSLT count: 241		
ESL (%): 0.8		Special needs (%): 41.9					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				Rank: 659/749	140/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.6	2.6	▼	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.6	2.4	2.3	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.2	67.8	76.5	69.2	69.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	38.6	33.3	34.4	22.8	26.7	—	
Tests below standard (%)	30.1	36.6	34.5	42.2	43.2	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	F 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.3	M 8.3	F 5.1	F 8.9	F 22.7	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	3.7	3.9	5.4	6.4	▼	
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	4.8	5.6	4.3	3.9	▼	

LONDON

Lambton Kent [Public] Dresden					OSSLT count: 87		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 23.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				Rank: 547/749	473/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.4	2.9	2.7	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.8	2.5	2.2	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.4	76.3	85.7	79.4	72.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	57.9	n/a	40.0	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	30.5	31.0	22.0	32.4	33.6	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.8	F 14.6	F 1.4	F 2.3	F 0.3	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	2.4	4.1	0.0	1.5	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	4.5	7.1	5.4	5.1	—	

Sarnia [Public] Sarnia					OSSLT count: 210		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 20.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				Rank: 596/749	542/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.7	2.5	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.2	1.9	2.4	2.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	78.0	72.3	80.3	75.8	77.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.3	37.5	54.9	52.3	53.8	—	
Tests below standard (%)	41.9	35.3	31.3	32.8	35.7	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.1	F 14.6	M 2.2	F 9.3	F 4.3	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.5	3.0	3.4	4.5	0.9	▲	
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	4.6	5.7	5.5	4.8	—	

A B Lucas [Public] London					OSSLT count: 400		
ESL (%): 21.0		Special needs (%): 12.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				Rank: 181/749	140/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.1	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.8	89.6	88.3	89.3	91.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	72.4	70.3	60.0	70.7	60.8	—	
Tests below standard (%)	13.6	18.2	16.4	16.7	17.3	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.9	F 4.9	F 3.5	F 10.2	F 5.2	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	1.2	2.4	1.8	0.8	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	7.1	7.3	7.2	7.2	—	

North Lambton [Public] Forest					OSSLT count: 170		
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 21.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				Rank: 421/749	532/693

Gabriel-Dumont [Public] London						OSSLT count: 19		
ESL (%): n/a			Special needs (%): n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 281/749			373/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.0	83.3	88.9	96.0	100.0	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
Tests below standard (%)	33.3	23.9	20.0	9.5	10.0	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	5.8	5.9	7.8	6.7	▲		

Montcalm [Public] London						OSSLT count: 325		
ESL (%): 21.8			Special needs (%): 24.6			2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 722/749			663/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.4	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.7	2.1	2.0	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	62.0	67.8	63.6	65.0	54.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	32.4	31.6	34.3	19.1	28.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	45.4	46.8	50.2	54.0	57.5	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	M 18.8	F 3.0	F 15.4	F 26.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.6	5.4	7.9	1.9	2.2	—		
Overall rating out of 10	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.4	2.2	—		

Sir Wilfrid Laurier [Public] London						OSSLT count: 323		
ESL (%): 2.5			Special needs (%): 23.2			2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 630/749			568/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.8	2.6	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	1.4	1.7	1.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.3	73.6	78.0	77.1	76.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	50.0	45.7	42.2	46.4	37.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	30.7	37.8	32.8	34.6	35.1	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.1	F 10.9	F 16.7	F 15.8	F 19.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.2	3.5	5.2	3.7	5.2	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	4.2	4.8	4.7	4.4	—		

H B Beal [Public] London						OSSLT count: 658		
ESL (%): 17.3			Special needs (%): 25.8			2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 646/749			623/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.2	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	74.2	74.6	65.0	62.8	64.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	46.0	39.0	41.7	48.3	32.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	40.1	39.8	45.5	44.1	43.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	▲		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.3	F 3.1	F 13.8	F 26.9	F 23.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	12.0	9.3	8.8	9.1	5.7	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	4.4	3.7	3.7	4.1	—		

Mother Teresa [Catholic] London						OSSLT count: 198		
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 14.6			2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 392/749			266/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.6	2.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.0	89.1	84.5	88.9	84.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	45.8	66.7	71.8	57.1	40.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	17.2	19.8	20.7	18.5	22.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.5	F 12.1	F 14.0	M 0.4	F 11.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.3	1.4	1.0	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	7.0	6.3	7.1	6.2	—		

St Thomas Aquinas [Catholic] London						OSSLT count: 256		
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 16.8			2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 104/749			124/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.9	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.9	89.6	86.9	87.6	93.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	86.7	70.6	73.3	59.3	73.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	10.6	17.7	16.4	16.8	12.9	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	E	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.7	F 3.4	F 3.8	F 11.7	F 5.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	1.7	0.7	0.4	0.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	6.9	7.2	7.0	7.7	—		

John Paul II [Catholic] London						OSSLT count: 316		
ESL (%): 6.3			Special needs (%): 15.5			2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 596/749			591/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.8	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	1.8	2.1	2.2	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.7	76.0	68.5	70.8	73.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	44.3	34.6	37.6	44.4	49.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	29.9	40.8	44.1	37.0	36.5	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	M 0.1	F 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.8	F 9.3	F 14.6	F 28.0	F 8.5	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	1.8	2.6	2.1	0.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	4.0	3.7	4.6	4.8	—		

Oakridge [Public] London						OSSLT count: 268		
ESL (%): 6.0			Special needs (%): 6.7			2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 119/749			57/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.9	2.8	2.3	2.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	93.1	91.9	91.2	94.5	96.6	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	82.8	54.3	81.0	74.2	81.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	11.4	14.5	13.4	10.8	13.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.1	M 0.4	F 5.2	F 1.5	F 1.5	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	1.4	0.8	2.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.1	7.7	7.9	8.0	7.6	—		

St. Andre Bessette [Catholic] London						OSSLT count: 124		
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 16.1			2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 406/749			n/a		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.9	n/a		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.3	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	79.5	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	24.4	n/a		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	E	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 4.2	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.6	n/a		
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6.1	n/a		

London Central [Public] London						OSSLT count: 239		
ESL (%): n/a			Special needs (%): n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 471/749			435/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.2	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.6	2.3	n/a	2.5	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	98.1	99.6	69.5	98.8	97.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	91.7	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	4.0	4.6	2.5	1.4	4.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.1	M 0.8	F 1.1	F 2.6	M 1.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	9.1	9.3	9.6	9.4	8.9	—		

Regina Mundi [Catholic] London						OSSLT count: 271		
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 17.7			2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 630/749			547/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.6	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.9	2.4	1.9	1.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	73.5	77.9	74.8	72.8	75.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.3	50.0	37.5	50.0	50.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	34.2	35.1	32.5	37.3	39.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.6	F 9.8	F 6.1	M 0.6	F 15.0	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.9	0.0	1.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	5.0	5.5	4.5	4.4	—		

Westminster [Public] London						OSSLT count: 239		
ESL (%): 26.8			Special needs (%): 19.2			2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 547/749			568/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.1	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	68.8	58.6	56.0	59.6	73.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	37.2	38.9	44.9	49.1	39.5	—		
Tests below standard (%)	41.8	42.6	43.6	39.5	38.7	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.2	▼		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.6	F 4.9	F 3.9	F 7.1	F 4.9	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.4	6.3	4.6	2.6	2.4	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	4.6	4.5	4.3	5.1	5.1	—		

Blessed Trinity [Catholic] Grimsby							OSSLT count: 299			
ESL (%): 1.0		Special needs (%): 16.1					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 1316/749					457/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.9	▲				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	92.5	87.8	93.3	88.1	92.7	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	92.6	n/a	64.5	79.2	74.1	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	14.9	19.5	17.0	17.0	15.5	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.4	F 3.8	F 2.8	F 7.3	M 2.5	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.5	1.3	0.8	0.4	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	6.8	7.4	7.2	7.5	—				

Jean-Yanier [Catholic] Welland							OSSLT count: 152			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 25.7					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 489/749					568/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.7	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.6	1.5	2.0	2.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	86.3	80.7	89.1	94.6	89.3	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	50.0	64.7	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	35.8	44.2	33.7	28.7	23.1	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.2	M 0.6	E	F 0.1	M 0.2	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.9	F 13.1	F 6.3	F 3.1	F 6.2	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.9	1.0	0.0	2.3	—				
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	2.9	4.5	5.4	5.6	—				

Saint Paul [Catholic] Niagara Falls							OSSLT count: 309			
ESL (%): 2.6		Special needs (%): 20.4					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 306/749					200/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.0	2.8	3.0	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	88.7	88.0	82.9	85.3	81.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	58.8	61.1	40.6	46.8	46.9	—				
Tests below standard (%)	16.6	18.0	20.0	25.5	19.9	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	▼				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	F 0.8	F 8.1	F 6.3	F 10.9	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.6	6.8	6.2	6.6	▼				

Centennial [Public] Welland							OSSLT count: 258			
ESL (%): 3.5		Special needs (%): 16.3					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 215/749					457/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.6	2.8	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.1	2.0	1.8	3.1	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	82.7	85.6	77.5	83.8	85.8	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	54.3	61.9	62.5	28.3	47.6	—				
Tests below standard (%)	28.9	29.3	31.6	36.2	19.6	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.7	F 9.4	F 4.8	F 2.3	M 2.9	▲				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.0	1.6	1.1	0.5	0.0	▲				
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.6	5.1	4.8	7.0	—				

Lakeshore [Catholic] Port Colborne							OSSLT count: 318			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 25.2					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 392/749					389/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.8	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.5	2.3	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	88.6	84.6	84.5	79.8	83.6	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	51.7	28.9	37.5	44.4	55.3	—				
Tests below standard (%)	26.7	28.1	25.6	25.0	25.7	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.8	F 11.2	F 7.7	F 8.9	F 4.9	▲				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	0.4	2.1	1.7	2.7	—				
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	5.5	6.1	6.2	6.2	—				

South Lincoln [Public] Smithville							OSSLT count: 79			
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 344/749					301/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.6	3.0	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.7	3.1	2.1	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	90.2	83.6	85.5	90.4	79.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	18.8	27.9	22.5	17.0	19.6	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.4	M 0.2	M 0.4	M 0.1	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.7	F 16.8	F 4.2	F 5.9	F 16.1	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	0.0	0.0	2.4	1.4	—				
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.0	6.6	7.2	6.4	—				

E L Crossley [Public] Fonthill							OSSLT count: 213			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 15.0					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 152/749					200/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.2	2.5	2.0	2.3	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	90.7	89.6	88.0	87.9	93.5	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	81.0	52.0	68.0	83.3	84.6	—				
Tests below standard (%)	17.4	20.5	20.9	18.5	16.7	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.2	F 10.1	F 6.0	F 1.2	M 0.4	▲				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	▲				
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	6.6	6.8	7.0	7.4	—				

Notre Dame [Catholic] Welland							OSSLT count: 379			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 25.6					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 198/749					275/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.7	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	90.8	82.0	87.0	83.5	87.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	57.1	55.3	40.3	46.2	50.0	—				
Tests below standard (%)	22.4	24.3	26.0	27.8	19.6	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.7	F 12.5	F 1.5	F 10.4	F 2.1	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.7	1.6	3.3	1.6	0.4	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.3	6.4	6.0	7.1	—				

Stamford Collegiate [Public] Niagara Falls							OSSLT count: 375			
ESL (%): 1.3		Special needs (%): 43.7					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 692/749					547/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.1	▼				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.9	2.1	1.8	2.1	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	62.9	64.1	61.6	58.3	69.5	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	45.0	24.1	21.0	61.0	45.7	—				
Tests below standard (%)	42.0	46.0	49.7	50.4	46.9	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.3	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.1	F 3.6	F 11.8	F 19.0	F 18.6	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.4	7.1	2.9	4.3	6.9	—				
Overall rating out of 10	3.3	3.0	2.6	2.9	3.2	—				

Eastdale [Public] Welland							OSSLT count: 196			
ESL (%): 2.0		Special needs (%): 57.1					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 596/749					635/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.7	2.5	2.6	3.1	▲				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	78.3	65.2	70.5	59.4	72.7	—				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	31.3	25.6	31.1	13.3	34.1	—				
Tests below standard (%)	53.9	51.8	45.1	55.9	40.8	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	M 0.6	n/a	M 0.3	n/a	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	F 35.3	n/a	F 15.1	n/a	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	11.6	7.2	1.3	0.0	0.0	▲				
Overall rating out of 10	2.7	2.3	3.8	2.8	4.8	—				

Port Colborne [Public] Port Colborne							OSSLT count: 106			
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 421/749					519/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	▲				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.6	▲				
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	86.3	85.7	82.7	78.7	66.7	▼				
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	52.2	51.9	38.9	61.5	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	32.5	33.0	29.5	28.2	29.6	—				
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.4	M 0.3	M 0.3	F 0.3	F 0.1	▲				
Gender gap OSSLT	M 4.7	F 8.2	M 6.0	F 7.9	F 6.3	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.5	0.0	1.2	3.4	5.5	—				
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	5.2	5.2	5.6	5.1	—				

Eden [Public] St Catharines							OSSLT count: 222	
ESL (%):	2.7						Special needs (%):	16.2
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	152/749
							2013-14	Last 5 Years
							156/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.5	2.7	2.4	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.0	91.8	89.6	88.8	89.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	70.6	65.0	55.0	60.9	82.4	—		
Tests below standard (%)	16.8	18.6	14.3	17.7	13.4	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.7	F 0.9	F 2.7	F 2.3	F 5.9	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.0	7.6	7.0	7.4	—		

St Francis [Catholic] St Catharines							OSSLT count: 202	
ESL (%):	5.0						Special needs (%):	25.2
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	239/749
							2013-14	Last 5 Years
							275/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.1	89.0	89.6	86.2	89.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.9	42.4	58.6	60.0	29.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	24.6	22.0	23.1	19.9	19.6	▲		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	E	F 4.5	F 2.7	F 0.7	F 9.5	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	0.0	1.1	0.7	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.6	6.6	6.8	6.9	▲		

Glendale [Public] Tillsonburg							OSSLT count: 247	
ESL (%):	2.8						Special needs (%):	23.9
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	455/749
							2013-14	Last 5 Years
							n/a	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	3.0	2.9	3.0	2.9	n/a		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.5	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	79.0	74.9	77.4	79.7	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	59.3	51.2	39.3	24.2	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	26.2	31.5	28.5	28.5	n/a		
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	F 16.4	F 11.9	F 1.2	F 11.4	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	2.0	0.6	0.5	0.5	n/a		
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	6.1	5.2	6.2	5.8	n/a		

Governor Simcoe [Public] St Catharines							OSSLT count: 241	
ESL (%):	7.1						Special needs (%):	17.4
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	392/749
							2013-14	Last 5 Years
							417/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.4	2.7	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.9	74.5	82.3	76.4	83.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	57.6	78.2	55.6	56.3	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	29.1	31.3	23.7	28.6	22.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 5.6	M 1.3	F 7.8	F 23.8	F 7.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	5.6	8.6	1.7	1.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.0	6.7	5.5	6.2	—		

THAMES VALLEY AREA								
Arthur Voaden [Public] St Thomas							OSSLT count: 245	
ESL (%):	0.0						Special needs (%):	50.6
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	728/749
							2013-14	Last 5 Years
							663/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.5	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.2	1.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	68.1	59.5	58.4	57.1	40.6	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	35.4	26.7	40.9	33.9	28.3	—		
Tests below standard (%)	41.3	48.8	44.6	51.7	60.2	▼		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	n/a	F 0.3	E	M 0.3	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.1	n/a	F 19.2	F 14.7	F 4.0	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.9	7.2	13.4	8.8	5.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.1	3.1	3.5	3.5	1.7	—		

Holy Cross [Catholic] Strathroy							OSSLT count: 137	
ESL (%):	0.0						Special needs (%):	24.1
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	502/749
							2013-14	Last 5 Years
							457/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.4	2.4	2.0	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.1	80.0	81.0	79.2	75.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	56.3	66.7	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	27.1	26.6	22.8	32.3	25.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.7	F 1.7	F 4.9	F 11.9	F 28.7	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.2	1.0	1.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.8	6.2	5.1	5.5	—		

Holy Cross [Catholic] St Catharines							OSSLT count: 214	
ESL (%):	2.3						Special needs (%):	26.2
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	181/749
							2013-14	Last 5 Years
							301/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.5	2.3	1.9	2.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.1	90.5	83.2	91.9	86.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	60.0	40.5	39.6	47.2	48.1	—		
Tests below standard (%)	23.8	25.8	26.3	23.7	17.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.2	F 12.5	F 4.0	F 5.5	M 2.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	1.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.2	6.0	6.8	7.2	—		

Central Elgin [Public] St Thomas							OSSLT count: 185	
ESL (%):	0.5						Special needs (%):	18.4
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	239/749
							2013-14	Last 5 Years
							238/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.8	3.1	3.0	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.3	1.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.4	85.1	85.1	87.3	86.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.3	65.6	62.5	56.3	55.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	19.4	24.6	20.2	21.0	22.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.3	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 6.1	M 0.8	F 6.6	F 8.4	F 0.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	1.7	1.3	1.2	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.2	6.9	6.8	6.9	—		

Huron Park [Public] Woodstock							OSSLT count: 306	
ESL (%):	0.7						Special needs (%):	26.5
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	534/749
							2013-14	Last 5 Years
							505/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.5	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.3	80.6	72.4	71.8	67.9	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.0	47.8	41.0	68.2	43.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	27.3	31.8	33.3	38.4	31.3	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.6	F 22.7	F 20.5	F 10.1	F 15.6	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.2	3.9	2.8	1.6	1.1	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	5.4	4.8	4.7	5.2	—		

Laura Secord [Public] St Catharines							OSSLT count: 265	
ESL (%):	6.8						Special needs (%):	9.1
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	437/749
							2013-14	Last 5 Years
							435/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.9	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.4	74.4	83.3	80.9	76.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	80.0	55.6	48.5	60.6	60.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	26.7	33.6	28.7	25.7	26.0	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.2	F 11.3	F 8.4	F 9.7	F 3.9	▲		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	4.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	4.7	5.7	6.1	5.9	—		

College Ave [Public] Woodstock							OSSLT count: 294	
ESL (%):	1.0						Special needs (%):	35.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	534/749
							2013-14	Last 5 Years
							542/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	73.8	72.6	62.5	69.3	74.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	36.4	24.0	52.6	29.7	33.9	—		
Tests below standard (%)	29.6	40.4	35.4	40.1	35.8	—		
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.7	F 15.9	F 16.5	F 7.9	F 27.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	2.8	1.5	4.2	4.7	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	4.5	4.4	4.9	5.2	—		

Ingersoll District [Public] Ingersoll							OSSLT count: 330	
ESL (%):	0.0						Special needs (%):	28.5
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	502/749
							2013-14	Last 5 Years
							401/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		

North Middlesex [Public] Parkhill							OSSLT count: 79		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 22.8		2013-14		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 563/749		301/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	3.0	3.2	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	3.0	2.7	2.3	2.8	3.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.5	93.4	71.8	73.9	60.7	▼			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	45.5	36.4	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	12.9	15.0	26.2	25.2	29.2	▼			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.2	n/a	E	E	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.1	F 1.1	n/a	F 8.7	F 42.2	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	2.7	1.9	1.8	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.5	5.5	6.8	5.0	—			

West Elgin [Public] West Lorne							OSSLT count: 161		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 26.7		2013-14		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 626/749		599/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.5	▼			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	74.3	84.8	76.4	69.1	69.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	62.5	65.2	33.3	52.4	34.5	—			
Tests below standard (%)	29.4	33.3	40.4	40.1	37.9	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.1	M 1.2	F 25.3	F 18.4	F 10.3	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	1.0	8.0	3.9	3.3	—			
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	5.2	3.7	3.9	4.5	—			

College Heights [Public] Guelph							OSSLT count: 246		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 74.4		2013-14		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 728/749		687/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	26.3	25.7	38.2	30.6	23.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	32.5	25.8	25.0	31.1	32.7	—			
Tests below standard (%)	67.9	62.7	54.9	59.3	59.5	▲			
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 0.6	M 0.4	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 7.1	F 7.3	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	4.5	4.2	2.5	6.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10	0.0	0.5	1.7	1.7	1.7	▲			

Notre-Dame [Catholic] Woodstock							OSSLT count: 14		
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 527/749		n/a						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.7	n/a			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	85.7	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	17.2	n/a			
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a			
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	5.3	n/a			

Woodstock [Public] Woodstock							OSSLT count: 190		
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 19.5		2013-14		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 697/749		568/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.5	2.5	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.0	2.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.0	75.0	81.9	83.2	66.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.3	55.6	80.0	36.4	61.1	—			
Tests below standard (%)	34.3	33.2	21.9	32.6	39.4	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.1	F 0.4	F 0.5	▼			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.4	F 24.3	F 9.9	F 16.7	F 30.7	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	4.1	2.4	1.4	5.9	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	4.5	6.5	4.4	3.1	—			

Erin [Public] Erin							OSSLT count: 197		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 30.5		2013-14		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 86/749		301/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.8	2.6	2.9	3.1	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	1.7	2.5	2.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.6	86.7	85.4	81.9	90.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.6	65.2	63.2	37.5	67.9	—			
Tests below standard (%)	19.6	24.4	28.4	23.5	12.9	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.9	F 3.8	F 4.0	F 26.6	F 14.5	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.0	0.0	1.4	4.9	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	6.2	5.5	5.9	7.8	—			

Parkside [Public] St Thomas							OSSLT count: 289		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 21.1		2013-14		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 392/749		355/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.1	81.0	78.8	75.4	82.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	49.0	45.8	53.3	60.5	50.0	—			
Tests below standard (%)	27.1	25.3	25.3	26.2	23.5	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.4	F 11.2	F 14.7	F 8.3	F 13.8	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	1.4	0.5	0.5	2.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	6.4	6.2	6.0	6.2	—			

St Joseph's [Catholic] St Thomas							OSSLT count: 194		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 23.7		2013-14		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 547/749		327/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.7	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.2	2.1	▼			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.5	77.1	76.5	82.1	76.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.9	61.1	45.7	53.1	47.6	—			
Tests below standard (%)	25.6	29.8	30.1	28.2	31.4	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.2	E	F 0.1	E	▲			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 5.7	F 18.1	F 2.2	F 4.3	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	1.4	3.1	3.4	0.7	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	5.2	5.4	6.1	5.1	—			

St Mary's [Catholic] Woodstock							OSSLT count: 211		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 19.0		2013-14		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 181/749		301/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.8	3.0	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.9	80.0	80.3	80.0	85.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.9	72.7	55.2	52.0	54.2	—			
Tests below standard (%)	23.8	25.1	26.3	23.7	17.6	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.8	F 9.4	F 7.1	F 4.8	F 5.6	▲			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.8	0.6	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.1	6.1	6.5	7.2	—			

Strathroy District [Public] Strathroy							OSSLT count: 384		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 31.8		2013-14		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 421/749		275/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.8	2.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.5	82.0	80.0	81.6	73.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.1	42.6	27.8	46.5	34.7	—			
Tests below standard (%)	24.1	24.1	25.1	23.1	27.2	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	▲			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.3	F 13.9	F 8.0	F 8.1	F 19.1	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	1.4	3.0	0.7	2.3	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.5	6.6	7.3	6.0	—			

Bishop Macdonell [Catholic] Guelph							OSSLT count: 216		
ESL (%): 0.9	Special needs (%): 16.7		2013-14		Last 5 Years				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 104/749		96/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.9	87.0	91.7	88.9	90.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	60.0	63.6	86.4	58.8	82.4	—			
Tests below standard (%)	19.3	13.6	11.9	15.0	11.8	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.2						

Our Lady of Lourdes [Catholic] Guelph OSSLT count: 239

ESL (%): 11.7 Special needs (%): 22.2
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 2013-14 238/693
 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.0	87.8	85.5	85.1	82.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.6	46.4	40.9	61.3	52.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	19.6	19.2	20.0	21.2	18.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.0	F 5.8	F 10.2	F 1.3	F 16.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	1.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.9	6.9	6.8	7.0	—

Galt [Public] Cambridge OSSLT count: 331

ESL (%): 11.8 Special needs (%): 13.3
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 2013-14 473/693
 Last 5 Years

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.1	1.7	1.7	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.6	77.5	79.1	75.1	75.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.2	42.6	58.7	50.7	44.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.3	32.3	32.6	31.3	31.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.0	M 1.2	F 6.6	M 2.0	F 15.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.0	2.7	2.4	1.9	1.5	▲
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.3	5.6	5.7	5.3	—

Sir John A Macdonald [Public] Waterloo OSSLT count: 409

ESL (%): 3.4 Special needs (%): 32.3
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 2013-14 86/749
 Last 5 Years 68/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.4	2.4	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.4	89.9	88.0	89.3	91.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	69.8	62.9	50.0	55.0	71.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	11.7	14.0	16.8	14.8	13.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.2	F 8.3	F 8.9	F 5.4	F 5.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.0	1.4	1.4	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.2	7.9	7.5	7.5	7.8	—

St James [Catholic] Guelph OSSLT count: 261

ESL (%): 1.1 Special needs (%): 15.3
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 2013-14 104/749
 Last 5 Years 275/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.6	2.3	2.9	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.5	85.8	78.9	82.9	94.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	60.0	42.9	38.5	40.0	65.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	23.4	22.4	28.0	22.1	15.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.1	F 10.0	F 15.5	F 14.3	F 5.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	6.6	5.7	6.6	7.7	—

Greenview Park [Public] Cambridge OSSLT count: 306

ESL (%): 1.6 Special needs (%): 38.9
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 2013-14 630/749
 Last 5 Years 568/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.4	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	76.6	73.8	70.8	63.1	60.0	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	42.4	44.3	38.8	45.0	45.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	33.6	33.4	39.4	35.5	36.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.2	F 5.2	F 15.7	F 30.0	F 24.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	2.9	5.1	3.7	8.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	5.2	3.7	4.9	4.4	—

Southwood [Public] Cambridge OSSLT count: 203

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 19.2
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 2013-14 471/749
 Last 5 Years 401/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.2	1.8	2.1	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.4	85.5	82.9	82.0	81.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.0	54.3	61.9	48.7	48.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.3	23.5	25.1	28.9	28.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	F 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.9	F 6.8	F 1.5	F 9.2	F 12.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	2.0	1.6	1.2	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	6.2	6.4	5.6	5.7	—

Wellington Heights [Public] Mount Forest OSSLT count: 191

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 26.2
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 2013-14 575/749
 Last 5 Years 435/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.4	78.8	77.0	74.8	75.4	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	75.0	43.3	50.0	52.2	48.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	25.3	31.1	30.2	30.7	33.1	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	E	F 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.4	F 7.5	F 12.8	F 3.5	F 18.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	3.1	4.0	3.4	1.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	5.8	5.7	5.9	4.9	▼

Jacob Hespeler [Public] Cambridge OSSLT count: 351

ESL (%): 2.3 Special needs (%): 36.8
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 2013-14 455/749
 Last 5 Years 417/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.9	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.3	2.5	2.1	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.3	74.8	81.9	76.4	77.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.4	55.2	59.7	44.2	50.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	28.8	32.1	24.0	28.9	28.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.0	F 15.7	F 9.3	F 13.6	F 2.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.1	0.4	3.8	1.3	4.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	4.9	6.6	5.7	5.8	—

St Benedict [Catholic] Cambridge OSSLT count: 498

ESL (%): 13.1 Special needs (%): 27.7
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 2013-14 437/749
 Last 5 Years 457/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.1	80.3	82.5	77.8	82.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	38.4	56.6	32.8	47.4	51.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.1	28.2	29.1	30.3	27.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.1	F 11.7	F 8.6	F 3.4	F 3.1	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.9	1.9	2.2	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.6	5.8	5.4	5.9	—

Westside [Public] Orangeville OSSLT count: 323

ESL (%): 0.0 Special needs (%): 27.9
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 2013-14 471/749
 Last 5 Years 401/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.0	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.1	2.4	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.7	86.3	80.6	76.9	81.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.7	56.9	54.1	46.7	35.1	▼
Tests below standard (%)	30.0	25.7	28.2	27.8	29.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.4	F 8.3	F 11.2	F 18.8	F 15.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	2.6	0.9	2.7	2.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	6.4	5.8	5.9	5.7	—

Monsignor Doyle [Catholic] Cambridge OSSLT count: 362

ESL (%): 3.0 Special needs (%): 35.1
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 2013-14 421/749
 Last 5 Years 492/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.2	1.9	2.0	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.8	77.5	83.0	80.0	78.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	39.6	31.3	44.2	34.6	42.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	35.6	29.8	30.2	32.9	30.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.6	F 15.5	F 7.0	F 17.2	F 7.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	1.5	3.1	1.7	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	5.6	5.5	5.2	5.7	—

St David [Catholic] Waterloo OSSLT count: 319

ESL (%): 11.6 Special needs (%): 21.0
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 2013-14 281/749
 Last 5 Years 322/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.4	88.8	89.5	88.3	86.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.3	53.7	46.7	54.7	51.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.3	24.9	22.9	23.3	21.3	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.0	F 10.5	M 0.2	F 1.7	F 7.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	0.9	1.3	1.3	1.2	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.3	6.7	6.4	6.7	—

WATERLOO AREA

Bluevale [Public] Waterloo OSSLT count: 314

ESL (%): 1.9 Special needs (%): 21.7
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 2013-14 72/749
 Last 5 Years 68/693

Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.4	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.5	86.6	87.4	92.8	92.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	77.8	62.9	60.0	71.9	78.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	13.4	16.1	14.7	14.5	12.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.5	F 1.0	F 8.1	F 4.9	F 4.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	3.5	3.5	1.6	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.4	7.8	7.7	7.9	—

Père-René-de-Gatineau [Catholic] Cambridge OSSLT count: 67

ESL (%): n/a Special needs (%): n/a
 Actual rating vs predicted based
 on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a Rank: 2013-14 421/749
 Last 5 Years 96/693

Eastern Ontario

HASTINGS-LIMESTONE AREA

Bayside [Public] Belleville							OSSLT count: 233			
ESL (%):	2.1		Special needs (%): 28.8							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
			181/749		110/693					
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.1	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.6	2.8	3.0	2.6	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.8	90.4	85.8	90.6	85.0	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.3	44.9	50.0	45.5	55.9	—				
Tests below standard (%)	16.9	22.1	24.3	16.2	19.7	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.7	F 0.2	F 10.6	M 0.6	F 20.0	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	1.4	0.0	3.7	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	7.3	7.0	8.0	7.2	—				

Centennial [Public] Belleville							OSSLT count: 268			
ESL (%):	3.4		Special needs (%): 35.8							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
			344/749		220/693					
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.1	2.9	3.0	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.1	90.6	84.2	85.2	82.0	▼				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.4	52.1	45.5	26.2	39.4	—				
Tests below standard (%)	20.2	17.4	25.1	25.1	26.4	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.9	F 13.8	F 12.7	M 2.4	F 5.5	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.6	1.7	1.8	3.0	▼				
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.7	6.3	6.8	6.4	—				

Centre Hastings [Public] Madoc							OSSLT count: 232			
ESL (%):	0.0		Special needs (%): 38.8							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
			626/749		457/693					
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.8	2.6	2.9	2.6	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	64.2	76.9	77.4	72.6	61.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	33.9	53.3	37.9	30.0	38.1	—				
Tests below standard (%)	42.9	23.7	33.5	34.2	37.5	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.8	F 15.7	F 20.8	F 13.3	F 18.5	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	4.0	6.7	5.6	5.7	4.5	—				

Ernestown [Public] Odessa							OSSLT count: 126			
ESL (%):	n/a		Special needs (%): n/a							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
			606/749		457/693					
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.8	2.7	▼				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.4	2.8	2.5	2.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.0	73.5	76.9	68.8	74.8	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	40.9	50.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	31.6	29.0	22.5	29.7	33.9	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.0	F 20.2	F 7.7	F 18.1	F 16.7	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	5.7	6.4	5.2	4.7	—				

Granite Ridge [Public] Sharbot Lake							OSSLT count: 49			
ESL (%):	n/a		Special needs (%): n/a							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
			575/749		457/693					
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.9	n/a				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	66.0	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	25.3	n/a				
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 0.1	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 9.9	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a				
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.9	n/a				

Marc-Genneau [Public] Trenton							OSSLT count: 18			
ESL (%):	n/a		Special needs (%): n/a							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
			47/749		n/a					
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	n/a	2.9	2.9	3.1	n/a				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.2	n/a	81.3	100.0	94.4	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	29.7	n/a	18.4	2.7	3.4	n/a				
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	n/a	0.0	7.1	0.0	n/a				
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	n/a	6.0	9.4	8.2	n/a				

Moira [Public] Belleville							OSSLT count: 215			
ESL (%):	1.9		Special needs (%): 40.0							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
			325/749		220/693					
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.2	3.0	3.2	3.1	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.6	90.6	86.0	75.4	84.7	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.3	46.9	42.4	28.3	39.6	—				
Tests below standard (%)	21.5	19.9	22.1	31.8	25.8	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.2	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.5	F 4.3	F 2.1	F 18.6	F 15.5	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	0.0	0.7	0.9	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.6	7.4	5.9	6.5	—				

Napanee [Public] Napanee							OSSLT count: 351			
ESL (%):	0.0		Special needs (%): 34.8							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
			626/749		505/693					
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.6	2.8	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.6	2.3	2.5	2.3	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.5	74.3	73.5	75.1	70.1	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.3	46.3	41.5	32.2	58.1	—				
Tests below standard (%)	31.3	29.2	32.6	34.8	32.6	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.5	F 3.1	F 8.0	F 4.2	F 10.7	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	3.3	5.9	0.5	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	5.8	5.1	5.1	5.1	—				

Nicholson [Catholic] Belleville							OSSLT count: 161			
ESL (%):	10.6		Special needs (%): 32.9							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
			198/749		176/693					
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.1	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.6	2.0	2.3	2.3	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.5	88.1	92.0	92.3	87.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	73.3	n/a	n/a	40.0	60.0	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	20.0	16.4	19.5	20.3	18.8	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.2	E	F 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.5	F 2.7	M 0.6	M 5.5	F 9.5	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.4	6.9	7.1	7.1	—				

North Addington [Public] Cloyne							OSSLT count: 17			
ESL (%):	n/a		Special needs (%): n/a							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
			741/749		n/a					
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.2	n/a				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.7	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	73.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	47.1	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	29.8	n/a	n/a	n/a	63.2	n/a				
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a				
Overall rating out of 10	3.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.1	n/a				

North Hastings [Public] Bancroft							OSSLT count: 208			
ESL (%):	0.0		Special needs (%): 42.8							
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:		2013-14		Last 5 Years					
			563/749		605/693					
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.6	2.7	▼				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.0	2.2	2.3	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	74.6	72.6	69.7	61.1	72.6	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	42.5	36.2	20.9	24.5	45.0	—				
Tests below standard (%)	34.8	38.0	46.4	48.1	37.0	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.3	F 23.2	F 5.7	F 20.5	F 6.7	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	4.6	3.6	3.2	5.0	—				

Sydenham [Public] Sydenham							OSSLT count: 244		
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 42.6		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 344/749 373/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.4	2.8	2.5	2.5	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.8	79.2	73.6	74.9	75.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	65.0	n/a	n/a	64.7	71.4	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	20.6	24.3	22.5	27.1	21.6	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	M 0.3	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.8	F 13.0	F 15.2	F 18.3	F 11.8	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.6	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	5.9	5.8	5.7	6.4	—			

Trenton [Public] Trenton							OSSLT count: 281		
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 41.3		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 679/749 599/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.6	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.1	2.6	2.7	2.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.8	78.5	63.9	63.3	68.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.7	38.6	49.1	30.7	21.0	—			
Tests below standard (%)	39.9	36.8	35.2	42.1	48.5	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.5	E	E	E	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.8	M 1.8	F 25.1	F 27.5	F 22.3	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.5	4.7	0.9	2.6	1.9	—			
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	5.2	4.9	4.2	3.5	—			

KINGSTON

Bayridge [Public] Kingston							OSSLT count: 187		
ESL (%): 8.0							Special needs (%): 36.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 215/749 301/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.9	2.8	3.1	3.0	▲			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.9	82.9	77.3	74.4	81.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	85.7	71.9	58.5	61.4	59.5	▼			
Tests below standard (%)	23.0	21.7	25.8	24.7	21.7	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.1	M 2.2	F 8.3	F 20.7	M 0.6	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.8	0.9	2.0	0.9	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	7.0	6.1	6.3	7.0	—			

Frontenac [Public] Kingston							OSSLT count: 259		
ESL (%): 1.5							Special needs (%): 23.2		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 239/749 238/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.7	2.8	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.6	2.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.6	83.7	89.3	81.9	88.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	88.9	65.4	63.0	57.1	65.7	—			
Tests below standard (%)	15.7	19.2	21.4	20.9	18.0	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.2	F 2.9	F 9.6	F 12.0	F 10.7	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	0.5	2.9	0.0	0.6	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	6.9	6.6	6.2	6.9	—			

Holy Cross [Catholic] Kingston							OSSLT count: 304		
ESL (%): 1.3							Special needs (%): 26.6		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 215/749 220/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.0	89.4	84.1	82.5	86.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	68.0	n/a	84.2	66.7	81.8	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	19.3	18.0	19.9	19.2	17.4	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	F 3.8	F 6.3	F 11.3	F 5.3	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.4	0.8	0.0	0.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.8	7.0	6.9	6.7	7.0	—			

Kingston [Public] Kingston							OSSLT count: 243		
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 22.6		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 104/749 96/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.8	3.0	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.7	n/a	2.6	3.0	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	97.3	94.2	92.2	92.5	94.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	70.5	73.5	80.5	80.4	70.4	—			
Tests below standard (%)	20.0	12.3	13.9	13.4	12.1	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	▲			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.4	F 0.3	F 2.0	F 3.1	F 6.2	▼			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.0	0.7	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	8.0	7.6	7.9	7.7	—			

La Salle [Public] Kingston							OSSLT count: 150		
ESL (%): n/a							Special needs (%): n/a		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 86/749 238/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	3.0	2.8	3.1	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.9	2.7	3.0	3.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.5	85.6	81.5	82.5	87.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	28.9	17.9	18.0	18.9	11.5	▲			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.1	M 0.3	F 5.5	F 10.5	F 12.6	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	1.3	0.0	0.7	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	7.1	7.0	6.8	7.8	—			

Loyalist [Public] Kingston							OSSLT count: 194		
ESL (%): 14.9							Special needs (%): 46.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 637/749 532/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.9	2.9	2.6	2.6	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.9	77.4	83.1	77.0	71.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	53.3	58.3	46.4	40.9	40.0	—			
Tests below standard (%)	31.6	28.0	24.8	32.7	35.7	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	E	E	E	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.6	F 23.1	F 8.5	F 21.2	F 28.6	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	1.9	0.0	2.8	2.6	—			
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	5.7	6.2	4.7	4.3	—			

Marie-Rivier [Catholic] Kingston							OSSLT count: 37		
ESL (%): n/a							Special needs (%): n/a		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 344/749 301/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.4	2.1	2.9	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.5	100.0	87.2	93.5	89.2	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	16.7	19.5	30.9	12.5	12.3	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	n/a	M 0.2	M 0.1	n/a	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	E	n/a	F 13.3	F 15.5	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	3.3	0.0	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	7.4	3.7	7.0	6.4	—			

Queen Elizabeth [Public] Kingston							OSSLT count: 72		
ESL (%): n/a							Special needs (%): n/a		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 725/749 681/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	1.9	2.7	2.1	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.2	2.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	71.1	48.3	50.0	53.1	55.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.3	51.9	18.8	37.5	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	42.3	51.3	62.4	47.2	51.9	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	M 0.2	n/a	n/a	M 0.6	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 13.3	F 0.7	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	0.0	4.3	0.0	2.2	—			
Overall rating out of 10	3.9	2.5	0.0	3.1	2.1	—			

Regiopolis/Notre-Dame [Catholic] Kingston							OSSLT count: 305		
ESL (%): 0.0							Special needs (%): 27.9		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 47/749 48/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.1	3.1	3.1	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.8	3.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.8	89.8	88.8	85.1	90.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	60.0	76.0	60.7	71.4	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	14.0	13.8	14.4	14.7	10.9	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	M 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.2	F 3.6	F 1.0	F 3.5	F 2.5	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	▼			
Overall rating out of 10	8.0	7.7	8.2	7.7	8.2	—			

OTTAWA

A.Y. Jackson [Public] Kanata							OSSLT count: 230		
ESL (%): 9.1							Special needs (%): 28.7		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank: 281/749 220/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.8	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.0	88.5	88.8	88.8	90.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	78.3	68.0	69.0	53.6	66.7	—			
Tests below standard (%)	21.9	16.1	17.9	21.5	16.6	—			
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.2	—			

Colonel By [Public] Gloucester OSSLT count: 331
ESL (%): 5.7
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 3.2 3.2 3.4 3.3 3.3 ▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) n/a n/a 2.1 2.5 2.6 n/a

Garneau [Catholic] Gloucester OSSLT count: 180
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.9 2.9 3.1 3.1 3.1 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.5 2.3 2.3 2.7 2.5 —

Immaculata [Catholic] Ottawa OSSLT count: 186
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.9 2.8 3.0 2.7 2.8 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.4 2.2 1.9 2.0 2.4 —

De La Salle [Public] Ottawa OSSLT count: 218
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.6 2.5 3.0 3.1 3.2 ▲
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.2 1.9 2.5 2.7 3.1 ▲

Gisèle-Lalonde [Public] Orléans OSSLT count: 167
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.7 2.9 3.0 3.0 3.1 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.7 3.0 2.7 3.1 2.9 —

John McCrae [Public] Nepean OSSLT count: 257
ESL (%): 4.3
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 3.0 3.1 3.1 3.2 3.1 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.8 2.8 2.8 3.0 2.8 —

Deslauriers [Public] Nepean OSSLT count: 146
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.5 1.8 2.7 3.1 3.2 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 1.6 2.1 1.4 2.1 2.4 —

Glebe [Public] Ottawa OSSLT count: 446
ESL (%): 28.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.9 2.9 3.0 2.8 3.1 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 1.9 2.0 2.2 2.1 2.3 —

Lester B Pearson [Catholic] Gloucester OSSLT count: 246
ESL (%): 22.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.8 2.6 2.8 2.8 2.9 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 1.9 1.9 2.2 2.2 2.5 ▲

Earl of March [Public] Kanata OSSLT count: 310
ESL (%): 12.6
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.1 3.2 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.8 2.5 2.3 2.1 2.2 ▼

Gloucester [Public] Gloucester OSSLT count: 271
ESL (%): 38.4
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.7 2.9 3.0 2.9 2.9 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.2 2.1 2.0 2.3 2.5 —

Lisgar [Public] Ottawa OSSLT count: 291
ESL (%): 15.5
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 3.2 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.3 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.3 1.9 2.2 2.5 2.0 —

Franco-Cité [Catholic] Ottawa OSSLT count: 277
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.8 2.9 2.8 3.0 2.9 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.1 2.3 1.8 2.3 2.6 —

Hillcrest [Public] Ottawa OSSLT count: 153
ESL (%): 20.9
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 3.0 2.9 2.9 3.0 2.9 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 1.7 2.3 2.1 2.1 1.6 —

Longfields Davidson Heights [Public] Nepean OSSLT count: 394
ESL (%): 16.2
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) n/a 2.9 3.1 3.1 3.1 n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) n/a 2.2 2.8 2.4 2.6 n/a

Franco-Ouest [Catholic] Nepean OSSLT count: 221
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 2.7 2.8 3.0 3.0 3.0 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 1.6 1.7 2.0 2.6 3.0 ▲

Holy Trinity [Catholic] Kanata OSSLT count: 276
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 3.0 2.9 3.0 3.0 3.0 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 2.3 2.6 2.4 2.6 3.1 —

Louis-Riel [Public] Gloucester OSSLT count: 153
ESL (%): 0.0
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a
Academic Performance 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad) 3.1 2.6 2.9 2.9 3.0 —
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld) 3.0 2.6 2.2 2.7 2.5 —

Merivale [Public] Nepean			OSSLT count: 196			
ESL (%): 13.8			Special needs (%): 17.3			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years			
Rank: 437/749			200/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.7	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.7	93.3	86.9	85.4	81.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	70.7	89.7	62.1	71.9	82.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	15.4	14.4	22.8	21.1	24.7	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.4	M 2.1	F 2.9	F 12.1	F 13.3	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	2.6	3.3	3.5	3.6	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.8	6.8	6.7	5.9	▼

Ottawa Technical Learning Centre [Public] Ottawa			OSSLT count: 196			
ESL (%): 7.7			Special needs (%): 98.0			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years			
Rank: 719/749			n/a			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	2.3	2.3	2.4	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	30.0	50.0	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	12.0	29.1	23.1
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	77.3	69.0	69.3	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	11.1	7.7	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	1.3	1.9	2.3	n/a

Sir Guy Carleton [Public] Nepean			OSSLT count: 215			
ESL (%): 7.4			Special needs (%): 94.0			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years			
Rank: 738/749			n/a			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.0	2.5	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	26.5	33.3	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	25.0	22.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	74.1	71.7	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	6.7	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.8	0.8	n/a

Minto [Catholic] Ottawa			OSSLT count: 19			
ESL (%): n/a			Special needs (%): n/a			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years			
Rank: 1/749			n/a			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.3	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	100.0	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10.0	n/a

Pierre-Savard [Catholic] Nepean			OSSLT count: 154			
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 13.6			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years			
Rank: 306/749			n/a			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	2.5	2.8	2.8	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	1.9	2.1	2.6	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	88.1	89.0	89.7	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	69.2	75.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	27.6	19.7	17.0	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 5.2	F 21.7	F 0.9	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	0.0	0.0	0.8	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	5.3	5.9	6.6	n/a

Sir Robert Borden [Public] Nepean			OSSLT count: 238			
ESL (%): 22.7			Special needs (%): 21.4			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years			
Rank: 66/749			57/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.1	3.0	3.1	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.6	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.1	90.9	90.9	91.8	92.3	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	75.0	60.0	86.7	90.9	68.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	12.6	13.0	14.4	9.9	12.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.2	F 7.8	F 7.2	F 11.1	F 2.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	1.3	2.2	3.7	4.2	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	7.9	7.6	8.1	8.0	—

Mother Teresa [Catholic] Nepean			OSSLT count: 287			
ESL (%): 11.8			Special needs (%): 19.2			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years			
Rank: 377/749			27/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.2	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.0	90.8	90.4	89.9	92.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	76.0	45.0	85.7	77.3	63.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	14.8	12.8	12.7	11.8	9.8	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	E	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.8	M 2.5	F 0.7	M 1.0	F 1.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.4	0.4	0.0	0.9	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.9	8.4	8.3	8.4	8.4	—

Rideau [Public] Ottawa			OSSLT count: 243			
ESL (%): 52.7			Special needs (%): 25.1			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years			
Rank: 575/749			663/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.0	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.6	1.7	2.0	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	76.3	61.9	53.8	57.4	64.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	35.4	24.5	22.4	28.6	31.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	45.8	53.4	66.1	55.6	44.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	M 0.3	F 0.3	M 0.3	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 12.8	F 21.8	M 1.6	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.2	6.6	4.9	6.6	4.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.4	2.7	0.9	3.1	4.9	—

Sir Wilfrid Laurier [Public] Orléans			OSSLT count: 319			
ESL (%): 10.3			Special needs (%): 22.6			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years			
Rank: 371/749			238/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.9	2.1	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.5	91.8	90.6	84.3	83.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.0	71.0	48.1	69.6	54.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.9	16.9	17.9	21.9	21.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.6	F 4.8	M 2.0	F 6.9	F 13.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	4.4	4.9	2.0	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	7.4	7.3	6.5	6.3	—

Nepean [Public] Ottawa			OSSLT count: 361			
ESL (%): 6.4			Special needs (%): 14.4			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years			
Rank: 712/749			40/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.1	3.2	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.2	2.3	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.4	92.3	95.3	94.9	90.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	89.2	73.3	72.7	80.0	74.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	9.7	10.6	8.4	12.9	10.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.9	M 3.4	F 4.2	F 2.8	F 2.2	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	1.0	1.7	2.0	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	8.3	8.2	8.5	7.8	7.9	—

Ridgmont [Public] Ottawa			OSSLT count: 335			
ESL (%): 49.0			Special needs (%): 13.7			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years			
Rank: 867/749			599/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.5	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.9	2.0	2.0	2.1	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	76.7	70.9	70.3	74.5	74.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	41.3	52.9	40.8	51.4	43.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	39.3	37.8	41.9	37.8	41.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.4	M 0.2	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 8.1	F 3.3	F 13.4	M 15.3	F 2.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	2.1	3.4	3.1	1.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.3	4.9	3.8	4.6	4.2	—

South Carleton [Public] Richmond			OSSLT count: 322			
ESL (%): 0.9			Special needs (%): 29.8			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years			
Rank: 306/749			176/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.7	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.7	88.1	85.9	84.7	82.5	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	63.2	71.1	55.3	56.9	64.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	15.0	16.6	16.8	21.3	20.1	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.4	F 2.2	F 10.1	F 18.0	F 13.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	1.1	1.2	1.1	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.5	7.3	6.6	6.6	▼

Notre Dame [Catholic] Ottawa			OSSLT count: 189			
ESL (%): 17.5			Special needs (%): 22.2			
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years			
Rank: 371/749			435/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.7	3.1	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	1.9	1.9	2.6	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.1	77.1	84.3	73.6	81.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	33.3	52.4	52.4	17.6	36.7	—
Tests below standard (%)						

St Mark [Catholic] Manotick						OSSLT count: 216	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 25.0					2013-14	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	163/749		156/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.8	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	94.4	85.9	91.9	92.3	88.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.7	71.4	69.2	72.7	80.8	▲	
Tests below standard (%)	16.3	18.0	18.5	13.8	15.7	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	▲	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.0	F 10.7	F 2.8	F 6.5	F 9.8	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	1.9	0.6	2.3	2.5	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.0	7.2	7.3	7.3	—	

West Carleton [Public] Dunrobin						OSSLT count: 314	
ESL (%): 5.1	Special needs (%): 20.7					2013-14	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	17/749		8/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.2	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.8	3.2	3.0	2.9	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	96.0	95.0	92.1	91.9	94.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	95.5	57.9	86.4	71.0	84.4	—	
Tests below standard (%)	6.2	8.6	7.4	9.2	7.6	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	E	E	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.9	F 1.6	F 5.6	F 1.1	F 4.0	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.9	0.4	0.0	0.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10	9.1	8.8	9.0	8.8	8.8	—	

Jeanne-Lajoie [Catholic] Pembroke						OSSLT count: 44	
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a					2013-14	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	344/749		176/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	3.0	2.8	2.7	▼	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	n/a	2.6	2.5	2.6	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.7	90.7	95.8	90.7	93.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	25.5	12.2	10.6	19.6	18.0	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	F 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	F 10.4	M 1.1	M 9.2	F 5.2	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.2	7.4	8.5	6.2	6.4	—	

St Matthew [Catholic] Orléans						OSSLT count: 207	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 28.0					2013-14	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	325/749		220/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.0	86.1	84.9	87.1	79.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.6	45.5	44.4	38.2	62.5	—	
Tests below standard (%)	18.4	20.3	19.2	21.7	22.6	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.3	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.8	F 8.5	F 1.7	M 5.4	F 0.8	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	0.5	1.6	1.3	2.0	▼	
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	6.7	7.0	6.9	6.5	—	

Woodroffe [Public] Ottawa						OSSLT count: 304	
ESL (%): 39.5	Special needs (%): 16.1					2013-14	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	606/749		591/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.6	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	1.3	1.6	1.7	1.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.5	75.8	76.9	77.9	76.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.3	50.0	55.9	47.5	45.8	—	
Tests below standard (%)	36.2	39.3	38.8	39.9	34.3	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.4	M 0.1	F 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 3.4	M 3.1	F 1.2	M 12.7	F 7.2	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.4	6.5	10.7	4.9	6.5	—	
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	4.5	4.2	4.3	4.7	—	

MacKenzie [Public] Deep River						OSSLT count: 66	
ESL (%): 3.0	Special needs (%): 31.8					2013-14	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	575/749		275/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.1	2.7	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.8	89.9	77.9	87.5	70.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	36.4	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	19.0	17.6	23.2	17.9	32.0	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.4	E	E	F 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.4	F 3.8	F 7.9	F 11.7	F 3.3	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	3.0	1.5	1.9	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.5	6.2	7.2	4.9	—	

St Patrick's [Catholic] Ottawa						OSSLT count: 372	
ESL (%): 21.0	Special needs (%): 21.5					2013-14	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	619/749		582/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.7	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	2.0	2.2	1.9	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.5	75.9	73.7	79.0	68.9	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	35.4	24.3	29.1	34.9	36.8	—	
Tests below standard (%)	36.8	41.8	41.7	38.8	40.5	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 5.0	F 2.8	F 9.5	M 3.6	F 2.4	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.0	3.2	3.3	0.9	2.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	4.1	4.2	4.9	4.6	—	

Renfrew County Area						OSSLT count: 220	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 27.3					2013-14	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	344/749		275/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.8	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.6	2.4	2.7	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.1	79.3	81.7	80.4	78.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	53.7	38.5	51.2	45.0	71.1	—	
Tests below standard (%)	19.6	22.5	24.2	27.0	23.6	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.2	F 10.0	F 10.0	F 9.7	F 18.2	▼	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	3.9	2.5	1.3	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	6.6	6.5	6.1	6.4	—	

Madawaska Valley [Public] Barry's Bay						OSSLT count: 98	
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a					2013-14	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	547/749		417/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.7	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.1	▼	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.3	82.8	84.3	80.8	77.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	71.4	n/a	42.1	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	19.1	22.6	29.7	29.3	31.9	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 1.0	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.5	F 7.2	F 10.2	F 22.1	F 27.2	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	3.6	2.7	3.0	1.1	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	6.4	5.5	5.2	5.1	▼	

St Paul [Catholic] Ottawa						OSSLT count: 210	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 31.4					2013-14	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	239/749		338/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.8	3.0	2.8	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.9	2.2	2.5	2.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	91.7	85.3	82.3	81.6	84.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.7	40.0	40.7	36.4	35.7	—	
Tests below standard (%)	24.9	25.5	25.1	25.7	19.8	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.3	M 7.2	F 6.1	F 17.4	F 9.4	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	3.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	5.9	6.5	5.9	6.9	—	

Bishop Smith [Catholic] Pembroke						OSSLT count: 211	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 30.8					2013-14	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	306/749		338/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	3.0	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.2	80.2	84.0	87.6	85.8	▲	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.1	50.0	42.2	51.1	50.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	26.6	27.6	25.9	22.7	21.9	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.4	F 0.1	F 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.6	M 1.8	F 5.3	F 7.4	F 15.0	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.1	2.9	0.7	3.8	1.5	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	5.8	5.9	7.1	6.6	—	

Opeongo [Public] Douglas						OSSLT count: 139	
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 28.8					2013-14	Last 5 Years
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	306/749		338/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.7	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.5	1.9	1.8	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.4	75.5	67.5	61.6	74.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	46.7	52.6	24.1	55.6	46.4	—	
Tests below standard (%)	26.3	31.1	45.5	45.5			

UPPER CANADA AREA

Almonte [Public] Almonte		OSSLT count: 103				
ESL (%): 1.9		Special needs (%): 32.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	421/749	435/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.9	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.5	1.9	2.6	2.2	2.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	88.6	84.8	75.8	81.2	81.0	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	54.2	n/a	n/a	46.7	53.3	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	23.7	28.7	24.7	26.3	28.2	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	E	F 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.0	F 20.9	F 18.0	F 15.0	F 2.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.9	0.0	1.1	0.0	1.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	5.1	5.6	5.9	6.0	—

Athens [Public] Athens		OSSLT count: 43				
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	715/749	657/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.5	2.0	2.2	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	84.8	76.4	71.4	49.0	60.0	▼
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	32.6	40.0	37.7	56.3	46.1	▼
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.1	2.2	0.0	2.7	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	3.7	4.1	1.5	2.4	▼

Brockville [Public] Brockville		OSSLT count: 145				
ESL (%): 13.8		Special needs (%): 18.6				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	344/749	200/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.8	3.0	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.8	2.5	2.0	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	96.4	89.2	87.2	81.9	85.6	▼
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	50.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	13.1	15.6	13.8	21.4	22.0	▼
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.8	F 4.8	M 4.7	F 9.2	F 15.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.8	0.0	2.5	1.1	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	7.1	7.5	6.3	6.4	▼

Carleton Place [Public] Carleton Place		OSSLT count: 175				
ESL (%): 9.7		Special needs (%): 38.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	669/749	457/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.7	2.3	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.5	2.6	1.6	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	89.0	85.1	77.1	75.9	72.4	▼
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	60.9	61.1	17.6	54.2	70.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	18.9	19.9	28.1	34.6	38.8	▼
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.2	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.3	M 5.0	F 16.5	F 13.8	F 23.6	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.7	2.3	2.1	5.0	3.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.9	5.9	4.7	3.7	▼

Charlottenburgh and Lancaster [Public] Williamstown		OSSLT count: 65				
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	437/749	238/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	2.3	2.7	1.7	2.5	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	88.2	84.9	98.0	92.3	82.0	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	16.3	16.7	13.2	18.6	24.2	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	F 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.3	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.9	F 11.7	F 4.3	F 0.5	M 0.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.9	7.9	6.9	5.9	—

Cornwall [Public] Cornwall		OSSLT count: 255				
ESL (%): 19.2		Special needs (%): 45.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	619/749	n/a		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	2.7	2.6	2.8	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	2.1	2.5	2.2	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	52.9	53.8	67.3	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	46.5	47.2	42.6	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	50.0	44.9	44.4	n/a
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	M 0.1	M 0.3	F 0.1	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 3.9	F 4.1	M 7.0	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	0.9	0.0	1.8	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	3.1	3.9	4.6	n/a

de Casselman [Catholic] Casselman		OSSLT count: 185				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 29.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	325/749	200/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.8	2.5	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	85.8	93.7	91.2	90.9	88.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	32.7	51.2	37.5	35.1	47.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	34.1	18.6	17.5	21.1	20.2	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	E	F 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.5	M 0.2	F 2.5	M 6.4	F 7.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	7.9	7.6	6.7	6.5	—

Embrun [Catholic] Embrun		OSSLT count: 119				
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	489/749	156/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.3	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	88.0	96.5	90.2	96.9	89.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	63.6	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	20.0	12.4	11.9	14.1	20.3	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.6	F 7.3	F 7.5	M 0.9	F 14.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	1.1	▼
Overall rating out of 10	6.9	8.4	7.6	7.7	5.6	—

Gananoque [Public] Gananoque		OSSLT count: 108				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 35.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	467/749	618/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.1	2.2	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	n/a	1.8	1.7	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	77.6	72.6	81.0	75.4	70.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	43.8	66.7	88.2	n/a	66.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	33.5	35.3	35.0	42.0	37.2	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	M 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.3	M 0.2	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.6	F 9.7	F 8.2	F 9.6	F 17.8	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.5	3.7	0.0	1.3	4.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	4.6	3.9	2.9	4.0	—

Glengarry [Public] Alexandria		OSSLT count: 75				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 53.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	421/749	275/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	81.6	90.3	90.0	84.6	77.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	46.2	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	19.6	19.4	20.0	24.8	28.1	▼
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	M 0.3	n/a	E	E	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	M 11.6	F 5.6	n/a	M 4.6	F 5.0	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.7	7.1	6.3	6.0	▼

Hawkesbury [Catholic] Hawkesbury		OSSLT count: 109				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 39.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	691/749	473/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.6	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.0	1.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	87.1	89.1	87.2	87.5	95.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	81.3	n/a	52.9	47.4	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	31.0	23.7	23.9	28.9	38.6	▼
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	F 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.7	▼
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.2	F 10.7	M 6.4	F 21.9	F 3.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.5	3.4	1.1	1.5	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.8	6.3	4.6	3.3	▼

Holy Trinity [Catholic] Cornwall		OSSLT count: 168				
ESL (%): 1.8		Special needs (%): 32.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	152/749	200/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.0	2.7	3.0	3.1	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.3	2.6	2.4	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	86.7	82.2	82.9	88.5	87.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	66.7	55.2	50.0	n/a	50.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	19.9	27.0	26.6	20.1	20.0	—
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.6	F 0.7	F 2.7	F 10.6	F 6.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.8	0.0			

North Grenville [Public] Kemptville							OSSLT count: 141			
ESL (%): 4.3		Special needs (%): 30.5								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 136/749					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	3.0	2.8	3.4	2.7	3.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.2	85.8	91.7	84.0	82.5	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	60.9	n/a	n/a	50.0	40.9	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	18.9	14.6	9.1	22.2	16.8	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	E	E	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.8	F 8.3	F 11.8	F 7.6	F 2.9	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.1	7.6	8.5	7.0	7.5	—				

Notre Dame [Catholic] Carleton Place							OSSLT count: 178			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 44.4								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 306/749					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.6	2.8	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.6	2.1	2.1	2.1	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	92.8	86.7	89.9	82.1	85.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	57.1	50.0	57.1	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	23.2	18.1	25.9	26.7	19.1	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	▼				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.9	F 5.8	F 6.4	F 1.6	F 13.8	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.8	0.9	0.7	1.7	▼				
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	7.1	6.2	5.8	6.6	—				

Perth and District [Public] Perth							OSSLT count: 170			
ESL (%): 1.8		Special needs (%): 44.7								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 534/749					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.8	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.2	1.6	2.3	2.0	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	76.1	74.7	76.7	72.6	79.2	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	28.6	41.7	51.5	60.0	53.3	▲				
Tests below standard (%)	32.8	36.7	29.9	31.5	29.7	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	M 0.3	▼				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.2	F 13.7	F 5.5	F 4.6	F 19.6	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.7	5.3	1.0	1.6	2.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	4.3	5.4	5.4	5.2	—				

Plantagenet [Catholic] Plantagenet							OSSLT count: 99			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 24.2								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 489/749					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.6	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.0	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.1	84.7	82.6	93.4	89.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	46.9	80.0	29.7	23.5	45.5	—				
Tests below standard (%)	41.8	29.5	22.3	33.8	26.2	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	F 0.5	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	F 8.0	F 21.8	F 5.5	F 15.2	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	1.2	1.7	1.5	2.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	5.7	5.1	5.2	5.6	—				

Rideau [Public] Elgin							OSSLT count: 75			
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 421/749					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.9	2.8	2.7	3.0	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.9	2.5	2.8	2.8	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.9	82.0	84.6	82.2	73.0	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	75.0	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	22.9	18.9	21.8	24.2	19.9	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.3	F 0.3	F 0.2	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.3	F 7.0	F 9.1	F 6.7	F 32.4	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	6.7	6.7	6.2	6.0	—				

Rockland [Public] Rockland							OSSLT count: 81			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 33.3								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 455/749					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.1	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	3.0	2.8	2.9	2.3	2.3	▼				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.6	73.9	68.1	86.4	76.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	75.0	n/a	33.3	83.3	66.7	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	15.3	22.2	29.8	19.5	29.2	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.3	▼				
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.2	F 10.8	F 15.9	E	F 25.7	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.7	1.3	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.8	6.4	5.6	7.4	5.8	—				

Rothwell-Osnabruck [Public] Ingleside							OSSLT count: 35			
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 575/749					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.6	2.5	2.7	2.7	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.7	n/a	1.9	2.1	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.0	82.4	67.6	89.7	78.1	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	80.0	n/a	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	33.3	24.7	34.4	25.6	35.0	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 0.1	n/a	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 8.7	n/a	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	—				
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	6.3	3.1	6.3	4.9	—				

Russell High [Public] Russell							OSSLT count: 101			
ESL (%): 5.0		Special needs (%): 41.6								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 606/749					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.6	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	n/a	2.3	1.2	2.0	n/a				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.2	88.3	75.9	66.2	75.3	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	58.8	60.0	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	14.9	18.8	28.9	40.0	35.2	▼				
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.8	M 0.2	M 0.2	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.9	F 2.5	M 0.6	F 23.4	M 0.6	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	1.8	1.4	0.0	3.8	—				
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.1	4.5	3.8	4.7	▼				

Seaway [Public] Iroquois							OSSLT count: 100			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 51.0								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 575/749					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	2.6	2.9	2.9	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.6	2.3	2.6	2.5	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.4	82.1	76.2	79.7	64.8	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	36.8	44.4	52.6	27.8	40.0	—				
Tests below standard (%)	27.3	23.1	37.8	32.8	35.2	—				
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 0.4	M 0.2	n/a				
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 12.9	F 4.2	n/a				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.6	0.0	5.0	4.7	▼				
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	7.1	5.1	5.7	4.9	—				

Smiths Falls District [Public] Smiths Falls							OSSLT count: 253			
ESL (%): 4.3		Special needs (%): 42.7								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 715/749					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.4	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	69.4	72.5	69.5	70.5	72.7	▲				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.2	34.4	53.8	54.5	41.4	—				
Tests below standard (%)	38.4	37.3	35.4	37.0	32.9	▲				
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—				
Gender gap OSSLT	F 19.0	F 6.9	F 16.5	M 0.7	F 7.1	—				
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.0	5.4	6.3	0.6	2.1	—				
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	4.3	4.6	5.1	5.1	▲				

South Grenville [Public] Prescott							OSSLT count: 174			
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 45.4								
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 715/749					2013-14		Last 5 Years	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.4	—				
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	1.8	2.1	2.3	1.9	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	70.1	83.6	70.1	60.9	60.9	—				
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.7	n/a	n/a	50.0	17.9	n/a				
Tests below standard (%)	35.0	28.8								

Tagwi [Public] Avonmore		OSSLT count: 105				
ESL (%): 5.7		Special needs (%): 45.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 527/749		435/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.4	2.6	2.2	2.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.7	83.9	76.5	75.0	63.9	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.5	45.0	36.8	36.4	61.1	—
Tests below standard (%)	32.7	24.9	30.5	34.8	30.9	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.3	E	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.5	F 6.8	F 9.8	F 2.2	F 20.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	0.0	2.4	2.9	1.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	6.5	5.5	5.3	5.3	—

Thousand Islands [Public] Brockville		OSSLT count: 306				
ESL (%): 7.2		Special needs (%): 42.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 471/749		389/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.1	77.2	78.5	73.9	72.6	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.0	30.8	36.5	39.1	43.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	23.0	29.4	26.9	31.6	27.6	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	E	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.6	M 4.4	F 16.6	F 18.4	F 7.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	0.5	0.7	1.6	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	6.0	6.1	5.6	5.7	▼

Vankleek Hill [Public] Vankleek Hill		OSSLT count: 109				
ESL (%): 5.5		Special needs (%): 23.9				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14		Last 5 Years		
		Rank: 575/749		238/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	3.1	2.9	2.2	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.5	2.4	2.2	1.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.6	84.1	92.0	91.0	85.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	100.0	35.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	25.3	15.6	16.1	15.8	32.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.0	M 2.8	F 3.9	M 4.2	F 4.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.4	0.0	1.1	0.0	2.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	7.3	7.9	7.5	4.9	—

Northern and Central Ontario

ALGOMA AREA

Central Algoma [Public] Desbarats		OSSLT count: 106				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 47.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	471/749	417/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.7	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.6	2.4	2.4	2.4	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.6	82.4	80.3	68.3	77.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	59.1	46.2	30.4	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	24.5	25.3	29.7	31.6	32.3	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	▲
Gender gap OSSLT	F 18.6	M 0.1	F 13.5	F 12.9	M 7.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.2	1.1	3.1	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	6.3	5.8	5.3	5.7	—

Elliot Lake [Public] Elliot Lake		OSSLT count: 114				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 39.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	344/749	238/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.2	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.5	87.2	85.7	76.3	88.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	73.9	58.3	57.7	43.8	37.5	▼
Tests below standard (%)	25.1	23.3	22.2	28.8	25.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.4	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.8	F 4.8	F 5.2	F 5.5	F 8.8	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	7.1	7.3	6.1	6.4	—

Jenness-Nord [Catholic] Blind River		OSSLT count: 19				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	596/749	n/a			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.4	2.8	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	94.7	83.3	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	17.6	22.9	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	5.9	4.8	n/a

Korah [Public] Sault Ste. Marie		OSSLT count: 260				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 23.1					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	512/749	505/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	1.9	2.6	3.1	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.2	76.0	77.8	77.8	78.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	51.7	64.1	40.0	48.1	51.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.2	31.8	29.7	29.0	30.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.5	F 14.7	F 14.4	F 1.9	F 5.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.0	1.1	3.8	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	5.0	5.2	5.5	5.4	—

Michipicoten [Public] Wawa		OSSLT count: 25				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	163/749	n/a			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	3.0	n/a	2.5	3.1	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.8	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.0	77.8	n/a	76.9	80.0	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	31.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	39.1	29.0	n/a	28.6	20.0	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	0.0	n/a	0.0	6.3	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	4.0	5.4	n/a	4.3	7.3	n/a

St Basil [Catholic] Sault Ste. Marie		OSSLT count: 184				
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 34.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	563/749	519/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.8	2.7	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.0	2.2	2.1	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.7	76.3	77.1	76.6	81.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.1	33.3	64.5	58.6	60.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.6	31.7	26.9	35.1	29.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.5	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.9	F 0.5	F 29.1	F 21.5	F 11.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.7	2.3	1.7	4.3	1.9	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	5.3	5.5	4.9	5.0	—

St Mary's [Catholic] Sault Ste. Marie		OSSLT count: 180				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 29.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	547/749	417/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.9	2.5	2.6	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.4	2.5	1.8	1.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.1	80.5	84.2	78.1	82.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	56.7	50.0	57.7	69.0	46.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.6	22.8	23.1	30.0	32.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.3	F 20.0	F 9.0	F 12.7	F 6.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	0.0	2.2	2.2	2.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	6.2	6.6	5.0	5.1	—

Superior Heights [Public] Sault Ste. Marie		OSSLT count: 327				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 22.9					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	512/749	n/a			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	2.8	2.6	2.6	2.8	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	2.1	2.3	1.9	2.2	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	70.7	79.6	73.0	74.5	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	50.0	45.5	30.8	50.8	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	32.6	32.0	36.3	32.5	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	F 8.3	F 12.4	F 26.3	F 4.7	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	1.0	1.2	1.6	2.2	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	4.7	5.1	4.1	5.4	n/a

W C Eaket [Public] Blind River		OSSLT count: 45				
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	489/749	519/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.0	65.8	94.1	80.6	76.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	31.3	28.6	n/a	50.0	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	38.2	47.0	26.4	37.3	30.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	8.3	10.9	17.4	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	3.2	6.5	5.6	5.6	—

White Pines [Public] Sault Ste. Marie		OSSLT count: 164				
ESL (%): 6.1	Special needs (%): 32.9					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	641/749	492/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.6	2.9	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.1	2.6	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.3	79.2	80.2	65.9	52.6	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	77.8	51.7	24.1	27.5	50.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	23.5	26.6	35.1	36.3	38.8	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.1	F 7.8	F 13.4	F 9.2	F 10.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.6	4.4	1.1	2.4	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.1	4.9	5.0	4.2	▼

BARRIE

Barrie Central [Public] Barrie		OSSLT count: 242				
ESL (%): 0.8	Special needs (%): 26.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	198/749	401/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.8	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.9	81.3	77.8	76.0	85.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.7	60.7	n/a	61.8	50.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	28.9	31.6	30.8	26.4	20.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.1	F 20.3	F 4.3	F 0.3	F 8.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.8	6.4	5.3	1.8	2.2	▲
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	5.2	5.3	6.3	7.1	—

Barrie North [Public] Barrie		OSSLT count: 335				
ESL (%): 2.1	Special needs (%): 31.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based	2013-14 Last 5 Years					
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank:	325/749	238/693			
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	2.9	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.5	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.4	87.5	79.2	79.9	79.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.1	51.2	46.2	59.6	51.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.4	22.0	28.7	24.2	25.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	E	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.3	F 2.4	F 11.3	F 10.3	F 4.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	1.9	1.3	6.0	5.9	▼
Overall rating out of 10	7.6	7.2	6.0	6.7	6.5	—

</

Nouvelle-Alliance [Catholic] Barrie		OSSLT count: 45					
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 596/749		2013-14 Last 5 Years		373/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.9	2.7	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.7	n/a	2.5	n/a	n/a	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.2	88.8	94.0	94.5	88.6	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Tests below standard (%)	30.6	26.1	16.8	14.3	23.6	—	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	▲	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 40.7	F 8.0	F 2.8	F 12.5	F 23.8	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	6.3	6.9	7.3	4.8	—	—

Roméo Dallaire [Public] Barrie		OSSLT count: 38					
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 239/749		2013-14 Last 5 Years		n/a	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	2.1	2.3	n/a	3.0	n/a	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.9	n/a	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	85.7	95.0	n/a	88.9	n/a	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	28.9	29.3	n/a	13.8	n/a	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 0.3	n/a	—
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 6.5	n/a	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	0.0	0.0	n/a	0.0	n/a	—
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	4.6	4.6	n/a	6.9	n/a	—

St Joan of Arc [Catholic] Barrie		OSSLT count: 380					
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 26.8					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 406/749		2013-14 Last 5 Years		435/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.5	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.8	▲	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.4	▲	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	84.6	79.9	78.3	81.1	80.2	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.7	47.2	53.9	60.8	57.4	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	33.3	28.0	27.7	24.0	25.4	▲	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.5	F 3.5	F 5.4	F 19.3	F 5.4	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	2.7	2.1	2.1	2.3	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	5.5	5.7	6.3	6.1	▲	—

St Joseph's [Catholic] Barrie		OSSLT count: 235					
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 21.7					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 455/749		2013-14 Last 5 Years		435/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.6	2.8	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.1	2.3	2.1	1.9	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.3	82.1	81.8	85.0	84.5	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	55.3	50.0	47.7	42.9	41.7	▼	—
Tests below standard (%)	29.8	24.0	28.1	31.4	26.3	—	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.0	F 8.1	F 6.1	F 1.5	F 13.3	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	4.5	2.5	2.9	2.8	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	6.1	5.7	5.4	5.8	—	—

St Peter's [Catholic] Barrie		OSSLT count: 350					
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 25.7					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 489/749		2013-14 Last 5 Years		547/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.6	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.2	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.1	79.5	76.3	81.6	84.8	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	43.9	39.5	53.2	49.1	50.0	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	32.6	37.3	35.5	32.3	27.5	—	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.1	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.0	F 11.7	F 4.4	F 0.7	F 6.5	▲	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.5	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	4.1	4.5	5.3	5.6	—	—

BLUEWATER AREA

Bruce Peninsula District [Public] Lion's Head		OSSLT count: 32					
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 56.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 527/749		2013-14 Last 5 Years		301/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	3.1	3.0	2.9	2.9	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.9	n/a	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.5	87.5	90.9	72.4	73.7	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	40.0	n/a	—
Tests below standard (%)	22.9	9.5	7.9	20.9	34.0	n/a	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.9	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	7.8	8.1	5.7	5.3	—	—

Chesley [Public] Chesley		OSSLT count: 46					
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 471/749		2013-14 Last 5 Years		156/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.9	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	3.0	2.6	2.8	2.3	2.2	▼	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	96.1	91.1	76.9	86.7	82.2	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	—
Tests below standard (%)	8.7	18.8	19.8	23.1	30.7	▼	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 1.0	n/a	n/a	—
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 3.7	n/a	n/a	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.8	1.8	2.0	4.2	0.0	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	9.1	8.1	7.1	6.1	5.7	▼	—

Georgian Bay [Public] Meaford		OSSLT count: 159					
ESL (%): 1.3		Special needs (%): 32.7					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 563/749		2013-14 Last 5 Years		435/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.4	2.5	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.1	76.5	72.6	78.8	69.3	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	48.4	36.4	52.0	57.1	41.7	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	25.8	28.3	30.3	26.5	31.3	—	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	F 0.2	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.0	F 4.0	F 13.7	F 12.2	F 23.6	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.3	2.6	1.8	2.6	0.0	▲	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	5.8	5.3	6.1	5.0	—	—

Grey Highlands [Public] Flesherton		OSSLT count: 327					
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 40.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 669/749		2013-14 Last 5 Years		582/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.5	▼	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.1	2.1	1.6	2.1	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.0	79.4	67.6	65.9	66.1	▼	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	35.8	48.8	38.6	35.3	39.4	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	28.2	32.9	38.7	46.2	42.0	▼	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	F 0.2	E	M 0.3	E	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.1	F 4.7	F 22.3	F 19.3	F 29.5	▼	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	1.7	7.3	4.4	5.3	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	5.2	4.2	3.4	3.7	▼	—

John Diefenbaker [Public] Hanover		OSSLT count: 198					
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 27.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 406/749		2013-14 Last 5 Years		389/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.6	3.0	3.0	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.9	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.3	83.3	78.1	71.1	68.1	▼	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	69.7	62.5	52.0	47.8	61.5	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.9	22.9	32.7	28.1	24.5	—	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.6	F 10.8	F 15.1	F 9.6	F 12.5	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	5.4	3.1	6.9	3.4	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.7	5.4	5.9	6.1	—	—

Kincardine [Public] Kincardine		OSSLT count: 146					
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 26.0					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 258/749		2013-14 Last 5 Years		301/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.8	3.0	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.4	2.4	2.8	2.3	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.5	90.9	85.6	81.7	88.1	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	66.7	42.3	33.3	55.6	59.1	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.5	20.5	23.4	23.2	21.9	—	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E M 0.3	F 0.1	M 0.1	—	—	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.5	F 5.7	F 10.7	F 3.7	F 9.1	—	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	1.6	0.0	2.9	1.9	—	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	7.1	6.0	6.2	6.8	—	—

Owen Sound [Public] Owen Sound		OSSLT count: 174					
ESL (%): 1.1		Special needs (%): 32.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 575/749		2013-14 Last 5 Years		568/693	
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.8	—	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	1.8	2.2	1.5	2.4	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	74.8	79.5	68.2	75.3	64.2	—	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	49.0	55.9	56.4	55.0	52.6	—	—
Tests below standard (%)	34.4	31.8	37.1	38.6	34.6	—	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.4						

KAWARTHA PINE RIDGE AREA

Adam Scott [Public] Peterborough		OSSLT count: 255				
ESL (%): 0.8	Special needs (%): 35.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
		Rank:	258/749	Last 5 Years 373/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.8	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.2	2.4	2.4	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.6	84.5	84.2	82.1	81.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	47.4	n/a	n/a	72.7	63.6	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	25.1	27.6	21.9	25.2	18.4	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 19.2	F 7.9	F 12.2	M 3.7	F 7.0	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.5	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.0	5.3	6.1	6.1	6.8	—

Bowmanville [Public] Bowmanville		OSSLT count: 341				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 24.9					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
		Rank:	344/749	Last 5 Years 435/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.1	71.3	79.0	77.6	84.5	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	41.3	30.1	54.9	62.1	58.9	▲
Tests below standard (%)	31.7	35.0	26.5	24.3	24.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.7	F 18.1	F 15.8	F 13.3	F 21.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.5	3.7	3.8	2.6	1.2	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.1	4.7	6.1	6.2	6.4	▲

Campbellford [Public] Campbellford		OSSLT count: 187				
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 27.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
		Rank:	575/749	Last 5 Years 458/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.5	2.7	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.7	71.8	62.9	70.1	67.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	37.5	29.0	41.7	39.3	29.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	31.0	40.5	39.1	32.5	34.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.5	F 0.4	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.2	F 2.2	F 30.8	M 6.9	F 31.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	5.2	3.0	0.8	3.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	4.6	3.5	5.3	4.9	—

Clarington Central [Public] Bowmanville		OSSLT count: 308				
ESL (%): 0.3	Special needs (%): 39.9					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
		Rank:	534/749	Last 5 Years 532/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.1	2.0	2.3	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	82.0	78.2	76.9	75.1	73.1	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.6	39.5	32.0	38.8	46.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	27.2	33.8	32.7	33.5	31.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.1	F 10.3	F 13.0	F 23.0	F 11.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	3.9	3.2	0.9	2.8	2.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	4.7	4.9	4.9	5.2	—

Clarke [Public] Newcastle		OSSLT count: 135				
ESL (%): 0.7	Special needs (%): 34.1					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
		Rank:	325/749	Last 5 Years 266/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.4	2.9	2.7	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.4	86.7	76.8	86.6	79.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	69.2	77.3	59.1	46.4	33.3	▼
Tests below standard (%)	23.1	19.9	22.6	23.5	24.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.0	F 3.0	F 16.5	F 14.2	F 10.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	2.4	1.1	2.1	1.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.5	7.1	6.5	7.0	6.5	—

Cobourg District CI East [Public] Cobourg		OSSLT count: 214				
ESL (%): 0.9	Special needs (%): 37.4					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
		Rank:	471/749	Last 5 Years 473/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.2	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	72.2	76.5	83.4	79.0	75.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	35.1	31.0	39.4	41.7	58.6	▲
Tests below standard (%)	37.7	31.8	25.8	29.2	27.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 19.3	F 14.5	F 4.5	F 7.4	F 19.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	2.1	2.6	0.8	2.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	5.4	6.4	5.7	5.7	—

Cobourg West [Public] Cobourg		OSSLT count: 181				
ESL (%): 1.1	Special needs (%): 12.2					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
		Rank:	371/749	Last 5 Years 238/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.6	2.1	2.4	2.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.6	89.3	93.5	85.1	85.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	40.0	n/a	72.7	81.8	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	16.3	20.1	13.2	24.0	20.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.4	M 0.2	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.7	F 1.6	M 3.8	F 4.3	F 11.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	0.6	0.0	0.6	1.3	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	6.9	7.5	6.0	6.3	—

Courtice [Public] Courtice		OSSLT count: 238				
ESL (%): 2.9	Special needs (%): 31.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
		Rank:	239/749	Last 5 Years 275/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.5	2.7	3.0	3.2	▲
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.4	80.0	76.6	79.3	80.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	37.2	45.8	57.6	47.8	48.4	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.6	25.2	24.4	21.3	19.7	▲
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 4.1	F 5.0	F 24.4	M 0.3	F 15.2	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	2.3	0.7	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.6	6.1	7.2	6.9	—

Crestwood [Public] Peterborough		OSSLT count: 258				
ESL (%): 1.9	Special needs (%): 39.1					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
		Rank:	344/749	Last 5 Years 338/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.6	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.3	84.7	77.8	73.8	82.9	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	61.9	37.0	48.6	52.4	54.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	23.7	23.4	27.9	26.1	24.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.4	F 3.7	F 12.3	F 26.6	F 5.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.1	2.3	5.8	2.2	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.7	6.6	5.9	5.9	6.4	—

East Northumberland [Public] Brighton		OSSLT count: 329				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 36.5					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
		Rank:	344/749	Last 5 Years 401/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.1	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.1	2.2	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.3	81.5	78.0	68.7	79.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	48.6	36.8	28.6	50.0	51.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	26.6	25.4	32.9	34.0	24.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 1.9	F 13.6	F 21.5	F 11.9	F 17.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	1.6	2.7	3.6	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.3	6.4	5.1	5.4	6.4	—

Holy Cross [Catholic] Peterborough		OSSLT count: 237				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 44.3					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
		Rank:	371/749	Last 5 Years 322/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.9	3.1	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.6	89.4	70.3	75.8	76.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.7	63.3	68.4	42.2	65.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	21.0	23.4	28.9	28.4	26.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.4	F 3.7	F 13.5	F 26.5	F 14.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	2.9	1.7	1.3	2.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.7	5.7	6.1	6.3	—

Holy Trinity [Catholic] Courtice		OSSLT count: 242				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 27.7					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
		Rank:	258/749	Last 5 Years 238/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.4	87.9	85.6	82.4	90.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	64.4	51.6	56.0	41.2	48.9	—
Tests below standard (%)	20.0	21.8	19.7	27.0	22.6	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.0	F 3.6	F 10.4	F 13.6	F 5.6	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	1.9	0.5	0.3	3.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	7.0	6.9	6.1	6.8	—

IE Weldon [Public] Lindsay		OSSLT count: 346				
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 28.9					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a						
		Rank:	258/749	Last 5 Years 200/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.9	2.6	2.7	2.8	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	88.8	86.9	85.4	83.3	84.8	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	77.5	79.5	37.7	44.4	61.7	—
Tests below standard (%)	16.5	17.7	23.5	22		

St Mary [Catholic] Cobourg							OSSLT count: 210		
ESL (%): 0.5	Special needs (%): 34.8						2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	392/749	220/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.8	2.9	2.8	▼			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	87.3	84.7	83.5	80.0	80.7	▼			
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	64.5	50.0	47.1	52.8	46.4	—			
Tests below standard (%)	17.2	19.3	23.4	23.1	22.7	▼			
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.3	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	M 2.5	F 5.6	F 0.3	F 2.7	F 15.2	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.6	1.5	0.0	3.1	1.8	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	7.4	6.7	6.8	6.2	▼			

St Peter's [Catholic] Peterborough							OSSLT count: 365		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 31.0						2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	281/749	156/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	2.9	3.1	3.0	2.9	n/a			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	92.1	80.4	87.3	84.5	80.1	—			
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	60.0	72.4	62.2	56.4	78.5	—			
Tests below standard (%)	20.0	22.6	14.0	17.7	21.9	—			
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	F 11.5	F 4.7	F 8.4	F 4.1	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	0.3	0.0	1.5	2.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	6.5	7.8	7.3	6.7	—			

St Stephen's [Catholic] Bowmanville							OSSLT count: 316		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 32.9						2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	344/749	389/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.9	3.0	2.9	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.9	2.7	2.8	2.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	83.2	83.8	72.4	79.3	76.9	—			
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	60.0	40.6	40.0	49.1	42.9	—			
Tests below standard (%)	25.2	32.0	26.1	22.1	22.7	—			
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	F 0.3	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.8	F 20.6	F 17.3	F 11.6	F 13.1	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	0.5	1.0	1.3	0.4	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	5.0	5.7	6.8	6.4	—			

St Thomas Aquinas [Catholic] Lindsay							OSSLT count: 111		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 39.6						2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	344/749	401/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.8	3.0	3.1	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.0	2.4	2.5	2.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	81.7	81.6	71.4	80.8	69.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	29.2	47.6	45.5	55.6	81.8	▲			
Tests below standard (%)	28.1	31.3	33.8	27.7	21.4	—			
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.2	F 0.2	E	M 0.4	M 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.5	F 12.5	F 7.8	F 1.6	M 10.3	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0			
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	5.4	5.2	6.5	6.4	—			

Thomas A Stewart [Public] Peterborough							OSSLT count: 298		
ESL (%): 5.7	Special needs (%): 38.6						2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	437/749	389/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	2.9	2.9	3.0	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	80.8	84.8	74.5	74.5	78.0	—			
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	60.7	52.4	54.2	48.7	39.7	▼			
Tests below standard (%)	24.0	24.1	28.6	32.9	29.2	—			
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.2	F 0.1	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.4	F 11.2	M 3.2	F 14.8	F 17.9	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.2	0.7	1.1	1.0	0.6	—			
Overall rating out of 10	6.6	6.3	5.8	5.3	5.9	—			

KENORA AREA

Atikokan [Public] Atikokan							OSSLT count: 35		
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a						2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	136/749	176/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.2	2.9	3.0	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	n/a	2.5	n/a	2.6	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	87.1	83.3	84.8	81.4	85.7	—			
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	20.8	13.1	20.3	14.3	16.2	—			
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 0.3	n/a	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 6.7	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.2	6.9	6.7	7.5	—			

Beaver Brae [Public] Kenora							OSSLT count: 187		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 23.0						2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	679/749	635/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.8	2.4	2.8	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.0	2.2	2.2	1.6	—			
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	67.8	67.4	68.5	63.6	67.4	—			
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	46.2	38.3	38.1	29.4	10.7	▼			
Tests below standard (%)	42.0	41.7	42.4	41.4	45.9	—			
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	M 0.1	n/a	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 7.9	F 11.8	F 19.8	M 15.4	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	0.7	2.0	7.9	2.3	—			
Overall rating out of 10	3.8	4.1	3.3	4.1	3.5	—			

Dryden [Public] Dryden							OSSLT count: 254		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 25.2						2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	575/749	492/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.0	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.6	2.2	1.8	2.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	73.8	82.4	71.3	71.6	64.8	—			
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	38.9	43.5	22.9	45.2	14.3	—			
Tests below standard (%)	27.9	23.9	31.7	35.0	31.4	—			
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	M 0.2	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	—			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 2.3	F 20.4	F 14.7	F 15.2	F 13.6	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.2	1.2	0.0	2.9	3.0	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	6.2	4.9	5.0	4.9	▼			

Enchokay Birchstick [First Nation] Pikangikum							OSSLT count: 22		
ESL (%): n/a	Special needs (%): n/a						2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	715/749	n/a
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.9	2.3	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	13.6	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	100.0	74.3	n/a			
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	54.5	13.3	n/a			
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	2.4	n/a			

Fort Frances [Public] Fort Frances							OSSLT count: 297		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 20.9						2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	575/749	532/693
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.6	2.9	3.1	—			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.5	2.3	1.9	2.3	—			
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	81.5	78.8	73.4	70.8	64.6	▼			
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	50.0	40.9	56.5	45.3	48.6	—			
Tests below standard (%)	30.6	32.2	34.4	38.4	34.7	—			
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	F 0.3	▼			
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.1	F 22.0	F 15.3	F 18.0	F 11.6	—			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	4.4	3.4	2.8	4.6	—			
Overall rating out of 10	5.8	5.4	4.9	4.6	4.9	▼			

Ignace [Public] Ignace							OSSLT count: 31		
ESL (%): 0.0	Special needs (%): 51.6						2013-14 Last 5 Years		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a							Rank:	687/749	n/a
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.4	n/a			
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	84.6	n/a			
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	30.0	n/a			
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	43.2	n/a			
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n						

Sir Winston Churchill [Public] Thunder Bay						OSSLT count: 289	
ESL (%): 3.5			Special needs (%): 23.5			2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 455/749		401/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	2.9	3.1	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.0	2.4	2.2	1.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.9	80.3	77.4	78.4	78.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	36.9	49.1	30.2	44.6	36.6	—	
Tests below standard (%)	31.0	24.8	28.5	28.4	30.6	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	E	M 0.3	E	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.2	F 3.3	M 2.3	F 11.5	F 5.7	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.5	2.8	2.9	2.3	2.6	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.6	6.3	5.6	6.3	5.8	—	

St Ignatius [Catholic] Thunder Bay						OSSLT count: 367	
ESL (%): 0.3			Special needs (%): 16.9			2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 198/749		140/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.1	3.0	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.3	2.6	2.9	2.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	90.1	84.3	88.5	88.5	90.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	52.6	51.2	64.7	44.8	23.5	—	
Tests below standard (%)	20.1	22.4	16.0	16.2	17.7	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 3.2	F 5.1	F 3.1	F 9.3	F 4.6	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.0	1.6	0.4	1.4	1.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.5	6.7	7.9	7.5	7.1	—	

St Patrick [Catholic] Thunder Bay						OSSLT count: 341	
ESL (%): 1.2			Special needs (%): 16.7			2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 401/749		411/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.2	2.5	2.1	2.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	85.2	76.6	79.2	86.7	77.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	42.9	32.4	62.2	45.5	58.6	—	
Tests below standard (%)	25.9	34.0	25.4	26.0	29.2	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 9.7	F 13.0	F 6.0	F 9.1	F 12.8	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	0.3	1.1	0.0	0.4	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	5.1	6.4	6.2	5.5	—	

Superior CVI [Public] Thunder Bay						OSSLT count: 238	
ESL (%): 2.1			Special needs (%): 23.1			2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 512/749		473/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.9	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.2	2.0	2.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	69.5	85.1	75.8	78.6	70.1	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	53.5	42.3	50.0	52.4	40.5	—	
Tests below standard (%)	31.9	31.0	31.4	33.9	31.1	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.2	E	E	F 0.1	M 0.1	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.6	F 10.7	F 18.8	F 5.1	F 28.5	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.8	5.7	0.7	0.7	2.3	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.3	6.2	5.2	5.4	5.4	—	

Westgate [Public] Thunder Bay						OSSLT count: 280	
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 26.8			2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 489/749		401/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.5	2.7	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.3	2.4	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	86.7	91.3	80.3	81.0	77.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	49.0	62.2	41.9	52.2	48.6	—	
Tests below standard (%)	27.0	22.8	32.8	34.3	31.2	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	M 0.4	E	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 6.0	F 16.5	F 8.5	F 9.2	M 1.2	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	7.0	1.9	3.0	4.9	1.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	7.4	4.7	5.4	5.6	—	

NEAR NORTH AREA

Algonquin [Catholic] North Bay						OSSLT count: 142	
ESL (%): n/a			Special needs (%): n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 563/749		457/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.7	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	1.9	2.2	2.2	2.7	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.0	88.5	87.6	91.7	82.9	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	44.4	50.0	52.9	33.3	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	36.6	28.9	27.0	24.2	21.4	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	▼	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 18.1	F 5.0	F 13.0	F 10.9	F 17.8	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	6.2	5.5	5.8	5.0	—	

Almaguin Highlands [Public] South River						OSSLT count: 188	
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 36.2			2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 731/749		674/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.2	2.0	2.2	1.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	1.7	2.0	1.6	1.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	79.7	68.5	68.9	64.0	65.0	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	71.4	41.7	41.0	40.0	50.0	—	
Tests below standard (%)	40.2	48.1	47.3	50.4	54.3	▼	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	F 0.2	F 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.4	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	M 3.7	M 0.2	F 12.1	F 14.8	F 27.3	▼	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.0	0.9	4.8	6.8	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	2.7	3.0	2.4	1.6	▼	

Chippewa [Public] North Bay						OSSLT count: 175	
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 37.1			2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 471/749		417/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.9	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.1	2.1	2.4	2.6	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.3	74.7	73.8	81.6	77.8	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.9	73.3	n/a	50.0	33.3	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	27.5	27.5	28.4	27.4	29.4	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.2	M 0.3	F 0.1	E	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.1	F 4.9	F 13.0	F 5.0	M 11.3	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.1	1.0	6.0	1.0	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	6.4	5.5	5.0	6.5	5.7	—	

ES Northern [Public] Sturgeon Falls						OSSLT count: 11	
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 63.6			2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 727/749		n/a		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	2.7	n/a	n/a	2.4	n/a	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.8	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	77.3	n/a	n/a	81.8	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	24.3	n/a	n/a	52.5	n/a	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	0.0	n/a	n/a	3.3	n/a	
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	4.7	n/a	n/a	2.0	n/a	

F J McElliott [Public] Mattawa						OSSLT count: 17	
ESL (%): n/a			Special needs (%): n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 727/749		n/a		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.3	n/a	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.1	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	80.0	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	12.8	n/a	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a	
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	8.7	n/a	

Franco-Cité [Catholic] Sturgeon Falls						OSSLT count: 70	
ESL (%): n/a			Special needs (%): n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 371/749		435/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.3	2.8	3.0	3.0	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.7	2.5	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	77.7	85.5	83.9	84.1	85.2	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	66.7	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	33.1	32.4	26.4	18.5	16.0	▲	
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	M 0.4	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	—	
Gender gap OSSLT	F 23.2	F 7.9	F 3.2	F 10.1	F 16.9	—	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	5.5	4.9	5.9	6.1	6.3	▲	

l'Odysée [Public] North Bay						OSSLT count: 23	
ESL (%): n/a			Special needs (%): n/a			2013-14 Last 5 Years	
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 563/749		542/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.2	2.7	3.0	2.8	—	
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.9	n/a	n/a	
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.6	57.1	81.3	86.7	78.3	—	
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Tests below standard (%)	16.1	47.8	20.6	15.1	18.9	—	
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—	
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	0.0	5.4	7.5	5.0	—	

Northern [Public] Sturgeon Falls						OSSLT count: 88	
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 47.7			2013-1	

Cochrane [Public] Cochrane						OSSLT count: 44		
ESL (%)	n/a					Special needs (%): n/a		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 733/749					2013-14 Last 5 Years 677/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.4	2.0	2.5	2.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.5	2.2	2.0	2.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	69.9	62.2	55.6	70.5	63.3	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	37.5	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	45.6	41.7	52.7	38.8	56.3	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.0	11.4	9.4	7.7	6.8	—		
Overall rating out of 10	2.8	3.4	1.2	4.1	1.3	—		

Jean-Vanier [Catholic] Kirkland Lake						OSSLT count: 17		
ESL (%)	n/a					Special needs (%): n/a		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 181/749					2013-14 Last 5 Years n/a		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	n/a	n/a	2.6	2.9	n/a		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.0	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	55.0	n/a	n/a	84.2	88.2	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	50.0	n/a	n/a	21.9	14.0	n/a		
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	n/a	0.0	0.0	n/a		
Overall rating out of 10	1.6	n/a	n/a	4.3	7.2	n/a		

Renaissance [Public] Timmins						OSSLT count: 12		
ESL (%)	n/a					Special needs (%): n/a		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 659/749					2013-14 Last 5 Years n/a		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.8	n/a	3.0 n/a		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.5	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	52.4	n/a	50.0	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	37.8	n/a	34.4	n/a		
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a	0.0	n/a		
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	0.9	n/a	3.9	n/a		

Écho du Nord [Public] Kapuskasing						OSSLT count: 10		
ESL (%)	n/a					Special needs (%): n/a		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 736/749					2013-14 Last 5 Years n/a		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.9	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.0	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	65.2	n/a		
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	7.1	n/a		
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	1.0	n/a		

Kapuskasing [Public] Kapuskasing						OSSLT count: 66		
ESL (%)	0.0					Special needs (%): 39.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 719/749					2013-14 Last 5 Years 684/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	1.8	2.1	2.0	1.9	2.3	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.1	n/a	1.8	1.4	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	69.4	62.8	71.1	71.9	68.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	31.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	33.3	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	52.8	50.0	40.3	57.9	53.1	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 11.8	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.4	2.6	0.0	0.0	5.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	1.4	1.6	1.9	1.3	2.3	—		

Roland Michener [Public] South Porcupine						OSSLT count: 70		
ESL (%)	0.0					Special needs (%): 30.0		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 707/749					2013-14 Last 5 Years 657/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.2	2.7	2.2	2.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	n/a	2.0	2.1	1.9	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	83.3	63.8	87.2	82.4	80.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	38.1	42.9	30.8	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	41.1	44.4	34.0	45.3	48.9	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	M 0.1	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 32.1	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	6.8	7.4	13.0	5.0	2.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	3.1	1.2	5.5	3.9	2.8	—		

Englehart [Public] Englehart						OSSLT count: 64		
ESL (%)	3.1					Special needs (%): 45.3		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 421/749					2013-14 Last 5 Years 435/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.7	3.0	3.1	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	n/a	2.4	2.7	2.6	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	69.6	73.3	82.9	72.2	73.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	80.0	n/a	n/a	35.7	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	30.9	26.1	28.1	25.0	30.7	▲		
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 0.3	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	F 0.9	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.9	5.4	6.2	6.2	6.0	▲		

Kirkland Lake [Public] Kirkland Lake						OSSLT count: 173		
ESL (%)	0.0					Special needs (%): 36.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 719/749					2013-14 Last 5 Years 591/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.7	2.9	3.0	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.4	2.4	2.0	2.2	2.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	75.7	69.9	69.0	67.5	63.9	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	39.4	44.1	28.1	48.6	29.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	39.2	38.0	39.2	36.0	38.3	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.2	M 0.3	M 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 21.9	F 5.9	F 2.0	F 9.6	F 18.1	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.6	4.6	4.3	6.7	2.5	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.1	4.5	4.3	5.4	4.4	—		

Sainte-Marie [Catholic] New Liskeard						OSSLT count: 102		
ESL (%)	0.0					Special needs (%): 29.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 258/749					2013-14 Last 5 Years 355/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.2	3.1	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	87.5	85.1	75.9	80.2	81.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	55.6	n/a	62.5	53.3	78.9	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	28.3	19.4	28.5	25.0	20.0	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.5	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.9	F 7.9	F 19.8	F 23.0	F 1.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	7.0	6.8	5.6	4.8	6.8	—		

Hearst [Catholic] Hearst						OSSLT count: 78		
ESL (%)	n/a					Special needs (%): n/a		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 421/749					2013-14 Last 5 Years 220/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	3.1	2.9	2.9	3.0	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	3.0	2.5	2.7	2.5	2.4	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	84.8	85.4	90.0	87.0	83.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	63.2	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	14.6	18.6	15.8	21.1	18.5	▼		
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.4	E	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.6	F 11.9	F 4.6	F 25.7	F 27.5	▼		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	8.4	7.0	7.2	5.9	6.0	▼		

Northern Lights [Public] Moosonee						OSSLT count: 66		
ESL (%)	0.0					Special needs (%): 10.6		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 742/749					2013-14 Last 5 Years 690/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	1.9	n/a	2.1	2.2	n/a		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	1.8	1.9	1.1	1.3	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	35.7	52.8	40.7	30.8	37.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.0	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	62.8	59.7	65.9	70.2	66.2	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	16.7	0.0	0.0	6.1	3.1	—		
Overall rating out of 10	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		

Therault [Catholic] Timmins						OSSLT count: 250		
ESL (%)	0.0					Special needs (%): 18.4		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 512/749					2013-14 Last 5 Years 457/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.3	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	74.9	82.8	85.7	83.7	83.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	52.4	37.8	40.0	52.6	45.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	33.1	27.5	25.9	24.4	27.2	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	E	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 15.9	F 8.0	F 9.2	F 12.8	F 3.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	▼		
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	5.9	6.2	5.3	5.4	—		

Hornepayne [Public] Hornepayne						OSSLT count: 11		
ESL (%)	n/a					Special needs (%): n/a		
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a	Rank: 740/749					2013-14 Last 5 Years n/a		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.3	n/a		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.0	n/a		

RAINBOW AREA

Bishop Alexander Carter [Catholic] Hanmer							OSSLT count: 128	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 25.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 471/749 473/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.7	2.6	2.9	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.4	2.4	▲		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	86.4	83.0	75.0	75.0	75.7	▼		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	60.0	47.8	44.0	58.3	50.0	—		
Tests below standard (%)	25.6	35.0	34.8	29.3	30.9	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.1	M 0.3	F 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.2	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 14.2	F 2.8	F 16.4	F 11.6	F 5.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.2	0.0	1.3	1.3	1.6	—		
Overall rating out of 10	6.2	5.2	4.5	5.8	5.7	—		

Hanmer [Public] Hanmer							OSSLT count: 16	
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 737/749 n/a						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.6	n/a		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	44.4	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.0	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	50.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	40.7	n/a		
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.0	n/a		
Overall rating out of 10	1.7	n/a	n/a	n/a	0.9	n/a		

Macdonald-Cartier [Public] Sudbury							OSSLT count: 111	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 40.5						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 697/749 640/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.6	2.8	2.8	2.5	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.7	2.2	2.5	2.1	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	73.4	70.1	76.3	78.3	80.0	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	50.0	n/a	31.6	42.9	30.8	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	45.5	37.0	31.0	30.1	34.4	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.1	F 0.3	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.3	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 16.9	F 39.4	F 26.6	F 3.1	F 23.8	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	1.3	0.0	2.7	1.3	—		
Overall rating out of 10	3.9	2.9	4.5	4.0	3.1	—		

Champlain [Catholic] Chelmsford							OSSLT count: 71	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 33.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 619/749 643/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.7	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	66.3	81.2	61.3	77.8	80.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	42.1	25.0	40.0	33.3	36.8	—		
Tests below standard (%)	40.4	40.6	42.6	39.5	36.3	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.5	E	F 0.3	F 0.6	E	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 8.6	F 11.7	F 8.2	F 10.7	F 7.7	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.1	0.0	1.0	2.2	1.9	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.1	4.4	2.3	2.5	4.6	—		

Lasalle [Public] Sudbury							OSSLT count: 236	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 30.9						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 646/749 618/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.3	▼		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.4	2.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	78.2	69.1	70.5	73.0	75.4	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	47.2	48.9	39.4	35.9	47.6	—		
Tests below standard (%)	37.3	38.4	39.8	39.8	41.7	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.3	F 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	M 0.4	F 7.1	F 16.9	F 15.9	F 11.2	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.9	1.4	2.8	4.5	0.9	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	3.9	3.6	3.8	4.1	—		

Manitoulin [Public] M'Chigeeng							OSSLT count: 152	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 38.8						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 697/749 605/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.5	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.8	2.1	1.9	1.6	1.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	74.4	79.4	74.4	64.3	63.7	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	46.9	48.4	29.6	27.6	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	37.9	33.8	36.4	48.2	43.5	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.2	M 0.2	E	F 0.2	F 0.4	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 23.3	F 18.5	M 1.5	F 11.1	F 20.3	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.1	2.1	3.0	1.0	1.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	4.2	5.2	5.2	3.1	3.6	—		

Chelmsford Valley [Public] Chelmsford							OSSLT count: 77	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 37.7						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 687/749 677/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.0	2.6	2.2	2.3	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.0	1.8	1.9	2.5	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	61.9	61.9	65.4	67.8	56.8	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	28.6	33.3	47.8	18.2	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	51.4	49.6	54.9	43.6	49.5	▲		
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	9.1	0.0	1.4	2.8	2.4	—		
Overall rating out of 10	1.5	2.6	2.0	3.5	3.4	▲		

L'Horizon [Catholic] Val Caron							OSSLT count: 120	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 18.3						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 575/749 648/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.6	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.6	1.9	n/a	2.7	2.5	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	74.3	75.4	64.3	85.0	86.1	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	33.3	44.4	52.6	54.8	55.6	▲		
Tests below standard (%)	45.1	52.4	42.2	30.2	28.7	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.1	E	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 0.4	F 39.7	F 3.4	F 5.4	F 19.9	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	0.0	2.2	1.1	1.3	—		
Overall rating out of 10	3.7	2.4	1.6	4.6	5.0	—		

Marymount [Catholic] Sudbury							OSSLT count: 73	
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 1197/749 124/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.1	n/a	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	98.2	95.8	96.0	97.4	91.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	19.6	8.3	11.9	12.4	8.7	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.9	8.3	7.5	7.7	7.6	—		

Collège Notre-Dame [Catholic] Sudbury							OSSLT count: 177	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 14.1						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 687/749 591/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.7	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	1.6	1.9	2.1	2.2	n/a		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	85.0	83.1	85.2	86.9	88.2	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	57.1	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	34.3	35.8	30.3	25.5	21.7	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.3	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	E	▲		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 24.2	F 11.7	F 16.6	F 10.1	F 11.2	▲		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.7	▲		
Overall rating out of 10	4.5	4.4	4.5	4.7	5.7	▲		

Lively [Public] Lively							OSSLT count: 113	
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 53.1						
Actual rating vs predicted based		2013-14 Last 5 Years						
on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		Rank: 575/749 542/693						
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.9	2.7	2.9	2.8	—		
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.3	2.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—FTE	78.9	87.5	61.8	71.6	68.6	—		
OSSLT passed (%)—PE	n/a	35.3	n/a	23.8	40.0	n/a		
Tests below standard (%)	26.7	26.6	35.0	38.0	31.9	—		
Gender gap (level)—Math	M 0.2	M 0.1	F 0.2	M 0.1	M 0.1	—		
Gender gap OSSLT	F 18.2	F 10.2	F 4.5	F 10.3	F 19.4	—		
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	—		
Overall rating out of 10	5.2	6.4						

Sudbury [Public] Sudbury		OSSLT count: 240				
ESL (%): 0.4		Special needs (%): 28.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	606/749	605/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.5	2.2	2.6	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.5	74.6	71.2	63.8	69.4	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	59.1	37.8	48.1	55.6	62.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	38.9	40.4	43.9	40.5	38.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	E	M 0.1	M 0.3	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 38.1	F 32.0	F 22.9	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	4.4	3.8	5.4	4.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	4.7	4.6	3.2	4.3	4.7	—

Wasse-Abin Wikwemikong [First Nation] Wikwemikong		OSSLT count: 60				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 10.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	735/749	n/a		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	n/a	2.6	n/a	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	2.3	2.1	1.7	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	38.9	55.0	45.7	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	35.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	52.5	51.1	65.2	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	8.3	10.0	9.1	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	2.5	2.7	1.1	n/a

SIMCOE COUNTY AREA

Banting Memorial [Public] Alliston		OSSLT count: 522				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 30.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	325/749	200/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.6	2.8	2.6	2.6	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	87.8	84.7	84.5	82.4	80.1	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	68.0	40.5	68.4	65.5	55.0	—
Tests below standard (%)	18.8	18.2	20.9	23.3	21.9	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	E	M 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 5.7	F 10.2	F 10.0	F 7.6	F 11.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	5.4	2.9	2.9	1.9	1.7	▲
Overall rating out of 10	7.3	7.6	7.0	6.8	6.5	▼

Bradford [Public] Bradford		OSSLT count: 312				
ESL (%): 1.3		Special needs (%): 30.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	258/749	200/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.1	3.0	3.0	2.9	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.4	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	89.2	85.5	79.0	83.3	82.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	53.1	61.8	48.6	60.0	55.6	—
Tests below standard (%)	18.3	17.9	22.4	22.7	19.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	E	M 0.1	F 0.2	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	M 1.2	F 3.4	F 4.4	F 8.7	F 8.9	▼
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.4	3.2	0.0	1.7	1.8	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.7	7.4	6.5	6.7	6.8	—

Collingwood [Public] Collingwood		OSSLT count: 372				
ESL (%): 1.1		Special needs (%): 31.5				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	281/749	301/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.4	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.5	82.2	84.4	79.1	81.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	57.4	56.0	53.8	51.6	78.3	—
Tests below standard (%)	25.9	25.7	21.9	23.0	21.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	E	E	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 13.6	F 7.1	F 8.7	F 11.6	F 11.8	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	2.3	6.4	4.7	2.1	1.7	—
Overall rating out of 10	6.1	6.2	6.8	6.5	6.7	—

Elmvalde [Public] Elmvalde		OSSLT count: 136				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 25.7				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	512/749	492/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.6	2.6	2.9	3.0	2.7	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.3	2.3	2.6	2.2	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	83.7	75.8	74.3	72.3	78.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	42.1	n/a	60.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	30.4	33.0	28.6	29.3	32.7	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.3	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.3	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 17.0	F 16.6	F 16.0	F 8.4	F 9.1	▲
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.7	0.0	1.1	2.0	1.9	▼
Overall rating out of 10	5.4	4.7	5.7	5.8	5.4	—

Holy Trinity [Catholic] Bradford		OSSLT count: 196				
ESL (%): 3.1		Special needs (%): 19.4				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	281/749	338/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.5	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.1	84.9	80.9	89.1	83.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	54.2	67.7	73.1	67.5	77.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	24.0	24.8	26.2	22.0	20.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	F 0.1	F 0.1	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 21.9	M 1.3	F 2.5	M 3.3	F 13.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.6	1.5	2.3	1.3	2.3	▼
Overall rating out of 10	5.7	6.5	5.9	6.7	6.7	—

Jean Vanier [Catholic] Collingwood		OSSLT count: 221				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 30.3				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	181/749	124/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	3.0	2.8	3.1	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.8	2.9	3.1	2.7	3.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	81.0	89.4	78.5	82.1	82.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	80.8	51.7	77.8	57.1	54.8	—
Tests below standard (%)	15.2	18.7	17.6	21.0	17.6	▼
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.2	E	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 11.6	F 1.3	F 9.5	F 8.4	F 9.1	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.7	3.5	1.8	3.0	6.4	—
Overall rating out of 10	7.4	7.6	7.5	7.2	7.2	—

Le Caron [Public] Penetanguishene		OSSLT count: 35				
ESL (%): n/a		Special needs (%): n/a				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	703/749	568/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.8	2.4	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	59.1	81.4	87.5	91.3	76.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	58.8	50.0	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	41.4	29.0	20.5	13.5	32.8	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	M 0.1	n/a	n/a	F 0.1	M 0.3	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	F 39.2	n/a	n/a	F 3.8	F 3.6	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10	3.3	4.9	5.6	6.7	2.9	—

Midland [Public] Midland		OSSLT count: 181				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 28.2				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	646/749	640/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.1	2.5	2.6	2.4	2.5	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	1.9	2.2	1.3	2.4	2.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	75.6	65.2	63.7	77.2	67.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	34.0	45.7	29.4	37.5	41.2	—
Tests below standard (%)	43.5	43.4	49.7	35.1	40.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.1	M 0.2	E	M 0.4	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 10.8	F 24.1	F 5.8	M 5.4	F 7.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.3	6.1	5.5	1.0	2.5	▲
Overall rating out of 10	3.5	3.4	2.7	4.7	4.1	—

Nantyr Shores [Public] Innisfil		OSSLT count: 407				
ESL (%): 1.0		Special needs (%): 30.0				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	547/749	568/693		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	2.7	2.5	2.8	2.7	2.8	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	2.2	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	80.0	70.3	70.1	72.6	74.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	34.0	47.2	53.5	42.1	36.5	—
Tests below standard (%)	33.0	41.0	33.7	34.1	33.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math	F 0.2	M 0.2	M 0.2	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT	F 12.3	F 13.4	F 11.5	F 21.3	F 19.3	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	1.8	0.7	1.4	2.3	1.1	—
Overall rating out of 10	5.0	3.7	4.9	4.8	5.1	—

Nottawasaga Pines [Public] Angus		OSSLT count: 254				
ESL (%): 0.0		Special needs (%): 37.8				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	527/749	n/a		
Academic Performance	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)	n/a	n/a	2.7	2.9	2.9	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)	n/a	n/a	2.3	2.3	2.2	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE	n/a	n/a	73.4	73.3	69.7	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE	n/a	n/a	44.4	51.2	66.7	n/a
Tests below standard (%)	n/a	n/a	35.8	32.5	30.9	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math	n/a	n/a	E	M 0.2	E	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT	n/a	n/a	F 14.6	F 1.5	F 8.8	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)	n/a	n/a	1.9	2.2	0.7	n/a
Overall rating out of 10	n/a	n/a	5.1	5.4	5.3	n/a

Orillia [Public] Orillia		OSSLT count: 350				
ESL (%): 0.6		Special needs (%): 27.1				
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a		2013-14 Last 5 Years				
		Rank:	512/749	373/693		

Twin Lakes [Public] Orillia							OSSLT count: 249					
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 29.7				2013-14 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 606/749 519/693									
Academic Performance							2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)							2.9	2.9	3.0	2.8	2.7	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)							2.4	2.2	2.2	2.0	1.9	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE							78.0	73.9	68.5	72.0	75.3	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE							62.2	50.0	40.0	52.9	44.4	—
Tests below standard (%)							28.2	32.1	32.1	35.5	37.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math							M 0.2	M 0.3	E	E	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT							F 7.6	M 0.9	F 14.7	F 16.7	F 7.4	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)							0.0	3.1	2.9	4.6	4.1	▼
Overall rating out of 10							6.1	5.2	5.3	4.9	4.7	▼

SUPERIOR-GREENSTONE AREA

Geraldton [Public] Geraldton							OSSLT count: 106					
ESL (%): 0.9			Special needs (%): 29.2				2013-14 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 711/749 657/693									
Academic Performance							2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)							n/a	2.5	1.8	2.8	2.8	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)							2.3	2.5	2.2	2.1	2.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE							73.5	62.2	65.9	55.9	45.0	▼
OSSLT passed (%)-PE							25.0	23.8	25.0	40.0	23.8	—
Tests below standard (%)							44.5	47.4	52.6	48.5	51.0	—
Gender gap (level)-Math							n/a	n/a	n/a	E	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT							n/a	n/a	n/a	M 22.9	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)							4.7	0.0	7.0	4.3	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10							4.5	3.4	1.9	3.8	2.7	—

Lake Superior [Public] Terrace Bay							OSSLT count: 26					
ESL (%): n/a			Special needs (%): n/a				2013-14 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 732/749 n/a									
Academic Performance							2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)							2.5	2.4	n/a	2.3	2.2	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)							1.6	n/a	n/a	2.1	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE							85.3	76.5	n/a	78.3	62.5	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE							n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)							33.3	30.9	n/a	42.6	45.9	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math							n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT							n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)							2.8	0.0	n/a	0.0	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10							4.6	3.7	n/a	3.6	1.4	n/a

Manitowadge [Public] Manitowadge							OSSLT count: 25					
ESL (%): n/a			Special needs (%): n/a				2013-14 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 679/749 n/a									
Academic Performance							2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)							2.9	n/a	n/a	2.7	2.4	n/a
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)							n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE							73.9	n/a	n/a	75.9	73.9	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-PE							n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)							22.5	n/a	n/a	24.4	32.5	n/a
Gender gap (level)-Math							n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT							n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)							0.0	n/a	n/a	11.1	0.0	n/a
Overall rating out of 10							5.3	n/a	n/a	5.1	3.5	n/a

Marathon [Public] Marathon							OSSLT count: 73					
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 35.6				2013-14 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 455/749 435/693									
Academic Performance							2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)							3.0	2.8	2.9	2.7	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)							2.5	2.0	2.9	2.5	2.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE							63.8	81.8	71.7	68.9	74.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE							n/a	63.2	n/a	n/a	80.0	n/a
Tests below standard (%)							30.8	27.8	21.4	32.6	29.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math							n/a	M 0.1	n/a	M 0.2	n/a	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT							n/a	F 6.8	n/a	M 7.0	n/a	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)							1.7	6.3	0.0	3.8	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10							5.3	6.2	6.5	4.9	5.8	—

TRILLIUM LAKELANDS AREA

Bracebridge and Muskoka Lakes [Public] Bracebridge							OSSLT count: 270					
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 39.6				2013-14 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 215/749 200/693									
Academic Performance							2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)							2.9	2.9	3.2	3.0	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)							2.4	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE							82.1	88.2	82.3	84.9	81.2	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE							47.2	52.6	50.0	67.4	56.3	—
Tests below standard (%)							26.0	20.6	18.5	19.0	19.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math							M 0.1	E	M 0.1	F 0.1	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT							F 12.0	F 7.5	F 9.8	F 11.6	F 13.0	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)							2.1	0.0	0.0	0.5	2.0	—
Overall rating out of 10							6.1	7.1	7.5	7.2	7.0	—

Fenelon Falls [Public] Fenelon Falls							OSSLT count: 243					
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 35.8				2013-14 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 239/749 355/693									
Academic Performance							2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)							2.8	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.9	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)							2.4	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.7	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE							86.5	78.8	76.3	74.7	83.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE							77.3	51.1	53.7	48.8	55.6	—
Tests below standard (%)							24.6	27.4	32.5	31.2	22.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math							M 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.1	E	M 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT							F 4.9	F 13.1	F 18.0	F 9.7	F 8.9	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)							0.0	1.6	0.5	1.3	0.6	—
Overall rating out of 10							6.8	6.2	5.5	5.6	6.9	—

Gravenhurst [Public] Gravenhurst							OSSLT count: 106					
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 42.5				2013-14 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 119/749 338/693									
Academic Performance							2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)							2.9	2.8	3.0	2.6	3.3	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)							2.9	2.8	2.6	2.3	3.1	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE							85.7	80.0	84.9	62.3	85.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE							65.2	52.9	n/a	20.0	41.9	n/a
Tests below standard (%)							19.8	26.9	20.6	43.6	21.5	—
Gender gap (level)-Math							M 0.2	n/a	n/a	M 0.3	F 0.1	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT							F 11.3	n/a	n/a	F 37.7	F 21.2	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)							4.2	3.8	1.4	3.1	1.4	—
Overall rating out of 10							7.2	6.5	7.4	3.0	7.6	—

Haliburton Highland [Public] Haliburton							OSSLT count: 157					
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 32.5				2013-14 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 281/749 275/693									
Academic Performance							2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)							2.9	2.8	2.9	2.9	3.0	—
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)							2.8	2.4	2.5	2.3	2.6	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE							82.8	85.9	77.1	79.8	83.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE							66.7	48.1	37.9	55.6	53.8	—
Tests below standard (%)							18.6	24.9	30.2	26.5	24.2	—
Gender gap (level)-Math							M 0.1	F 0.1	M 0.1	M 0.2	E	—
Gender gap OSSLT							F 3.0	F 8.1	F 7.2	F 5.5	F 12.7	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)							0.7	2.6	0.8	1.8	0.9	—
Overall rating out of 10							7.4	6.4	5.9	6.4	6.7	—

Huntsville [Public] Huntsville							OSSLT count: 292					
ESL (%): 0.0			Special needs (%): 34.2				2013-14 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 371/749 275/693									
Academic Performance							2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)							3.0	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.8	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)							2.3	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.5	—
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE							80.7	81.8	86.0	85.1	82.8	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE							68.6	46.9	46.7	41.7	46.3	—
Tests below standard (%)							24.0	23.5	22.2	21.9	25.1	—
Gender gap (level)-Math							M 0.2	E	M 0.1	M 0.1	F 0.1	—
Gender gap OSSLT							F 4.7	F 12.6	F 7.3	F 11.5	F 6.5	—
Gr 9 tests not written (%)							1.7	0.5	0.4	0.5	1.3	—
Overall rating out of 10							6.5	6.6	6.9	6.7	6.3	—

St Dominic [Catholic] Bracebridge							OSSLT count: 38					
ESL (%): n/a			Special needs (%): n/a				2013-14 Last 5 Years					
Actual rating vs predicted based on parents' avg. inc. of \$ n/a: n/a			Rank: 502/749 457/693									
Academic Performance							2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Trend
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Acad)							3.1	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.7	▼
Avg. level Gr 9 Math (Apld)							2.2	2.4	2.5	n/a	2.6	n/a
OSSLT passed (%)-FTE							81.9	69.6	74.5	78.7	82.4	—
OSSLT passed (%)-PE							n/a	n/a	50.0	31.3	n/a	n/a
Tests below standard (%)							19.8	30.8	29.5	27.5	26.3	—
Gender gap (level)-Math							n/a	n/a	M 0.3	n/a	M 0.4	n/a
Gender gap OSSLT							n/a	n/a	F 20.4	n/a	F 30.7	n/a
Gr 9 tests not written (%)							7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	—
Overall rating out of 10							6.7	5.0	5.4	5.5	5.5	—

How does your school stack up?

Important notes to the rankings

In this table, schools are ranked (on the left hand side of the page) in descending order (from 1 to 742) according to their academic performance as measured by the Overall rating out of 10 (shown on the right side of the table) for the school year 2013/2014. Each school's five-year average ranking and Overall rating out of 10 are also listed. The higher the overall rating (out of 10), the higher the rank awarded to the school.

Where schools tied in the overall rating, they were awarded the same rank. Where fewer than five years of data were available, "n/a" appears in the table.

Not all the province's secondary schools are included in the tables or the ranking. In order to be included, schools must have had, in the school year 2013/2014, at least 10 students who wrote either of the two versions of the grade-9 EQAO math test and at least 10 first-time-eligible or previ-

ously eligible writers of the Ontario Secondary School Literacy Test. Private schools, including federally funded schools operated by the First Nations, are not required to administer the grade-9 EQAO tests. Since the results of these tests are a necessary component of this Report Card, only those private schools that both administered the EQAO tests and allowed the publication of their results could be included.

The exclusion of a school from the Report Card should not be construed as a judgement of the school's effectiveness.

IMPORTANT: In order to get the most from the *Report Card*, readers should consult the complete table of results for each school of interest. By considering several years of results—rather than just a school's rank in the most recent year—readers can get a better idea of how the school is likely to perform in the future.

---Rank---			School name	City	-Overall rating-	
2013/2014	Last 5 yrs	Trend			2013/2014	Last 5 yrs
1	n/a	n/a	Minto	Ottawa	10.0	n/a
2	n/a	n/a	Havergal	Toronto	9.4	n/a
3	3	▲	Colonel By	Gloucester	9.3	9.2
4	3	—	Bayview	Richmond Hill	9.2	9.2
4	27	▲	Markville	Markham	9.2	8.3
4	n/a	n/a	ISNA	Mississauga	9.2	n/a
7	12	▲	Abbey Park	Oakville	9.1	8.7
7	68	—	St Joseph's	Renfrew	9.1	7.8
9	2	—	London Central	London	8.9	9.3
9	5	▼	Pierre Elliott Trudeau	Markham	8.9	9.1
9	6	—	Cardinal Carter Academy for the Arts	Toronto	8.9	9.0
9	6	—	Unionville	Unionville	8.9	9.0
9	8	—	Oakville Trafalgar	Oakville	8.9	8.9
9	8	—	St Therese of Lisieux	Richmond Hill	8.9	8.9
9	12	▲	Bur Oak	Markham	8.9	8.7
9	12	—	Richmond Hill	Richmond Hill	8.9	8.7
17	1	—	St Michael's Choir (Sr)	Toronto	8.8	9.4
17	8	—	West Carleton	Dunrobin	8.8	8.9

---Rank---			School name	City	-Overall rating-	
2013/2014	Last 5 yrs	Trend			2013/2014	Last 5 yrs
17	12	—	William Lyon Mackenzie	Toronto	8.8	8.7
20	96	—	Jeunes sans frontières	Brampton	8.7	7.6
20	n/a	n/a	F J McElligott	Mattawa	8.7	n/a
22	17	—	Iroquois Ridge	Oakville	8.6	8.6
22	17	—	St Augustine	Markham	8.6	8.6
22	17	—	Ursula Franklin	Toronto	8.6	8.6
22	21	—	Lisgar	Ottawa	8.6	8.5
22	32	—	St Marcellinus	Mississauga	8.6	8.2
22	32	—	Westmount	Thornhill	8.6	8.2
22	68	—	De La Salle	Ottawa	8.6	7.8
29	8	▼	St Michael	Kemptville	8.5	8.9
29	12	—	St Robert	Thornhill	8.5	8.7
29	27	▲	Lawrence Park	Toronto	8.5	8.3
29	27	—	Stratford Central	Stratford	8.5	8.3
29	32	▲	Centennial	Guelph	8.5	8.2
29	32	—	Earl Haig	Toronto	8.5	8.2
29	32	▲	Middlefield	Markham	8.5	8.2
29	68	▲	Bishop Paul Francis Reding	Milton	8.5	7.8
37	27	—	Mother Teresa	Nepean	8.4	8.3

---Rank---					-Overall rating-		---Rank---					-Overall rating-		
2013/	Last		School name		2013/	Last	2013/	Last	School name		2013/	Last	2013/	Last
2014	5	Trend	City	2014	5	2014	5	2014	5	City	2014	5	2014	5
37	32	—	Cawthra Park	Mississauga	8.4	8.2	86	124	—	Iona	Mississauga	7.8	7.4	
37	68	▲	Vincent Massey	Windsor	8.4	7.8	86	140	—	F J Brennan	Windsor	7.8	7.3	
37	96	▲	Lester B Pearson	Burlington	8.4	7.6	86	176	—	St. Thomas Aquinas	Russell	7.8	7.1	
41	24	—	St Aloysius Gonzaga	Mississauga	8.3	8.4	86	238	—	La Salle	Kingston	7.8	6.8	
41	24	—	St Joseph	Nepean	8.3	8.4	86	301	—	Erin	Erin	7.8	6.5	
41	32	—	John Fraser	Mississauga	8.3	8.2	86	n/a	n/a	Longfields Davidson Heights	Nepean	7.8	n/a	
41	40	—	Richmond Green	Richmond Hill	8.3	8.1	104	40	—	Neil McNeil	Toronto	7.7	8.1	
41	83	—	St Anne	Tecumseh	8.3	7.7	104	48	—	Elmira	Elmira	7.7	8.0	
41	176	▲	Bloor	Toronto	8.3	7.1	104	57	—	Lorne Park	Mississauga	7.7	7.9	
47	21	—	Etobicoke School of the Arts	Toronto	8.2	8.5	104	83	—	Christ the King	Georgetown	7.7	7.7	
47	48	—	Regiopolis/Notre-Dame	Kingston	8.2	8.0	104	96	—	Bishop Macdonell	Guelph	7.7	7.6	
47	57	—	All Saints	Kanata	8.2	7.9	104	96	—	Kingston	Kingston	7.7	7.6	
47	57	▲	White Oaks	Oakville	8.2	7.9	104	96	—	Our Lady of Mount Carmel	Mississauga	7.7	7.6	
47	96	—	St Benedict	Sudbury	8.2	7.6	104	110	—	John Cabot	Mississauga	7.7	7.5	
47	n/a	n/a	Marc-Garneau	Trenton	8.2	n/a	104	124	—	St Thomas Aquinas	London	7.7	7.4	
53	17	—	North Toronto	Toronto	8.1	8.6	104	140	—	Holy Names	Windsor	7.7	7.3	
53	21	▼	Earl of March	Kanata	8.1	8.5	104	176	—	Holy Trinity	Simcoe	7.7	7.1	
53	32	—	Bill Crothers	Unionville	8.1	8.2	104	176	▲	Renaissance	Aurora	7.7	7.1	
53	40	—	Gordon Graydon Memorial	Mississauga	8.1	8.1	104	200	—	Resurrection	Kitchener	7.7	7.0	
53	40	—	Humberside	Toronto	8.1	8.1	104	238	—	Glebe	Ottawa	7.7	6.8	
53	48	—	Aurora	Aurora	8.1	8.0	104	275	—	St James	Guelph	7.7	6.6	
53	48	—	Corpus Christi	Burlington	8.1	8.0	119	40	—	Thornhill	Thornhill	7.6	8.1	
53	48	—	Richview	Toronto	8.1	8.0	119	57	—	Oakridge	London	7.6	7.9	
53	57	—	Glenforest	Mississauga	8.1	7.9	119	68	—	Agincourt	Toronto	7.6	7.8	
53	68	—	Loretto Abbey	Toronto	8.1	7.8	119	83	—	Jean Vanier	Richmond Hill	7.6	7.7	
53	68	—	Port Credit	Mississauga	8.1	7.8	119	83	—	Markham	Markham	7.6	7.7	
53	140	—	Delphi Alternative	Toronto	8.1	7.3	119	96	—	Stephen Lewis	Thornhill	7.6	7.6	
53	156	—	Le Sommet	Hawkesbury	8.1	7.2	119	124	—	Georgetown	Georgetown	7.6	7.4	
66	24	—	Holy Name of Mary	Brampton	8.0	8.4	119	124	—	Marymount	Sudbury	7.6	7.4	
66	48	—	Garneau	Gloucester	8.0	8.0	119	124	—	St Edmund Campion	Brampton	7.6	7.4	
66	57	—	Bishop Allen	Toronto	8.0	7.9	119	124	—	St. Joan of Arc	Mississauga	7.6	7.4	
66	57	—	Sir Robert Borden	Nepean	8.0	7.9	119	140	—	Alexander MacKenzie	Richmond Hill	7.6	7.3	
66	83	▲	St Elizabeth	Thornhill	8.0	7.7	119	140	—	Thornlea	Thornhill	7.6	7.3	
66	156	—	Aldershot	Burlington	8.0	7.2	119	156	▲	Etobicoke	Toronto	7.6	7.2	
72	27	—	John McCrae	Nepean	7.9	8.3	119	156	—	Milton	Milton	7.6	7.2	
72	40	—	Nelson	Burlington	7.9	8.1	119	156	▲	Philip Pocock	Mississauga	7.6	7.2	
72	40	—	Nepean	Ottawa	7.9	8.1	119	176	—	Sir Winston Churchill	St Catharines	7.6	7.1	
72	48	—	Thomas A Blakelock	Oakville	7.9	8.0	119	338	—	Gravenhurst	Gravenhurst	7.6	6.3	
72	68	—	Bluevale	Waterloo	7.9	7.8	136	40	▼	Guelph	Guelph	7.5	8.1	
72	68	—	Father John Redmond	Toronto	7.9	7.8	136	83	—	Don Mills	Toronto	7.5	7.7	
72	68	—	Newmarket	Newmarket	7.9	7.8	136	110	—	Canterbury	Ottawa	7.5	7.5	
72	83	—	St Joseph's	Toronto	7.9	7.7	136	110	—	Mayfield	Caledon	7.5	7.5	
72	83	▲	The Woodlands	Mississauga	7.9	7.7	136	110	—	North Grenville	Kemptville	7.5	7.5	
72	96	—	John F Ross	Guelph	7.9	7.6	136	110	—	Rosedale Heights				
72	110	—	Stephen Lewis	Mississauga	7.9	7.5				School of the Arts	Toronto	7.5	7.5	
72	140	—	Vaughan	Thornhill	7.9	7.3	136	124	—	Milliken Mills	Unionville	7.5	7.4	
72	220	—	Sainte-Famille	Mississauga	7.9	6.9	136	140	—	Blessed Trinity	Grimsby	7.5	7.3	
72	n/a	n/a	Garth Webb	Oakville	7.9	n/a	136	140	—	Franco-Ouest	Nepean	7.5	7.3	
86	48	—	Forest Hill	Toronto	7.8	8.0	136	140	▲	Northern	Toronto	7.5	7.3	
86	48	—	Leaside	Toronto	7.8	8.0	136	140	—	Rick Hansen	Mississauga	7.5	7.3	
86	57	—	Malvern	Toronto	7.8	7.9	136	156	—	General Amherst	Amherstburg	7.5	7.2	
86	57	—	St Peter	Orléans	7.8	7.9	136	156	—	Harbord	Toronto	7.5	7.2	
86	68	—	Dr Norman Bethune	Toronto	7.8	7.8	136	176	—	Assumption	Burlington	7.5	7.1	
86	68	—	Notre Dame	Toronto	7.8	7.8	136	176	—	Atikokan	Atikokan	7.5	7.1	
86	68	—	Sir John A Macdonald	Waterloo	7.8	7.8	136	220	▲	O'Neill	Oshawa	7.5	6.9	
86	68	—	St Francis Xavier	Mississauga	7.8	7.8	152	57	—	Langstaff	Richmond Hill	7.4	7.9	
86	83	▲	Notre Dame	Burlington	7.8	7.7	152	96	—	St Mary's	Brockville	7.4	7.6	
86	83	—	St Thomas Aquinas	Oakville	7.8	7.7	152	124	—	Emily Carr	Woodbridge	7.4	7.4	
86	96	—	Holy Trinity	Kanata	7.8	7.6	152	156	—	Eden	St Catharines	7.4	7.2	
86	96	—	Sacred Heart	Stittsville	7.8	7.6	152	176	—	Sir John A Macdonald	Toronto	7.4	7.1	

---Rank---				---Overall rating---				---Rank---				---Overall rating---		
2013/	Last			2013/	Last			2013/	Last			2013/	Last	
2014	5	Trend	School name	2014	5	Trend	School name	2014	5	Trend	School name	2014	5	
	Yrs		City		Yrs		City		Yrs		City		Yrs	
258	355	—	Sainte-Marie	New Liskeard	6.8	6.2		325	338	—	Maple	Maple	6.5	6.3
258	373	—	Adam Scott	Peterborough	6.8	6.1		325	338	—	Ursuline (The Pines)	Chatham	6.5	6.3
258	373	—	Harrow	Harrow	6.8	6.1		325	355	▲	Sandalwood Heights	Brampton	6.5	6.2
258	373	▲	St Mary's	Kitchener	6.8	6.1		325	401	▲	Simcoe	Simcoe	6.5	5.9
258	n/a	n/a	Jean Vanier	Milton	6.8	n/a		325	417	—	Brebeuf	Toronto	6.5	5.8
281	96	▼	Belle River	Belle River	6.7	7.6		325	417	▲	Innisdale	Barrie	6.5	5.8
281	110	▼	St Joseph's	Cornwall	6.7	7.5		325	435	▲	Notre Dame	Ajax	6.5	5.7
281	140	—	St Thomas Aquinas	Kenora	6.7	7.3		325	473	▲	Beamsville	Beamsville	6.5	5.5
281	156	—	Louis-Riel	Gloucester	6.7	7.2		344	176	—	Burlington Central	Burlington	6.4	7.1
281	156	—	St Maximilian Kolbe	Aurora	6.7	7.2		344	176	—	Jeanne-Lajoie	Pembroke	6.4	7.1
281	156	—	St Peter's	Peterborough	6.7	7.2		344	200	▼	Brockville	Brockville	6.4	7.0
281	176	—	Étienne-Brûlé	Toronto	6.7	7.1		344	220	—	Centennial	Belleville	6.4	6.9
281	220	—	A.Y. Jackson	Kanata	6.7	6.9		344	220	—	Sir Frederick Banting	London	6.4	6.9
281	220	—	Uxbridge	Uxbridge	6.7	6.9		344	220	—	St Michael	Stratford	6.4	6.9
281	238	—	St Mary's	Hamilton	6.7	6.8		344	238	—	Elliot Lake	Elliot Lake	6.4	6.8
281	275	—	Applewood Heights	Mississauga	6.7	6.6		344	275	—	Arnprior	Arnprior	6.4	6.6
281	275	—	Haliburton Highland	Haliburton	6.7	6.6		344	301	—	Marie-Rivier	Kingston	6.4	6.5
281	301	—	Collingwood	Collingwood	6.7	6.5		344	301	—	South Lincoln	Smithville	6.4	6.5
281	301	—	Father Bressani	Woodbridge	6.7	6.5		344	322	—	R. S. McLaughlin	Oshawa	6.4	6.4
281	301	—	Lord Dorchester	Dorchester	6.7	6.5		344	338	—	Crestwood	Peterborough	6.4	6.3
281	322	—	Cardinal Leger	Brampton	6.7	6.4		344	355	—	L'Amoreaux	Toronto	6.4	6.2
281	322	—	St David	Waterloo	6.7	6.4		344	355	—	Pickering	Ajax	6.4	6.2
281	338	—	Holy Trinity	Bradford	6.7	6.3		344	355	—	Pope John Paul II	Toronto	6.4	6.2
281	338	▲	Notre Dame	Brampton	6.7	6.3		344	373	—	St Thomas Aquinas	Brampton	6.4	6.1
281	373	▲	Gabriel-Dumont	London	6.7	6.1		344	373	—	Sydenham	Sydenham	6.4	6.1
281	417	▲	Silverthorn	Toronto	6.7	5.8		344	389	—	St Stephen's	Bowmanville	6.4	6.0
281	492	—	Goderich District	Goderich	6.7	5.4		344	401	—	East Northumberland	Brighton	6.4	5.9
281	505	▲	Chinguacousy	Brampton	6.7	5.3		344	401	—	St Thomas Aquinas	Lindsay	6.4	5.9
281	n/a	n/a	Khalsa School Malton	Mississauga	6.7	n/a		344	417	—	Paris	Paris	6.4	5.8
281	n/a	n/a	St. Roch	Brampton	6.7	n/a		344	435	▲	Bowmanville	Bowmanville	6.4	5.7
306	176	▼	South Carleton	Richmond	6.6	7.1		344	473	—	Denis Morris	St Catharines	6.4	5.5
306	200	▼	Saint Paul	Niagara Falls	6.6	7.0		344	492	—	Iroquois Falls	Iroquois Falls	6.4	5.4
306	220	—	Sir Oliver Mowat	Toronto	6.6	6.9		344	492	▲	St Patrick	Toronto	6.4	5.4
306	238	—	Hillcrest	Ottawa	6.6	6.8		344	568	▲	Saint-François-Xavier	Sarnia	6.4	4.7
306	238	—	Meadowvale	Mississauga	6.6	6.8		344	n/a	n/a	Georges-P-Vanier	Hamilton	6.4	n/a
306	275	—	Huron Heights	Kitchener	6.6	6.6		371	220	—	Lindsay	Lindsay	6.3	6.9
306	301	—	Assumption	Brantford	6.6	6.5		371	238	—	Cobourg West	Cobourg	6.3	6.8
306	322	—	Erindale	Mississauga	6.6	6.4		371	238	—	Norwell	Palmerston	6.3	6.8
306	322	—	Renfrew	Renfrew	6.6	6.4		371	238	—	Sir Wilfrid Laurier	Orléans	6.3	6.8
306	322	▲	Robert Bateman	Burlington	6.6	6.4		371	266	—	Eastview	Barrie	6.3	6.7
306	338	—	Bishop Smith	Pembroke	6.6	6.3		371	266	—	Turner Fenton	Brampton	6.3	6.7
306	338	—	l'Essor	Tecumseh	6.6	6.3		371	275	—	Clarkson	Mississauga	6.3	6.6
306	338	—	Notre Dame	Carleton Place	6.6	6.3		371	275	—	Huntsville	Huntsville	6.3	6.6
306	355	▲	St Augustine	Brampton	6.6	6.2		371	322	—	Holy Cross	Peterborough	6.3	6.4
306	401	—	Cardinal Newman	Toronto	6.6	5.9		371	322	—	St Francis Xavier	Hammond	6.3	6.4
306	473	—	Cardinal Carter	Leamington	6.6	5.5		371	338	—	St Joseph-Scollard Hall	North Bay	6.3	6.3
306	473	▲	J Clarke Richardson	Ajax	6.6	5.5		371	355	—	Cité des Jeunes	Kapuskasing	6.3	6.2
306	n/a	n/a	Cardinal Ambrozic	Brampton	6.6	n/a		371	355	—	Marshall McLuhan	Toronto	6.3	6.2
306	n/a	n/a	Pierre-Savard	Nepean	6.6	n/a		371	373	—	Anderson	Whitby	6.3	6.1
325	200	▼	Banting Memorial	Alliston	6.5	7.0		371	435	—	F E Madill	Wingham	6.3	5.7
325	200	—	de Casselman	Casselman	6.5	7.0		371	435	▲	Franco-Cité	Sturgeon Falls	6.3	5.7
325	220	—	Moira	Belleville	6.5	6.9		371	435	—	Glencoe	Glencoe	6.3	5.7
325	220	—	St Matthew	Orléans	6.5	6.9		371	435	—	Notre Dame	Ottawa	6.3	5.7
325	238	—	Barrie North	Barrie	6.5	6.8		371	519	—	St Thomas Aquinas	Tottenham	6.3	5.2
325	238	—	Orangeville	Orangeville	6.5	6.8		371	558	▲	Catholic Central	Windsor	6.3	4.8
325	266	—	A N Myer	Niagara Falls	6.5	6.7		371	568	—	Deslauriers	Nepean	6.3	4.7
325	266	—	Clarke	Newcastle	6.5	6.7		392	200	—	La Citadelle	Cornwall	6.2	7.0
325	301	—	Saugeen	Port Elgin	6.5	6.5		392	200	—	St Theresa	Belleville	6.2	7.0
325	322	—	Albert Campbell	Toronto	6.5	6.4		392	220	▼	St Mary	Cobourg	6.2	6.9
325	322	—	Mitchell	Mitchell	6.5	6.4		392	238	▼	Preston	Cambridge	6.2	6.8

---Rank---				---Overall rating---				---Rank---				---Overall rating---		
2013/	Last			2013/	Last			2013/	Last			2013/	Last	
2014	5	Trend	School name	2014	5	Trend	School name	2014	5	Trend	School name	2014	5	
	yrs		City		yrs		City		yrs		City		yrs	
392	266	—	Mother Teresa	London	6.2	6.7		455	275	—	North Park	Brantford	5.8	6.6
392	275	—	Leamington	Leamington	6.2	6.6		455	275	—	Rockland	Rockland	5.8	6.6
392	338	—	Centre Wellington	Fergus	6.2	6.3		455	322	—	Bishop Ryan	Hamilton	5.8	6.4
392	338	—	Patrick Fogarty	Orillia	6.2	6.3		455	355	—	St Marguerite d'Youville	Brampton	5.8	6.2
392	338	—	St Joseph's	Windsor	6.2	6.3		455	389	—	Wexford Collegiate			
392	355	—	Parkside	St Thomas	6.2	6.2					School for the Arts	Toronto	5.8	6.0
392	389	—	Lakeshore	Port Colborne	6.2	6.0		455	401	—	Catholic Central	London	5.8	5.9
392	401	—	St Mary	Pickering	6.2	5.9		455	401	—	Sir Winston Churchill	Thunder Bay	5.8	5.9
392	417	—	Governor Simcoe	St Catharines	6.2	5.8		455	417	—	Jacob Hespeler	Cambridge	5.8	5.8
392	505	—	Saint-Charles-Garnier	Whitby	6.2	5.3		455	417	—	Kitchener Waterloo	Kitchener	5.8	5.8
406	275	—	Acton	Acton	6.1	6.6		455	435	—	Marathon	Marathon	5.8	5.7
406	275	—	Franco-Cité	Ottawa	6.1	6.6		455	435	—	St Joseph's	Barrie	5.8	5.7
406	301	—	Hammarskjöld	Thunder Bay	6.1	6.5		455	473	—	Heart Lake	Brampton	5.8	5.5
406	322	▼	Jean Vanier	Toronto	6.1	6.4		455	473	—	Riverside	Windsor	5.8	5.5
406	338	—	Dr John M Denison	Newmarket	6.1	6.3		455	519	▲	Thistleton	Toronto	5.8	5.2
406	389	—	John Diefenbaker	Hanover	6.1	6.0		455	n/a	n/a	Glendale	Tillsonburg	5.8	n/a
406	401	—	Stratford Northwestern	Stratford	6.1	5.9		471	156	▼	Chesley	Chesley	5.7	7.2
406	417	—	Bear Creek	Barrie	6.1	5.8		471	373	—	Saunders	London	5.7	6.1
406	435	—	St Clair	Sarnia	6.1	5.7		471	389	—	Immaculata	Ottawa	5.7	6.0
406	435	▲	St Joan of Arc	Barrie	6.1	5.7		471	389	▼	Thousand Islands	Brockville	5.7	6.0
406	457	▲	Maxwell Heights	Oshawa	6.1	5.6		471	401	—	Southwood	Cambridge	5.7	5.9
406	492	—	Marc Garneau	Toronto	6.1	5.4		471	401	—	Westside	Orangeville	5.7	5.9
406	492	—	St Mary's	Toronto	6.1	5.4		471	417	—	Central Algoma	Desbarats	5.7	5.8
406	n/a	n/a	Norval-Morrisseau	Richmond Hill	6.1	n/a		471	417	—	Chippewa	North Bay	5.7	5.8
406	n/a	n/a	St. Andre Bessette	London	6.1	n/a		471	435	—	London South	London	5.7	5.7
421	96	▼	Père-René-de-Galinée	Cambridge	6.0	7.6		471	457	—	Dunbarton	Pickering	5.7	5.6
421	220	▼	Hearst	Hearst	6.0	6.9		471	473	—	Bishop Alexander Carter	Hanmer	5.7	5.5
421	238	—	St Marys	St Marys	6.0	6.8		471	473	—	Cobourg District CI East	Cobourg	5.7	5.5
421	275	▼	Glengarry	Alexandria	6.0	6.6		471	492	—	Monsignor Doyle	Cambridge	5.7	5.4
421	275	—	Strathroy District	Strathroy	6.0	6.6		471	519	—	Forest Heights	Kitchener	5.7	5.2
421	338	—	Rideau	Elgin	6.0	6.3		471	558	—	Central Peel	Brampton	5.7	4.8
421	355	—	Fletcher's Meadow	Brampton	6.0	6.2		471	558	▲	Collège Notre-Dame	Sudbury	5.7	4.8
421	355	—	Westlane	Niagara Falls	6.0	6.2		471	643	—	Don Bosco	Toronto	5.7	3.6
421	373	—	Grand River	Kitchener	6.0	6.1		471	n/a	n/a	Louise Arbour	Brampton	5.7	n/a
421	373	—	Holy Cross	Woodbridge	6.0	6.1		489	156	—	Embrun	Embrun	5.6	7.2
421	373	—	Monarch Park	Toronto	6.0	6.1		489	355	▼	St Jean de Brebeuf	Woodbridge	5.6	6.2
421	435	—	Almonte	Almonte	6.0	5.7		489	401	—	Westgate	Thunder Bay	5.6	5.9
421	435	▲	Englehart	Englehart	6.0	5.7		489	417	▼	Essex	Essex	5.6	5.8
421	505	—	Ridgeway-Crystal Beach	Ridgeway	6.0	5.3		489	435	—	Gloucester	Gloucester	5.6	5.7
421	532	—	North Lambton	Forest	6.0	5.1		489	457	—	Stephen Leacock	Toronto	5.6	5.6
421	n/a	n/a	de la Verendrye	Thunder Bay	6.0	n/a		489	505	—	Plantagenet	Plantagenet	5.6	5.3
437	200	▼	Merivale	Nepean	5.9	7.0		489	519	—	W C Eaket	Blind River	5.6	5.2
437	238	—	Charlottenburgh and Lancaster	Williamstown	5.9	6.8		489	547	—	St Peter's	Barrie	5.6	4.9
437	238	—	St Anne's	Clinton	5.9	6.8		489	558	▲	Dante Alighieri	Toronto	5.6	4.8
437	338	—	St Jean de Brebeuf	Hamilton	5.9	6.3		489	568	—	Jean-Vanier	Welland	5.6	4.7
437	355	—	Sacred Heart	Walkerton	5.9	6.2		489	582	—	Lakefield	Lakefield	5.6	4.6
437	373	—	Osgoode Township	Metcalfe	5.9	6.1		489	n/a	n/a	David Suzuki	Brampton	5.6	n/a
437	389	—	Lester B Pearson	Toronto	5.9	6.0		502	401	—	Ingersoll District	Ingersoll	5.5	5.9
437	389	—	St Josephs Morrow Park	Toronto	5.9	6.0		502	401	—	St Patrick	Thunder Bay	5.5	5.9
437	389	—	Thomas A Stewart	Peterborough	5.9	6.0		502	457	—	Holy Cross	Strathroy	5.5	5.6
437	389	—	Walkerville	Windsor	5.9	6.0		502	457	—	Parry Sound	Parry Sound	5.5	5.6
437	401	—	M M Robinson	Burlington	5.9	5.9		502	457	—	St Dominic	Bracebridge	5.5	5.6
437	401	—	St Thomas More	Hamilton	5.9	5.9		502	473	—	Brantford	Brantford	5.5	5.5
437	417	—	Saltfleet	Stoney Creek	5.9	5.8		502	505	—	Monseigneur-Bruyère	London	5.5	5.3
437	435	—	Laura Secord	St Catharines	5.9	5.7		502	505	—	W F Herman	Windsor	5.5	5.3
437	435	▲	Widdifield	North Bay	5.9	5.7		502	547	▲	Monsignor John Pereyema	Oshawa	5.5	4.9
437	457	—	St Benedict	Cambridge	5.9	5.6		502	635	—	Western	Toronto	5.5	3.8
437	457	—	St Charles	Sudbury	5.9	5.6		512	301	▼	L'Escale	Rockland	5.4	6.5
437	n/a	n/a	Castlebrooke	Brampton	5.9	n/a		512	373	▼	Orillia	Orillia	5.4	6.1
455	238	—	Pain Court	Pain Court	5.8	6.8		512	389	—	Central Huron	Clinton	5.4	6.0

---Rank---				---Overall rating---				---Rank---				---Overall rating---		
Last				Last				Last				Last		
2013/	5	Trend	School name	City	2013/	5		2013/	5	Trend	School name	City	2013/	5
2014	yrs				2014	yrs		2014	yrs				2014	yrs
512	435	▼	St Joan of Arc	Maple	5.4	5.7		575	238	—	Vankleek Hill	Vankleek Hill	4.9	6.8
512	435	—	Therault	Timmins	5.4	5.7		575	275	—	MacKenzie	Deep River	4.9	6.6
512	473	—	Blenheim	Blenheim	5.4	5.5		575	417	—	Seaway	Iroquois	4.9	5.8
512	473	—	Superior CVI	Thunder Bay	5.4	5.5		575	435	▼	Wellington Heights	Mount Forest	4.9	5.7
512	492	—	Elmvale	Elmvale	5.4	5.4		575	473	—	Rainy River	Rainy River	4.9	5.5
512	492	—	Sir Allan MacNab	Hamilton	5.4	5.4		575	492	▼	Dryden	Dryden	4.9	5.4
512	505	—	Korah	Sault Ste. Marie	5.4	5.3		575	505	—	Red Lake	Red Lake	4.9	5.3
512	582	▲	West Humber	Toronto	5.4	4.6		575	519	—	Madonna	Toronto	4.9	5.2
512	591	—	Thomas L. Kennedy	Mississauga	5.4	4.5		575	519	—	Rothwell-Osnabruck	Ingleside	4.9	5.2
512	611	—	St Lawrence	Cornwall	5.4	4.2		575	532	—	Bramalea	Brampton	4.9	5.1
512	n/a	n/a	John Polanyi	Toronto	5.4	n/a		575	532	▼	Fort Frances	Fort Frances	4.9	5.1
512	n/a	n/a	Superior Heights	Sault Ste. Marie	5.4	n/a		575	542	—	Lively	Lively	4.9	5.0
527	301	—	Bruce Peninsula District	Lion's Head	5.3	6.5		575	547	—	St Theresa's	Midland	4.9	4.9
527	435	—	Tagwi	Avonmore	5.3	5.7		575	558	—	Campbellford	Campbellford	4.9	4.8
527	473	—	Galt	Cambridge	5.3	5.5		575	568	—	Hon W C Kennedy	Windsor	4.9	4.7
527	519	—	Harold M. Brathwaite	Brampton	5.3	5.2		575	568	—	McKinnon Park	Caledonia	4.9	4.7
527	547	—	Oshawa Central	Oshawa	5.3	4.9		575	568	—	Owen Sound	Owen Sound	4.9	4.7
527	n/a	n/a	Notre-Dame	Woodstock	5.3	n/a		575	591	—	Opeongo	Douglas	4.9	4.5
527	n/a	n/a	Nottawasaga Pines	Angus	5.3	n/a		575	605	—	East York	Toronto	4.9	4.3
534	275	—	Lambton Central	Petrolia	5.2	6.6		575	663	—	Rideau	Ottawa	4.9	3.2
534	355	—	Francis Libermann	Toronto	5.2	6.2		575	n/a	n/a	Granite Ridge	Sharbot Lake	4.9	n/a
534	373	—	Centre Dufferin	Shelburne	5.2	6.1		596	373	—	Nouvelle-Alliance	Barrie	4.8	6.1
534	457	▼	Huron Heights	Newmarket	5.2	5.6		596	435	▼	Our Lady of the Lake	Keswick	4.8	5.7
534	492	▼	St Basil The Great	Toronto	5.2	5.4		596	492	—	Newtonbrook	Toronto	4.8	5.4
534	505	—	Huron Park	Woodstock	5.2	5.3		596	519	—	Henry Street	Whitby	4.8	5.2
534	505	—	Lester B Pearson	Gloucester	5.2	5.3		596	532	—	Pine Ridge	Pickering	4.8	5.1
534	532	—	Clarington Central	Bowmanville	5.2	5.1		596	542	—	Sarnia	Sarnia	4.8	5.0
534	532	—	Perth and District	Perth	5.2	5.1		596	591	—	John Paul II	London	4.8	4.5
534	542	—	College Avenue	Woodstock	5.2	5.0		596	611	—	Keswick	Keswick	4.8	4.2
534	558	—	Monsignor Paul Dwyer	Oshawa	5.2	4.8		596	657	—	Eastdale	Welland	4.8	3.3
534	568	▲	Monsignor Percy Johnson	Toronto	5.2	4.7		596	n/a	n/a	Jeunesse-Nord	Blind River	4.8	n/a
534	582	—	Vaughan Road	Toronto	5.2	4.6		606	457	—	Ernestown	Odessa	4.7	5.6
547	417	▼	Madawaska Valley	Barry's Bay	5.1	5.8		606	457	▼	Timiskaming District	New Liskeard	4.7	5.6
547	417	▼	Prince Edward	Picton	5.1	5.8		606	519	▼	Russel High	Russell	4.7	5.2
547	417	—	St Mary's	Sault Ste. Marie	5.1	5.8		606	519	▼	Twin Lakes	Orillia	4.7	5.2
547	457	—	St Joseph's	St Thomas	5.1	5.6		606	532	—	Walkerton	Walkerton	4.7	5.1
547	457	▼	Tilbury	Tilbury	5.1	5.6		606	582	—	Cayuga	Cayuga	4.7	4.6
547	473	—	Archbishop Denis O'Connor	Ajax	5.1	5.5		606	582	—	Kenner	Peterborough	4.7	4.6
547	473	—	Delhi	Delhi	5.1	5.5		606	591	—	Woodroffe	Ottawa	4.7	4.5
547	473	—	Lambton Kent	Dresden	5.1	5.5		606	599	—	Stayner	Stayner	4.7	4.4
547	473	▼	Port Hope	Port Hope	5.1	5.5		606	605	—	Sudbury	Sudbury	4.7	4.3
547	505	—	Napanee	Napanee	5.1	5.3		606	611	—	Hagersville	Hagersville	4.7	4.2
547	505	▼	Sherwood	Hamilton	5.1	5.3		606	623	—	George S Henry	Toronto	4.7	4.0
547	519	—	Port Colborne	Port Colborne	5.1	5.2		606	623	n/a	Lincoln M Alexander	Mississauga	4.7	4.0
547	568	—	Nantyr Shores	Innisfil	5.1	4.7		619	532	—	Ridgetown	Ridgetown	4.6	5.1
547	568	▲	Smiths Falls District	Smiths Falls	5.1	4.7		619	558	—	Jarvis	Toronto	4.6	4.8
547	568	—	Westminster	London	5.1	4.7		619	582	—	St Patrick's	Ottawa	4.6	4.6
547	611	—	Loretto	Toronto	5.1	4.2		619	605	—	York Memorial	Toronto	4.6	4.3
563	301	—	North Middlesex	Parkhill	5.0	6.5		619	635	▲	Fort Erie	Fort Erie	4.6	3.8
563	417	▼	Brookfield	Ottawa	5.0	5.8		619	643	n/a	Champlain	Chelmsford	4.6	3.6
563	435	—	Georgian Bay	Meaford	5.0	5.7		619	n/a	n/a	Cornwall	Cornwall	4.6	n/a
563	457	—	Algonquin	North Bay	5.0	5.6		626	505	—	Centre Hastings	Madoc	4.5	5.3
563	492	—	Fellowes	Pembroke	5.0	5.4		626	599	—	West Elgin	West Lorne	4.5	4.4
563	519	—	Collège français	Toronto	5.0	5.2		626	611	—	James Cardinal McGuigan	Toronto	4.5	4.2
563	519	—	St Basil	Sault Ste. Marie	5.0	5.2		626	623	▲	West Hill	Toronto	4.5	4.0
563	532	—	Ajax	Ajax	5.0	5.1		630	547	—	Quinte	Belleville	4.4	4.9
563	542	—	l'Odyssée	North Bay	5.0	5.0		630	547	—	Regina Mundi	London	4.4	4.9
563	558	—	Eastwood	Kitchener	5.0	4.8		630	547	—	Thorold	Thorold	4.4	4.9
563	605	—	North Hastings	Bancroft	5.0	4.3		630	568	—	Glenview Park	Cambridge	4.4	4.7
563	648	—	l'Horizon	Val Caron	5.0	3.5		630	568	—	Sir Wilfrid Laurier	London	4.4	4.7

---Rank---			--Overall rating--		---Rank---			--Overall rating--					
2013/	Last		2013/	Last	2013/	Last	2013/	Last					
2014	5	Trend	2014	5	2014	5	2014	5					
2014	yrs		2014	yrs	2014	yrs	2014	yrs					
		School name			School name								
		City			City								
630	591	—	Kirkland Lake	Kirkland Lake	4.4	4.5	692	643	—	George Harvey	Toronto	3.2	3.6
630	663	—	Nipigon Red Rock	Red Rock	4.4	3.2	692	654	—	Central Commerce	Toronto	3.2	3.4
637	505	▼	Eastdale	Oshawa	4.3	5.3	692	670	—	Stamford Collegiate	Niagara Falls	3.2	3.0
637	532	—	Loyalist	Kingston	4.3	5.1	692	n/a	n/a	Al-Risala	Mississauga	3.2	n/a
637	582	—	Birchmount Park	Toronto	4.3	4.6	697	568	—	Woodstock	Woodstock	3.1	4.7
637	630	—	Oakwood	Toronto	4.3	3.9	697	640	—	Macdonald-Cartier	Sudbury	3.1	3.7
641	492	▼	White Pines	Sault Ste. Marie	4.2	5.4	697	648	—	Father Henry Carr	Toronto	3.1	3.5
641	599	—	Ridgmont	Ottawa	4.2	4.4	697	674	▲	C W Jefferys	Toronto	3.1	2.8
641	630	—	O'Gorman	Timmins	4.2	3.9	701	663	—	Northern	Sturgeon Falls	3.0	3.2
641	635	—	Weston	Toronto	4.2	3.8	701	680	—	St Catharines	St Catharines	3.0	2.4
641	n/a	n/a	Hill Academy	Vaughan	4.2	n/a	703	547	▼	Le Relais	Alexandria	2.9	4.9
646	542	▼	Sir Wilfrid Laurier	Toronto	4.1	5.0	703	568	—	Le Caron	Penetanguishene	2.9	4.7
646	547	—	Penetanguishene	Penetanguishene	4.1	4.9	703	630	—	Hill Park	Hamilton	2.9	3.9
646	611	—	Blessed Mother Teresa	Toronto	4.1	4.2	703	654	—	Emery	Toronto	2.9	3.4
646	618	—	Lasalle	Sudbury	4.1	4.1	707	657	—	Roland Michener	South Porcupine	2.8	3.3
646	623	—	H B Beal	London	4.1	4.0	707	668	—	Sacré-Coeur	Sudbury	2.8	3.1
646	630	—	Timmins	Timmins	4.1	3.9	707	668	—	Sir Winstan Churchill	Hamilton	2.8	3.1
646	640	—	Midland	Midland	4.1	3.7	707	681	—	Westview Centennial	Toronto	2.8	2.3
646	n/a	n/a	Ste Cécile International	Windsor	4.1	n/a	711	605	—	Valley Heights	Langton	2.7	4.3
654	618	—	Danforth	Toronto	4.0	4.1	711	657	—	Geraldton	Geraldton	2.7	3.3
654	618	—	Gananoque	Gananoque	4.0	4.1	713	654	—	G L Roberts	Oshawa	2.6	3.4
654	640	—	Norwood District	Norwood	4.0	3.7	713	670	—	Central	Toronto	2.6	3.0
654	643	—	Runnymede	Toronto	4.0	3.6	715	599	—	South Grenville	Prescott	2.4	4.4
654	648	—	North Albion	Toronto	4.0	3.5	715	657	▼	Athens	Athens	2.4	3.3
659	547	▼	Wallaceburg	Wallaceburg	3.9	4.9	715	673	▼	Delta	Hamilton	2.4	2.9
659	558	—	Brock	Cannington	3.9	4.8	715	n/a	n/a	Eenchokay Birchstick	Pikangikum	2.4	n/a
659	558	—	Parkdale	Toronto	3.9	4.8	719	648	—	Dunnville	Dunnville	2.3	3.5
659	591	—	North Dundas	Chesterville	3.9	4.5	719	684	—	Kapuskasing	Kapuskasing	2.3	1.7
659	611	—	Archbishop Romero	Toronto	3.9	4.2	719	n/a	n/a	Ottawa Technical			
659	n/a	n/a	Gaétan Gervais	Oakville	3.9	n/a				Learning Centre	Ottawa	2.3	n/a
659	n/a	n/a	Nouveau Regard - Jeunesse Nord	Cochrane	3.9	n/a	722	663	—	Montcalm	London	2.2	3.2
659	n/a	n/a	Renaissance	Timmins	3.9	n/a	722	679	—	Sir John A Macdonald	Hamilton	2.2	2.5
667	582	▼	Espanola	Espanola	3.8	4.6	722	686	▲	Downsview	Toronto	2.2	1.4
667	618	—	Cedarbrae	Toronto	3.8	4.1	725	681	—	Queen Elizabeth	Kingston	2.1	2.3
669	457	▼	Carleton Place	Carleton Place	3.7	5.6	725	683	—	Queen Elizabeth	Sioux Lookout	2.1	2.2
669	547	▼	East Elgin	Aylmer	3.7	4.9	727	n/a	n/a	ÉS Northern	Sturgeon Falls	2.0	n/a
669	582	▼	Grey Highlands	Flesherton	3.7	4.6	728	663	—	Arthur Voaden	St Thomas	1.7	3.2
669	591	▼	Cathedral	Hamilton	3.7	4.5	728	670	▼	Kipling	Toronto	1.7	3.0
669	623	—	West Ferris	North Bay	3.7	4.0	728	687	▲	College Heights	Guelph	1.7	1.1
669	648	—	Glendale	Hamilton	3.7	3.5	731	674	▼	Almaguin Highlands	South River	1.6	2.8
669	648	—	Lakeshore	Toronto	3.7	3.5	732	n/a	n/a	Lake Superior	Terrace Bay	1.4	n/a
676	605	—	Manitoulin	M'Chigeeng	3.6	4.3	733	676	—	Scarlett Heights			
676	618	—	Pauline Johnson	Brantford	3.6	4.1				Entrepreneurial	Toronto	1.3	2.7
676	657	—	Sutton	Sutton West	3.6	3.3	733	677	—	Cochrane	Cochrane	1.3	2.6
679	591	▼	Confederation	Val Caron	3.5	4.5	735	n/a	n/a	Wasse-Abin Wikwemikong	Wikwemikong	1.1	n/a
679	599	—	Trenton	Trenton	3.5	4.4	736	n/a	n/a	Écho du Nord	Kapuskasing	1.0	n/a
679	599	—	Waterford	Waterford	3.5	4.4	737	n/a	n/a	Hanmer	Hanmer	0.9	n/a
679	630	—	Clarke Road	London	3.5	3.9	738	n/a	n/a	Sir Guy Carleton	Nepean	0.8	n/a
679	635	—	Beaver Brae	Kenora	3.5	3.8	739	685	—	John L Forster	Windsor	0.7	1.6
679	635	—	David and Mary Thomson	Toronto	3.5	3.8	740	n/a	n/a	Hornepayne	Hornepayne	0.6	n/a
679	657	—	Bishop Marrocco/ Thomas Merton	Toronto	3.5	3.3	741	n/a	n/a	North Addington	Cloyne	0.1	n/a
679	n/a	n/a	Manitouwadge	Manitouwadge	3.5	n/a	742	688	—	Western	Amherstburg	0.0	0.6
687	623	—	Winston Churchill	Toronto	3.4	4.0	742	689	—	Alexander Mackenzie	Sarnia	0.0	0.1
687	643	—	Barton	Hamilton	3.4	3.6	742	690	—	Bendale	Toronto	0.0	0.0
687	677	▲	Chelmsford Valley	Chelmsford	3.4	2.6	742	690	▲	Judith Nyman	Brampton	0.0	0.0
687	n/a	n/a	Ignace	Ignace	3.4	n/a	742	690	—	Northern Lights	Moosonee	0.0	0.0
691	473	▼	Hawkesbury	Hawkesbury	3.3	5.5	742	690	—	Sir Robert L Borden	Toronto	0.0	0.0
692	623	—	John McGregor	Chatham	3.2	4.0	742	n/a	n/a	Heydon Park	Toronto	0.0	n/a
							742	n/a	n/a	West Credit	Mississauga	0.0	n/a

Appendix: Calculating the Overall rating out of 10

The *Overall rating out of 10* is intended to answer the question, “In general, how is the school doing, academically compared with others in the report card?” The following is a simplified description of the procedure used to convert the data received from the Ministry of Education into the *Overall rating out of 10*.

- 1 The results for English and French language examinations are separately subjected to the following procedures.
- 2 The *Average levels* achieved on each of the two grade-9 mathematics tests, the two OSSLT results (FTE and PE), and the indicators of failure on the four test sittings were standardized by calculating Z , which is defined by:

$$Z = (X - \mu) / \sigma$$

where X is the individual school’s result, μ is the mean of the all-schools distribution of results, and σ is the standard deviation of the same all-schools distribution.

- 3 The standardized data for results data were then aggregated. The weighting used was the number of student writers of each test relative to the total number of student test writers in the relevant subject area.
- 4 Similarly, the standardized data for the indicators of failure were aggregated using the same method of weighting.
- 5 The *Gender gap* values for the grade-9 mathematics test and the OSSLT were each calculated by determining the absolute value of the difference in the level of achievement (or success rate in the case of the OSSLT) of male students and female students at the school. The results for each subject were then standardized.
- 6 The four standardized indicator results created in steps 3, 4, and 5 were then combined to produce a weighted, average, summary standardized score for the school. The weightings used in these calculations were: combined results indicator—45%; Combined fail rate indicator—45%; Gender gap measures—5% each. For schools where there were fewer than two gender gap results, the weightings for the missing gender gap indicators were assigned to the combined fail rate indicator.
- 7 This summary standardized score was re-standardized.

This standardized score was converted into an overall rating between 0 and 10 as follows:

- 8 The allowable maximum and minimum standardized scores were set at 2.2 and -3.29 respectively. Scores

equal to, or greater than, 2.2 receive an overall rating of 10. This cut-off was chosen because it allows more than one school in a given year to be awarded 10 out of 10. Scores of equal to, or less than, -3.29 receive the lowest overall rating of 0. Schools with scores below -3.29 are likely to be outliers, a statistical term used to denote members of a population that appear to have characteristics substantially different from the rest of the population. We chose, therefore, to set the minimum score so as to disregard such extreme differences.

- 9 The resulting standardized scores were converted into *Overall ratings out of 10* according to the formula:

$$OR = \mu + (\sigma * StanScore)$$

where OR is the resulting *Overall rating out of 10*, μ is the average calculated according to the formula:

$$\mu = (OR_{\min} - 10 (Z_{\min} / Z_{\max})) / (1 - (Z_{\min} / Z_{\max}))$$

where σ is the standard deviation calculated according to the formula:

$$\sigma = (10 - \mu) / Z_{\max}$$

and *StanScore* is the standardized score calculated in (6) above and adjusted as required for minimum and maximum values as noted in (7) above. As noted in (7) above, OR_{\min} equals zero, Z_{\min} equals -3.29; and Z_{\max} equals 2.2.

- 10 Finally, the derived *Overall rating out of 10* is rounded to one place of the decimal to reflect the significant number of places of the decimal in the original raw data.

Note that the *Overall rating out of 10*, based as it is on standardized scores, is a relative rating. That is, in order for a school to show improvement in its *Overall rating out of 10*, it must improve more than the average. If it improves, but at a rate less than the average, it will show a decline in its rating.

About the authors

Peter Cowley

Peter Cowley is the Vice-President, Strategic Initiatives and Director of School Performance Studies at the Fraser Institute. He graduated from the University of British Columbia with a B.Comm. in 1974. Shortly thereafter, he began a long career in marketing and general management in several sectors. During his assignments in general management, process improvement was a special focus and interest. In 1994, Mr Cowley independently wrote and published *The Parent's Guide*, a popular handbook for parents of British Columbia's secondary-school students. *The Parent's Guide* web site replaced the handbook in 1995. In 1998, Mr Cowley was co-author of the Fraser Institute's *A Secondary Schools Report Card for British Columbia*, the first of the Institute's continuing series of annual reports on school performance. This was followed by *The 1999 Report Card on British Columbia's Secondary Schools, Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools*, and *The 1999 Report Card on Alberta's High Schools*. Since then, Mr Cowley has co-authored all of the Institute's annual *Report Cards*. Annual editions now include *Report Cards* on elementary and secondary schools in British Columbia, Alberta, and Ontario and on secondary schools in Quebec. He continues his research on school performance issues for the Fraser Institute.

Stephen T. Easton

Stephen T. Easton is a professor of Economics at Simon Fraser University and a Senior Scholar at the Fraser Institute. He received his A.B. from Oberlin College and his Ph.D. from the University of Chicago. Recent works published by the Fraser Institute include *Privatizing Prisons* (editor, 1998), *The Costs of Crime: Who Pays and How Much? 1998 Update* (with Paul Brantingham, 1998), and *Rating Global Economic Freedom* (editor, 1992). A co-author of *A Secondary Schools Report Card for British Columbia* (1998), *Boys, Girls, and Grades: Academic Gender Balance in British Columbia's Secondary Schools* (1999) and the *Report Card on Aboriginal Education in British Columbia* (2004), he has continued to co-author the *Report Cards* of British Columbia, Alberta, New Brunswick and, most recently, Ontario. Other publications about education include "Do We Have a Problem Yet? Women and Men in Higher Education," in David Laidler (ed.), *Renovating the Ivory Tower: Canadian Universities and the Knowledge Economy* (Toronto: C.D. Howe Institute, 2002), pp. 60–79; "Plus ça change, plus c'est la même chose" in Stephen B. Lawton, Rodney Reed, and Fons van Wieringen, *Restructuring Public Schooling* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1997) and *Education in Canada: An Analysis of Elementary, Secondary and Vocational Schooling* (Vancouver: the Fraser Institute, 1988). His editorials have been carried by the *Vancouver Sun*, the *Globe and Mail*, the *National Post*, the *Ottawa Citizen*, the *Stirling chain* and many other newspapers around the country.

Publishing information

Distribution

These publications are available from <<http://www.fraserinstitute.org>> in Portable Document Format (PDF) and can be read with Adobe Acrobat® 7 or Adobe Reader®, versions 7 or later. Adobe Reader® X, the most recent version, is available free of charge from Adobe Systems Inc. at <<http://get.adobe.com/reader/>>. Readers who have trouble viewing or printing our PDF files using applications from other manufacturers (e.g., Apple's Preview) should use Reader® or Acrobat®.

Ordering publications

For information about ordering the printed publications of the Fraser Institute, please contact the publications coordinator:

- ✺ e-mail: sales@fraserinstitute.org
- ✺ telephone: 604.688.0221 ext. 580
or, toll free, 1.800.665.3558 ext. 580
- ✺ fax: 604.688.8539.

Media

For media enquiries, please contact our Communications Department:

- ✺ 604.714.4582
- ✺ e-mail: communications@fraserinstitute.org

Copyright

Copyright ©2015 by the Fraser Institute. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission except in the case of brief passages quoted in critical articles and reviews.

ISSN / ISBN

- ✺ 1707–2395 Studies in Education Policy
(English online edition)
- ✺ 1492–1863 Studies in Education Policy
(English print edition)

Date of issue

April 2015

Typesetting

Nick Murphy

Cover design

Bill Ray

Images for cover

- ✺ Boys in school ©Steve Stone; iStock
- ✺ Girl solving a math problem on blackboard
©Bart Coenders; iStock
- ✺ Attentive reading ©Grigory Bibikov; iStock
- ✺ Library series ©Willie B. Thomas; iStock
- ✺ 8-year old schoolgirl doing homework
©mamahoooba; iStock
- ✺ Student working in class ©Bonnie Jacobs; iStock

Supporting the Fraser Institute

To learn how to support the Fraser Institute, please contact

- ✎ Development Department, Fraser Institute
Fourth Floor, 1770 Burrard Street
Vancouver, British Columbia, V6J 3G7 Canada
- ✎ telephone, toll-free: 1.800.665.3558 ext. 586
- ✎ e-mail: development@fraserinstitute.org

Purpose, funding, & independence

The Fraser Institute provides a useful public service. We report objective information about the economic and social effects of current public policies, and we offer evidence-based research and education about policy options that can improve the quality of life.

The Institute is a non-profit organization. Our activities are funded by charitable donations, unrestricted grants, ticket sales, and sponsorships from events, the licensing of products for public distribution, and the sale of publications.

All research is subject to rigorous review by external experts, and is conducted and published separately from the Institute's Board of Directors and its donors.

The opinions expressed by the authors are those of the individuals themselves, and do not necessarily reflect those of the Institute, its Board of Directors, its donors and supporters, or its staff. This publication in no way implies that the Fraser Institute, its directors, or staff are in favour of, or oppose the passage of, any bill; or that they support or oppose any particular political party or candidate.

As a healthy part of public discussion among fellow citizens who desire to improve the lives of people through better public policy, the Institute welcomes evidence-focused scrutiny of the research we publish, including verification of data sources, replication of analytical methods, and intelligent debate about the practical effects of policy recommendations.

About the Fraser Institute

Our mission is to improve the quality of life for Canadians, their families and future generations by studying, measuring and broadly communicating the effects of government policies, entrepreneurship and choice on their well-being.

Notre mission consiste à améliorer la qualité de vie des Canadiens et des générations à venir en étudiant, en mesurant et en diffusant les effets des politiques gouvernementales, de l'entrepreneuriat et des choix sur leur bien-être.

Peer review—validating the accuracy of our research

The Fraser Institute maintains a rigorous peer review process for its research. New research, major research projects, and substantively modified research conducted by the Fraser Institute are reviewed by a minimum of one internal expert and two external experts. Reviewers are expected to have a recognized expertise in the topic area being addressed. Whenever possible, external review is a blind process.

Commentaries and conference papers are reviewed by internal experts. Updates to previously reviewed research or new editions of previously reviewed research are not reviewed unless the update includes substantive or material changes in the methodology.

The review process is overseen by the directors of the Institute's research departments who are responsible for ensuring all research published by the Institute passes through the appropriate peer review. If a dispute about the recommendations of the reviewers should arise during the Institute's peer review process, the Institute has an Editorial Advisory Board, a panel of scholars from Canada, the United States, and Europe to whom it can turn for help in resolving the dispute.

Editorial Advisory Board

Members

Prof. Terry L. Anderson	Prof. Stephen Easton	Prof. Ross McKittrick
Prof. Robert Barro	Prof. J.C. Herbert Emery	Prof. Michael Parkin
Prof. Michael Bliss	Prof. Jack L. Granatstein	Prof. Friedrich Schneider
Prof. Jean-Pierre Centi	Prof. Herbert G. Grubel	Prof. Lawrence B. Smith
Prof. John Chant	Prof. James Gwartney	Mr. Vito Tanzi
Prof. Bev Dahlby	Prof. Ronald W. Jones	
Prof. Erwin Diewert	Dr. Jerry Jordan	

Past members

Prof. Armen Alchian*	Prof. H.G. Johnson*	Sir Alan Walters*
Prof. James M. Buchanan* †	Prof. F.G. Pennance*	Prof. Edwin G. West*
Prof. Friedrich A. Hayek* †	Prof. George Stigler* †	

* deceased; † Nobel Laureate